HOPE VI EVALUATION INSTRUCTIONS AND RESOURCES

VERSION 4 - 10.26.04

US DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT OFFICE OF PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING OFFICE OF PUBLIC HOUSING INVESTMENTS

HOPE VI COMMUNITY AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

These instructions and attached resources were developed from input provided by HOPE VI evaluators, researchers and practitioners. HUD is particularly grateful for the input and resources provided by researchers at the Urban Institute (UI) and Abt Associates including: Larry Buron (Abt Associates); Gayle Epp (Abt Associates); Jeremy Gustafson (UI); Laura Harris (UI); Mary Joel Hollin (Abt Associates); Tom Kingsley (UI); Jill Khadduri (Abt Associates); Diane Levy (UI); Art Napparstack (UI); and Sue Popkin (UI).

In addition to these individuals, HUD is also grateful for the input provided by evaluators, housing authority staff and practitioners during evaluation meetings and interviews, including: Meredith Mason (New Brunswick Housing Authority); Danny Knee (New Brunswick Housing Authority); Heather Katsberg (New Brunswick Housing Authority); Kelly Robinson (Rutgers University); Carol Walker (Rutgers University); Grace Malley (Jersey City Housing Authority); David Trujillo (New Jersey City University); Gary Smoke (New York City Housing Authority); Sharon Ebert (New York City Housing Authority); Barbara Ferman (Temple University); Denise Todd Hampton (Tri-County CAP); Jakia Hornes (Bridgeton Housing Authority); Robin Johnson (Spectrum Consulting); Errol Shorter (ED Tech Inc.); Anita Lee (ED Tech Inc.); Gary Surmay (Housing Authority of the City of Elizabeth); Jose Sabater (Housing Authority of the City of Elizabeth); Brent Badon (Tufts University); Rudy Bryant (Pratt Institute); Rex Curry (Pratt Institute); Helene Clark (Act Knowledge); Karen Torian (Newark Housing Authority); Janice Burgess (District of Columbia Housing Authority); Joe Harkness (Johns Hopkins University); Bruce Gigeous (Hagerstown Housing Authority); Lori Spessard (Hagerstown Housing Authority); Robert Hendricks (Frederick Housing Authority); Nikol Nabors Jackson (Housing Authority of Baltimore City); Richard Lloyd (Alexandria Redevelopment and Housing Authority); Stephen Martin (Alexandria Redevelopment and Housing Authority); Nancy Bednar (Council for Large Public Housing Authorities); Rodney Green (Howard University); Deborah McKoy (University of California at Berkeley); Seiglinde Chambliss (Housing Authority of Baltimore City); Larry Keating (Georgia Tech); Goumendra Gosh (Tennessee Sate University); Larry Lovell-Troy (Millikin University); Stephen Stewart (LA RASA – Denver); Claudia Heath (University of Kentucky); Peter Zarimba (The Planning Council for Health and Human Services – Milwaukee); Larry Handlin (Public Policy Research Center – St. Louis); and Rachel Kleit (University of Washington).

HUD thanks Tamara Alston (HUD – Washington, DC) and Sara Kurzhuaser (HUD – Los Angeles) for collecting information on HOPE VI evaluations, interviewing HOPE VI evaluators and coordinating meetings with local evaluators, researchers and practitioners. HUD also acknowledges Sandra Yu (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), who assisted HUD staff in identifying and refining indicators and data sources, and contributed to these instructions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	
HOPE VI Evaluations	1
HOPE VI Indicators	2
Impacts on Original Residents of the HOPE VI Development	2
Impacts on the HOPE VI Neighborhood	4
Impacts on the HOPE VI Development	5
Data Sources	6
Resident Surveys	6
HUD Multi Tenant Characteristics System (MTCS) and PIH Information Center (PIC) Data	7
US Census Data	7
PHA Administrative Data	8
Common Core of Data (CCD) Database	9
Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) Data	9
Municipal Data	9
In-depth Interviews	9
Integrating Evaluation with HOPE VI Implementation	10
Appendix A: HOPE VI Recommended Indicators – Definitions and Data Sources	
Appendix B: HOPE VI National Studies – Methodologies	
Appendix C: Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program – Resident Survey	
Appendix D: HOPE VI Panel Study – Wave Two Resident Survey	
Appendix E: HOPE VI Caseload Triage and Stability Index	
Appendix F: HOPE VI Panel Study –Wave Two In-depth Interview Protocols	
Appendix G: HOPE VI Bibliography	

HOPE VI EVALUATIONS

The HOPE VI program was established in 1992 as an urban revitalization demonstration program designed to address distressed public housing developments. Over the past 12 years, the program has evolved into a multi-faceted intervention including mixed finance (public and private) funding strategies and broad neighborhood, housing and human services objectives targeted at severely distressed public housing developments, the neighborhoods surrounding these developments and public housing residents. During this evolution the HOPE VI program has been a proving ground for new approaches and innovations in public housing financing, development, management and resident services. Given the historical turn that HOPE VI represents in the delivery of public housing, and its potential for informing future policy on subsidized housing, it is crucial that the program be evaluated to understand its real impact on public housing communities and residents.

HUD moved to make evaluation a more critical element of the HOPE VI program in 1999 when the agency offered HOPE VI applicants points in the HOPE VI Notification of Funding Availability (NOFA) for conducting an evaluation on their HOPE VI projects. Applicants were asked to explore the impact of the HOPE VI effort on the lives of residents, the nature and extent of economic development generated in the community, the effect of the revitalization effort on the surrounding community, and successes at integrating the physical and Community and Supportive Services (CSS) aspects of the program.

A study conducted by the Housing Research Foundation (2000) found that only 37 out of 111 housing authorities awarded HOPE VI grants between 1992 and 1998 were conducting evaluations on their HOPE VI projects. However, after inclusion of this requirement in the HOPE VI NOFA, approximately 106 housing authorities awarded a HOPE VI grant between 1999 and 2003 included an evaluation component in their HOPE VI revitalization plan, increasing the total number of local evaluations on the HOPE VI program to 143.

In December of 2000 HUD convened a HOPE VI evaluation conference for local evaluators to provide guidance on conducting HOPE VI evaluations including potential indicators and data sources. However, no uniform guidance or instructions were issued to evaluators. As a result, while many evaluations are assessing similar issues it is difficult to compare or aggregate these studies into a national evaluation of the HOPE VI program because there is variability in the indicators and data sources used to evaluate the program at the local level.

Recognizing this missed opportunity, HUD convened meetings with HOPE VI evaluators, researchers and practitioners in September of 2003 to define uniform indicators, data sources and suggested methodologies that could be used across HOPE VI evaluations. In addition, HUD reviewed indicators, data sources and methodologies employed across national studies assessing HOPE VI impacts in selected communities to identify potential linkages between these studies and local evaluations. These efforts yielded the recommended indicators, data sources, and processes for local HOPE VI evaluations that are presented in these instructions and resources. The purpose of these instructions is to establish a set of uniform indicators that can be compared across local evaluations to create a national evaluation and link existing national studies to local evaluations in order to expand the sample for these studies and the overall assessment of the HOPE VI program.

HOPE VI INDICATORS

The HOPE VI NOFA outlines four broad areas that should be assessed as part of an evaluation on a HOPE VI project, but does not prescribe specific indicators. In 2003, HUD staff interviewed evaluators from 20 HOPE VI sites to better understand the issues that are being explored to assess impacts across the areas prescribed in the HOPE VI NOFA.

The results from these interviews show that local HOPE VI evaluators are assessing many of the same core issues or sets of issues across these impact areas. At over 90% of the HOPE VI sites, evaluators are assessing employment, education, youth outcomes, relocation processes, relocation clustering, housing conditions, resident self-sufficiency and the impact of CSS programs on residents. In addition to these issues, most (75%-89%) evaluators are also exploring the quality of relocation neighborhoods, the well-being of elderly residents, crime rates, perceptions of crime and neighborhood safety, property values, demographic changes, the unit mix at new developments, and on-site services, including facilities, at HOPE VI developments after revitalization.

HUD drew on this information and input from other HOPE VI evaluators and researchers to develop a list of 50 recommended indicators and related data sources across three areas: Impacts on original residents of the HOPE VI development, Impacts on the HOPE VI neighborhood, and Impacts on the HOPE VI development (definitions and specific data sources for the 50 indicators recommended in this section are provided in Appendix A). These indicator groups generally overlap with the evaluation foci prescribed for the HOPE VI NOFA that were summarized in the introduction of these instructions, with the exception that HUD does not recommend indicators for assessing the extent that the physical and CSS aspects of the HOPE VI program are integrated. However, the final section of these instructions briefly discusses how this issue could be included in overall process assessments and ongoing recommendations submitted by the evaluator that would be used by the PHA to improve the implementation of the HOPE VI project during the life of the grant.

Impacts on Original Residents of the HOPE VI Development

The original public housing residents who formerly resided or currently reside in the public housing development targeted for revitalization are the group most directly and profoundly impacted by the HOPE VI revitalization process. Important areas to explore in assessing this impact include housing conditions, relocation, neighborhood quality after relocation, physical and mental health, employment, income, household stability, outcomes for children, and CSS. Assessing both the positive and negative impacts of the revitalization process on HOPE VI residents across these areas should be central to local evaluations of the HOPE VI program.

Defining the Target Population

The target population should be the original residents of the public housing development who are impacted by the HOPE VI revitalization process as defined by HUD and the PHA in the HOPE VI CSS Plan. This includes individuals who move into the development after grant award and before the development is demolished. HUD also recommends that evaluators include original residents who no longer reside in federally subsidized housing or are in bad standing

with the housing authority in the assessment of resident outcomes, despite the fact that some may not be participating in or eligible for HOPE VI CSS. Most (80%) of the local evaluators interviewed by HUD are assessing impacts on all original residents, including those that no longer reside in subsidized housing or are in bad standing with the housing authority, supporting the importance and feasibility of this approach.

Indicators and Resident Profile

The evaluation should provide a detailed profile of original residents at baseline and each subsequent follow-up assessment, including but not limited to: gender, age (18-24, 25-34, 35-49, 50-64, 65+), race / ethnicity, education, housing type, and interest in returning to the new HOPE VI development. In addition to this resident profile, HUD recommends collecting data across the following indicators to assess HOPE VI impacts on public housing residents:

Housing

- 1. HOUSING CONDITIONS
- 2. HOUSING SATISFACTION

Relocation

- 3. RELOCATION CLUSTERING
- 4. POVERTY CONCENTRATION OF CURRENT NEIGHBORHOOD
- 5. MINORITY CONCENTRATION OF CURRENT NEIGHBORHOOD
- 6. REOCCUPANCY
- 7. SATISFACTION WITH RELOCATION SERVICES

Neighborhood Quality

- 8. SOCIAL DISORDER AND VIOLENCE IN CURRENT NEIGHBORHOOD
- 9. SAFETY IN CURRENT NEIGHBORHOOD
- 10. SATISFACTION WITH AMENITIES IN CURRENT NEIGHBORHOOD
- 11. STUDENTS ON FREE AND REDUCED LUNCH AT CURRENT SCHOOL

Physical and Mental Health

- 12. OVERALL PHYSICAL HEALTH
- 13. CHRONIC ILLNESSES
- 14. MENTAL HEALTH

Employment, Income and Household Stability

- 15. EMPLOYMENT
- 16. EMPLOYMENT RETENTION
- 17. HOUSEHOLD INCOME
- 18. MATERIAL HARDSHIP
- 19. BARRIERS TO EMPLOYMENT
- 20. HOUSEHOLD STABILITY

Children

21. BEHAVIOR PROBLEMS

CSS

- 22. UTILIZATION RATES FOR COMMUNITY AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES
- 23. SATISFACTION WITH COMMUNITY AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

Impacts on the Neighborhood

One goal of the HOPE VI program is to catalyze neighborhood change through revitalization of distressed public housing developments. These impacts are often slow to develop and in many cases the period of the HOPE VI grant may not be long enough to accurately assess neighborhood change. Despite these limitations, it is important to establish a neighborhood baseline and conduct follow-up assessments including employment rates, resident incomes, poverty rates, housing market conditions, crime rates and other quality of life conditions, so that future research can build on these interim assessments and evaluate neighborhood change over the long-term.

Defining the HOPE VI Neighborhood

When assessing neighborhood impacts, evaluators should define the neighborhood as the Census tract or tracts in which the HOPE VI development is located and all adjacent Census tracts. However, because Census tracts do not always conform to neighborhood boundaries and can change over time, evaluators should define a HOPE VI neighborhood based on the weighted average of Census tracts that include and are adjacent to the HOPE VI development. This method was used by Zeilenbach (2003) to define HOPE VI neighborhoods in an eight city study of the HOPE VI program (the methodology section from this study is included in Appendix B).

Indicators and Neighborhood Profile

The evaluation should provide a detailed profile of the neighborhood at baseline and each subsequent follow-up assessment, including but not limited to: a neighborhood description, neighborhood maps, neighborhood history, establishments by industry, community institutions, community amenities and current or planned neighborhood development projects. In addition to this information, HUD recommends collecting data across the following indicators to assess impacts on the neighborhood:

Employment and Income

- 24. MINORITY CONCENTRATION
- 25. EDUCATION
- 26. INCOME AND POVERTY RATE
- 27. EMPLOYMENT

Housing Market Conditions

- 28. ASSESSED HOUSING VALUES
- 29. HOUSING VACANCY RATES
- **30. TOTAL HOUSING UNITS**
- 31. GROSS RENT
- 32. OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS
- 33. HOME PURCHASE LOANS / MORTGAGES

Quality of Life Issues

- 34. CRIME RATE
- 35. TAX DOLLARS
- 36. CODE VIOLATIONS

Impacts on the HOPE VI development

The most dramatic and visual impacts of the HOPE VI program are on the public housing development targeted for revitalization. Although each grant progresses at a different rate, HOPE VI grantees are expected to complete construction within 54 months after execution of the HOPE VI Grant Agreement. Therefore it is likely that significant changes will occur on the site of the public housing development within the period of the HOPE VI grant and the evaluation. Important areas to explore include: resident characteristics, housing characteristics, quality of life issues and post-revitalization supportive services and community facilities.

Defining the HOPE VI Development and Target Population

When assessing impacts on the public housing development targeted for revitalization, evaluators should focus on units constructed as part of the HOPE VI revitalization plan and all residents residing in these units after construction, including both public housing eligible families between 0-80% of Area Median Income (AMI) and market rate families with incomes greater than 80% of AMI. Some of the data collected across the indicators for the HOPE VI development will overlap with indicators assessing the impacts on original residents of the development over the life of the grant. This is particularly true for the baseline resident characteristics of the public housing development and follow-up housing and quality of life assessments for original residents that reoccupy the site.

Indicators, Development Profile and Resident Profile

The evaluation should provide a detailed profile of the public housing development at baseline and each subsequent follow-up assessment after revitalization begins, including but not limited to: the year the original development was constructed, the original number of units, building descriptions, a history of the development, resident demographics and family characteristics, planned and actual reoccupancy rates, the percent of public housing units being replaced, and the number and types of units planned or constructed on site. In addition to these profiles, HUD recommends collecting data across the following indicators to assess impacts on the HOPE VI development and residents who occupy this development, both before and after revitalization:

Resident Characteristics

- 37. MINORITY CONCENTRATION
- 38. EDUCATION
- 39. INCOME AND POVERTY RATE
- 40. EMPLOYMENT

Housing Characteristics

- 41. HOUSING CONDITIONS
- 42. HOUSING SATISFACTION

Quality of Life Issues

- 43. CRIME RATE AT THE DEVELOPMENT
- 44. SOCIAL DISORDER AND VIOLENCE AT THE DEVELOPMENT
- 45. SAFETY AT THE DEVELOPMENT
- 46. AMENITIES AT OR NEAR THE DEVELOPMENT
- 47. NEIGHBORHOOD QUALITY (BEFORE AND AFTER REVITALIZATION ORIGINAL RESDIENTS)
- 48. NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY (BEFORE AND AFTER REVITALIZATION ORIGINAL RESIDENTS)

CSS

- 49. UTILIZATION RATES FOR ON-SITE CSS AT THE DEVELOPMENT AFTER REVITALIZATION
- 50. SATISFACTION WITH ON-SITE CSS AT THE DEVELOPMENT AFTER REVITALIZATION

DATA SOURCES

Resident Surveys

Indicators: 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 41, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50

Over 90% of the local evaluators interviewed by HUD are collecting resident surveys from original residents throughout the life of the grant. Many are also collecting survey information from residents residing in units at the revitalized HOPE VI development, including original residents that reoccupied the site and new residents. While collecting resident surveys from all impacted residents may not be possible across each HOPE VI evaluation, HUD encourages local evaluators and housing authorities to include some form of resident survey in their assessment of the HOPE VI project. Ideally these surveys would collect information across all targeted residents who agree to participate. However, if this is not feasible the evaluator should randomly select residents from the targeted population and administer the surveys to those who agree to participate from this sample.

Resident surveys should be organized into two separate, but connected, components. The first component would be administered across original residents of the public housing development targeted for revitalization. This survey would collect data across the following indicators: 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 21, 22, and 23.

The second component would be administered across original and new residents who occupy units in the revitalized HOPE VI development. This survey would collect data across the following indicators: 41, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, and 50. Some of the information collected across the indicators for residents in the new HOPE VI development will overlap with those that track impacts on original residents. This is particularly true for baseline resident characteristics and follow-up housing and quality of life assessments for residents that reoccupy the site.

Survey questions to measure each indicator are listed in Appendix A. These questions are drawn from established survey instruments used in national HOPE VI studies, which are also included in Appendices C-D. In addition, several of the indicators require adult participants to answer questions about children in the household. A method for selecting these children is described in Appendix B (HOPE VI Panel Study: Baseline Report – Methodology – Page A-3).

HUD Multi Tenant Characteristics System (MTCS) and PIH Information Center (PIC) Data

Indicators: 3, 4, 5, 15, 17, 39, 40

MTCS contains data on tenants in the Section 8 / Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program. Examples of data in MTCS include information on the household head and each family member (name, SSN, income, income sources, age, disability status, race, ethnicity, etc), the unit rented (street address, state, city, zip, number of bedrooms, central city or suburb, Census tract, public housing code, etc), and other administrative data (move in date, program type, date of most recent examination, etc.). However, MTCS is no longer a "system" as its functions were rolled into the new PIC (PIH Information Center), a more modern, web-enabled system. PIC also contains data on tenants in public housing (http://www.hud.gov).

HUD's Office of Policy Development and Research also maintains a Tenant Data Server with a longitudinal archive of tenant data from the Section 8 / HCV and Public Housing programs. The Tenant Data Server receives regular extracts or "time slices" from PIC. Privacy rules are in place to protect the identity of tenants but the data can be made available to outside researchers through special arrangements. Data can also be made available in aggregate, for instance, a count of all HCV units by Census tract. HUD is in the process of defining the release dates and procedures for sharing MTCS / PIC and Tenant Data Server data with local HOPE VI evaluators, and will notify evaluators when this information is finalized.

US Census Data

Indicators: 3, 4, 5, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32

US Census decennial data are available for 1990 and 2000 across all HOPE VI developments (http://www.census.gov/). The year of the baseline Census data for the evaluation depends on the history of the HOPE VI site. For example, if a housing authority was awarded a HOPE VI grant in 2003 but the targeted development was demolished and cleared in 1997, then the 1990 Census should be used as the baseline for conditions in the neighborhood surrounding the HOPE VI site.

Census data from 1990 and 2000 provide immediate comparative baseline and follow-up data for surrounding neighborhoods across HOPE VI grants awarded before 2000. However, for grants awarded between 2000-2004, evaluators are limited to only a baseline Census data assessment for these neighborhoods until the 2010 decennial data is available. In all cases, evaluators should explore other local indicators and alternative data sources to describe change across the HUD recommended indicators listed in these instructions (indicators 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, and 32), which assess conditions in the neighborhood surrounding the HOPE VI development, and exclusively rely on Census data.

In addition to assessing change in the neighborhood surrounding the HOPE VI development, Census data, combined with MTCS / PIC data, can be used to measure change across neighborhood quality indicators for relocated residents (indicators 3, 4, and 5). For these indicators, the comparison is between the baseline Census data for the public housing development targeted for revitalization and the most recent Census data for the new neighborhood where the resident currently resides. These comparisons can be made with existing Census data throughout the life of the grant as residents move from one unit and neighborhood to another.

PHA Administrative Data

Indicators: 6, 15, 17, 20, 22, 37, 38, 39, 40, 49

PHAs collect and maintain program data on original residents and public housing developments during the revitalization process and after occupancy of the new HOPE VI development. This data includes information generated by the PHA during implementation of program components e.g., household income to determine eligibility for affordable units or the number of residents interested in returning to the new development. The data also includes information reported to HUD through the HOPE VI Quarterly Progress Report, which provides HUD and Congress with information on production and service outputs for each grantee e.g., number of units constructed or job training program enrollments.

PHAs and evaluators should establish a protocol for sharing PHA administrative data early in the evaluation process. The PHA and evaluator should also discuss items to be included in the collection of administrative data over the life of the grant and after reoccupancy of the new development. The PHA should collect and share the following information on the new HOPE VI development with the evaluator (this should be collected across all housing types in the new development including public housing units, low-income housing tax credit units, market rate units, and homeownership units): the number of residents who are employed; the average household income; the income mix (0-30% of AMI, 31-60% of AMI, 61-80% of AMI or >80% of AMI); the percent of minority residents; and the level of education among residents.

In addition to this information, PHAs should also provide evaluators with access to CSS data. HOPE VI grantees are required to provide case management to residents and track service enrollments and completions through a case management tracking system. PHAs are also asked to develop a caseload triage plan and related case management policies modeled after HUD's recommended caseload triage strategy (Appendix E). The PHA should provide the evaluator with information from the case management tracking system including service utilization rates and service outputs, and summary information on caseload triage detailing the number of

residents that are high risk versus low risk over the life of the grant and which specific risk factors are most prevalent.

Common Core of Data (CCD) Database

Indicator: 11

The US Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics is an information clearinghouse that provides data on public schools and school districts in the United States through the Common Core of Data (CCD) database. The database provides information across a number of indicators including the percent of students on free or reduced lunch at over 90,000 public schools. This database can be accessed online at: http://nces.ed.gov/ccd/.

Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) Data

Indicator: 33

HMDA data is available from the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council. HMDA data includes the number and amount of loan applications by Census tract, and the income, race and gender of the borrower. This data is collected annually across conventional home purchase loans, FHA / VA (government-backed) home purchase loans, home improvement / home equity loans, and multi-family loans, among other loan types. Data from HMDA on home loan amounts can be used to determine the average home value for a Census tract. More information on HMDA data can be accessed online at: http://www.ffiec.gov/hmda/default.htm.

Municipal Data

Indicators: 28, 34, 35, 36, 43

Municipal data can be used as a primary or supplemental source of data to assess indicators at baseline and follow-up when national data is not available. The following municipal data sources are particularly important for assessing change in the HOPE VI neighborhood and development: records on assessed property values maintained by city building departments or tax assessors offices, information on neighborhood crime rates and number of police calls maintained by police departments, records on municipal income taxes, property taxes and tax dollars generated by employers, and records on the number of code violations for residential properties maintained by the municipal building inspection department.

IN-DEPTH INTERVIEWS

The indicators listed in these instructions assess a broad range of HOPE VI issues, including impacts on original residents, neighborhoods and the HOPE VI development through a combination of subjective indicators and social statistics. Although subjective indicators allow residents to provide their own assessment of household well-being, services and neighborhood conditions, these indicators do not capture the rationales, family histories and experiences behind these responses.

In-depth interviews have been used in national HOPE VI studies to collect additional information from original HOPE VI residents across study indicators in an effort to provide a

better understanding of the issues impacting residents and a richer description of their experiences. For example, this data was used to explain the experiences of residents reporting chronic illnesses, the problems residents faced after relocating to a new community or the experiences of their children in new schools. Several in-depth interview protocols that have been used in national HOPE VI studies are attached in Appendix F.

HUD would like each local evaluation to follow 25 randomly selected heads of households through in-depth interviews over the life of the grant. Local evaluators should randomly choose heads of households from the residents participating in the HOPE VI Resident Survey at baseline. The goal in conducting these interviews is to provide additional information across subjective indicators in the Resident Survey, and to develop family histories that detail resident experiences in public housing and the HOPE VI program. This profile should also explore the specific CSS programs that individuals participated in and the impacts of these programs on the households that were interviewed. If confidentiality protections allow, PHA administrative data and information from service providers should also be coupled with the indepth interviews to complete the profile for each household.

INTEGRATING EVALUATION WITH HOPE VI IMPLEMENTATION

Timing of the Evaluation

The validity and impact of HOPE VI evaluations are maximized when the baseline is established before revitalization, relocation and case management activities begin. From the baseline, follow-up assessments should be conducted at several intervals during the life of the grant and after the HOPE VI development is completed. However, many (50%) of the evaluators interviewed by HUD indicated that they started their evaluation after relocation was finished. In other cases, PHAs have implemented evaluations after completion of the HOPE VI development. Both of these approaches underutilize evaluation as a tool for improving project implementation and fail to adequately capture and compare many important indicators, especially resident perspectives over time and process data which need to be collected throughout the life of the grant.

Given the importance of starting the evaluation early in the HOPE VI process, HUD encourages PHAs and evaluators to finalize evaluation agreements before the CSS Plan is submitted to HUD for approval. HUD also encourages the housing authority and evaluator to begin baseline assessments within six months from the date that the HOPE VI Grant Agreement is signed.

Integration with HOPE VI Implementation

HUD requires the PHA to describe its strategy for utilizing ongoing evaluator feedback on the implementation of the HOPE VI program in the CSS Plan, including process and program delivery issues as described in the HOPE VI NOFA. The evaluation should be used to assess progress toward program goals, develop recommendations for addressing emergent needs and challenges, and explore new approaches for delivering program components if existing processes prove to be ineffective.

These linkages should include the integration of evaluation and case management functions. This can be an effective way to collect survey information, track the progress of

families and use evaluation findings to improve service delivery. Resident survey questions from the HOPE VI evaluation could be added to case management intakes at baseline and collected by case managers on request throughout the life of the grant during regular follow-up with residents. This could reduce the cost of conducting a resident survey and give HOPE VI staff more immediate feedback across these issues. In addition, evaluators should also have access to HOPE VI caseload triage summaries and data from case management tracking systems, and provide the housing authority with feedback from analysis done on this data throughout the life of the grant. While linking evaluation to case management functions has many benefits, the evaluator and housing authority must establish confidentiality parameters before individual resident information from the case management system can be shared.

Reports

Evaluators should provide PHA staff with evaluation updates through regular reports and meetings. At the minimum, HUD encourages PHAs to have evaluators submit annual reports over the life of the HOPE VI project. These reports should also be submitted to the HUD HOPE VI CSS Manager.

APPENDIX A

HUD RECOMMENDED INDICATORS DEFINITIONS AND DATA SOURCES

DEFINITIONS AND DATA SOURCES

Impacts on Original Residents of the HOPE VI Development

Housing

1. HOUSING CONDITIONS

- Definition: The percent of residents indicating problems with water leaks, peeling paint or plaster, a cold unit / heating system that doesn't work, mold, nonfunctioning toilet and plumbing that doesn't work, cockroaches, rats, radiators without covers in their current unit, broken locks or no locks, broken windows or windows without screens, a stove or refrigerator that doesn't work, too little living space, adequacy of storage space and too much noise by housing type (i.e., public housing, Section 8 / HCV, Low Income Housing Tax Credit LIHTC or market rate) at baseline and follow-up. This should also be reported as the percent of residents citing two or more of these problems.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: HOPE VI Panel Study –Wave Two Survey pages 6-7, questions Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, Q10, Q11, Q12 and Q13; Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program Resident Survey page 2, question 3.

2. HOUSING SATISFACTION

- Definition: The percent of residents indicating that they are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with their current unit by age and housing type at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey question: HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey page 5, question Q3.

Relocation

3. RELOCATION CLUSTERING

- Definition: The percent of HOPE VI households in Census tracts where these households represent <2%, 2-4.9%, 5-9.9% or 10% of the total Census tract population at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: HUD MTCS / PIC data (for current resident address), US Census data, HUD and PHA geocode (longitude and latitude) information, address and Census tract number for the HOPE VI site.

4. POVERTY CONCENTRATION OF CURRENT NEIGHBORHOOD

- Definition: The percent of HOPE VI residents currently living in neighborhoods with poverty rates of <10%, 10%-20%, 21%-30%, 31%-40%; > 40% by housing type at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: HUD MTCS / PIC data (for current resident address), US Census Data, HUD and PHA geocode (longitude and latitude) information, address and Census tract number for the HOPE VI site.

5. MINORITY CONCENTRATION OF CURRENT NEIGHBORHOOD

- Definition: The percent of minority residents in the Census tract where HOPE VI households reside at baseline and follow-up. Reported by housing type across the following percentile categories, <=20%, 21%-30%, 31%-40%, 41%-60%, >60%.
- Data sources: HUD MTCS / PIC Data (including current resident address), US Census Data, HUD and PHA geocode (longitude and latitude) information, address and Census tract number for the HOPE VI site.

6. REOCCUPANCY

- Definition: The percent of HOPE VI households that reoccupied the completed HOPE VI development by housing type. This analysis should also include the percentage of residents that were denied reoccupancy because they did not meet the return criteria for the development. If possible, the evaluator should also report the most prevalent reasons why residents failed to meet the return criteria.
- Data sources: PHA administrative data

7. SATISFACTION WITH RELOCATION SERVICES

- Definition: This is a summary measure that assesses level of relocation services or assistance provided to residents as part of the relocation process by housing type and move status at baseline and follow-up. The measure includes questions that ask if residents received information on Section 8 or HCV programs, information on homeownership opportunities, information on transportation to an available unit, information on landlords and leases, information on security deposits or down payments, and information on paying utilities. The level of relocation services provided to each resident should be categorized as low (0-2 services), medium (3-4 services) or high (5-6 services).
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey pages 15-16, question R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, and R7.

Neighborhood Quality

8. SOCIAL DISORDER AND VIOLENCE IN CURRENT NEIGHBORHOOD

- Definition: The percent of residents indicating problems in their current neighborhood with unemployment, groups of people just hanging out, the number of teenage mothers, lack of public transportation, quality of schools, graffiti, trash and junk in parking lots, streets, lawns and sidewalks, people using drugs, people selling drugs, gangs, police not coming when called, shootings and violence, people being attacked and robbed, and rape or other sexual assaults by housing type at baseline and follow-up. The percent change between baseline and follow-up for each issue should also be reported.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey pages 25-28, questions N15 (a-g) and N16 (a-g)

9. SAFETY IN CURRENT NEIGHBORHOOD:

- Definition: The percent of residents indicating that they feel very safe, somewhat safe, somewhat unsafe or very unsafe when they are outside their home at night in their current neighborhood by housing type at baseline and follow-up. The percent change between baseline and follow-up should also be reported.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey page 30, question N20.

10. SATISFACTOIN WITH AMENITIES IN CURRENT NEIGHBORHOOD:

- Definition: The percent of residents that describe neighborhood amenities and quality of life factors as excellent, good, fair or poor by housing type at baseline and follow-up. This is not a composite measure and each of the following nine amenities and quality of life factors should be rated separately: access to good schools, access to public transportation, access to grocery stores, access to health care services, access to child care, access to parks and recreational facilities, being close to friends and relatives, being close to job opportunities, being close to job placement programs and police response time.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program Resident Survey page 6, question 14.

11. STUDENTS ON FREE AND REDUCED LUNCH AT CURRENT SCHOOL

- Definition: The percent of students on free or reduced lunch across schools attended by children of HOPE VI residents organized by housing type at baseline and followup.
- Data sources: National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) Common Core of Data (CCD) Database (http://nces.ed.gov/ccd/)

Physical and Mental Health

12. OVERALL PHYSICAL HEALTH

- Definition: The percent of residents indicating that they consider their overall health to be excellent, very good, good, fair or poor by housing type at baseline and follow-up. This should also be reported across the following age groups, 18-44, 45-64 and 65+. The resident should also answer this question for the focal children chosen for the study.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey page 52, question H2.

13. CHRONIC ILLNESS

• Definition: The percent of residents indicating that they have asthma, high blood pressure, sugar diabetes, arthritis or that they are obese by age, gender and housing type at baseline and follow-up. This should be reported across the following age groups, 18-44, 45-64 and 65+. In addition, the percent of residents with 3 or more problems, 2 problems or 1 problem should be reported. Obesity should be assessed through two questions (survey items H10 and H11) that calculate BMI for the

- respondent. The resident should also answer these questions for the focal children chosen for the study.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey pages 52-54, questions H4, H5, H6, H7, H8, H9, H10, and H11.

14. MENTAL HEALTH

- Definition: The percent of residents that have experienced a major depressive episode in the past year as defined by the Composite International Diagnostic Interview instrument (CIDI-12) by age, gender and housing type at baseline and follow-up. If a resident responds that they experienced three or more of the items in the index, their score indicates a major depressive episode.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey pages 58-59, questions H23, H24, H25, H26, H27, H28, H29, and H30.

Employment, Income and Household Stability

15. EMPLOYMENT

- Definition: The percent of residents who are currently employed (income from wages) by housing type at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: HUD MTCS / PIC data; PHA Administrative data

16. EMPLOYMENT RETENTION

- Definition: The percent of residents that have maintained employment for less than three months, three months to just under six months, six months to just under one year, one year to just under three years, or three years or more by housing type at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey page 40, question E5

17. HOUSEHOLD INCOME:

- Definition: The median household income per year for HOPE VI households by housing type at baseline and follow-up. The percent of residents earning income (including benefits) across each income source should also be reported (e.g., wages, SSI etc.).
- Data sources: HUD MTCS / PIC data; PHA Administrative data

18. MATERIAL HARDSHIP

- Definition: The percent of households experiencing material hardship during the past 12 months, including: shortages of food, difficulty paying utility bills, difficulty paying telephone bill, difficulty paying rent or difficulty paying mortgage by housing type at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey pages 46-50, questions E27, E28, E29, E30, E31, E32, E33, E34, E35, E36, E37, E38, and E39.

19. BARRIERS TO EMPLOYMENT

- Definition: The percent of respondents experiencing the following short or long-term barriers to employment by housing type at baseline and follow-up: not having work experience, not having child care, lack of transportation, not speaking English well, having a disability, discrimination, lack of jobs in the neighborhood, having a drug or alcohol problem, and having a criminal record. Future waves of the HOPE VI Panel Study will also explore the extent that health problems are a barrier to employment. When this question is finalized it will be shared with evaluators for inclusion in the barriers to employment assessment.
- Data sources: HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey page 43, question E18.

20. HOUSEHOLD STABILITY

- Definition: The percent of households rated as high risk, medium risk, low risk or
 quarterly monitoring at baseline and follow-up by housing type as defined by the
 HUD HOPE VI CSS Caseload Triage and Stability Index. This index is included in
 Appendix E. HUD is asking each housing authority to integrate this caseload triage
 and stability index into their CSS programs. The percent of residents reporting each
 of the stability indicators should also be tracked by housing type at baseline and
 follow-up.
- Data sources: PHA administrative data
- Study: Not used in previous national studies.

Children

21. BEHAVIOR PROBLEMS

- Definition: The percent of residents who indicate that the focal children (ages 6-14) chosen for the study experienced each of the following behavior problems in the last three months by housing type at baseline and follow-up: trouble getting along with teachers, disobedient in school, disobedient at home, hangs around with kids that get in trouble, bullies or is cruel and mean to others, is restless or overly active, and is unhappy, sad or depressed. Provide demographic information for these children including: age, whether they are enrolled in school, and their current grade level. A method for selecting these children is described in Appendix B (HOPE VI Panel Study: Baseline Report Methodology Page A-3).
- Data sources: HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey page 64, question C3.

CSS

22. UTILIZATION RATES FOR COMMUNITY AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

• Definition: The percent of residents that used CSS programs by housing type at baseline and follow-up across each of the following service areas: employment preparation / placement / retention services, job skill training services, high school equivalency / GED services, transportation services, counseling services, substance abuse services, homeownership counseling services, ESL services, parenting services, household management services and case management services. The survey questions suggested for this indicator do not include all of the elements listed above.

The elements that are not represented should be added to the series of questions by the evaluator. Evaluators can also use PHA administrative records, including case management records and tracking systems, on service utilization as a data source.

- Data sources: Resident survey; PHA administrative data,
- Survey question: Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program Resident Survey, pages 11-12, question 23.

23. SATISFACTION WITH COMMUNITY AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

- Definition: For each resident that uses or used one of the service categories in indicator (23) above, report the percent that are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with these services by housing type at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program Resident Survey, pages 11-12, question 23

Impacts on the neighborhood

Employment and Income

24. MINORITY CONCENTRATION

- Definition: The percent of minority residents in the HOPE VI neighborhood across racial / ethnic groups at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: US Census

25. EDUCATION

- Definition: The percent of residents in the HOPE VI neighborhood having a college degree, some college education, a high school diploma / GED or less than a high school diploma at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: US Census

26. INCOME AND POVERTY RATE

- Definition: The median household income in the HOPE VI neighborhood at baseline and follow-up. This figure should also be compared to the overall median household income for the city at baseline and follow-up. The city neighborhood comparison should include the percent change from baseline to follow-up for the city versus the HOPE VI neighborhood. Evaluators should also report the AMI for the city and the percent of residents in the HOPE VI neighborhood across the following AMI income groups: 0-30% of AMI, 31-60% of AMI, 61-80% of AMI and >80% of AMI. Evaluators should also report the poverty rate for the HOPE VI neighborhood at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: US Census

27. EMPLOYMENT

• Definition: The percent of residents who are employed and have income from wages in the HOPE VI neighborhood at baseline and follow-up. This figure should also be

compared to the overall employment rate for the city at baseline and follow-up. The city neighborhood comparison should include the percent change from baseline to follow-up for the city versus the HOPE VI neighborhood.

• Data sources: US Census

Housing Market Conditions

28. ASSESSED HOUSING VALUES

- Definition: The median assessed housing value in the HOPE VI neighborhood at baseline and follow-up. This figure should also be compared to the median of assessed housing values for the city at baseline and follow-up. This city versus neighborhood comparison should include the percent change from baseline to followup for the city versus the HOPE VI neighborhood.
- Data sources: US Census

29. HOUSING VACANCY RATES

- Definition: Housing vacancy rates in the HOPE VI neighborhood at baseline and follow-up. This figure should also be compared to the overall vacancy rate for the city at baseline and follow-up. The city versus neighborhood comparison should include the percent change from baseline to follow-up for the city versus the HOPE VI neighborhood.
- Data sources: US Census

30. TOTAL HOUSING UNITS

- Definition: Total housing units in the HOPE VI neighborhood at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: US Census

31. GROSS RENT

- Definition: Median gross rent in the HOPE VI neighborhood at baseline and follow-up. This figure should also be compared to the overall median gross rent for the city at baseline and follow-up. The city versus neighborhood comparison should include the percent change from baseline to follow-up for the city versus the HOPE VI neighborhood.
- Data sources: US Census

32. OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS

- Definition: Percent of owner occupied homes in the HOPE VI neighborhood at baseline and follow-up. This figure should also be compared to the overall owner occupied rate for the city at baseline and follow-up. The city versus neighborhood comparison should include the percent change from baseline to follow-up for the city versus the HOPE VI neighborhood.
- Data sources: US Census

33. HOME PURCHASE LOANS / MORTGAGES

- Definition: Number of conventional home purchase loans, FHA / VA (government-backed) home purchase loans, home improvement / home equity loans, and multifamily loans and the median value of these loans in the HOPE VI neighborhood at baseline and follow-up. The median home value across these loan types should be compared to the median home value across the same HMDA categories for the city at baseline and follow-up. The city versus neighborhood comparison should include the percent change from baseline to follow-up for the city versus the HOPE VI neighborhood.
- Data sources: Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) Data

Quality of Life Issues

34. CRIME RATE

- Definition: Crime rates for Part I crimes in the HOPE VI neighborhood at baseline and follow-up. The crime rate for Part I crimes in the HOPE VI neighborhood should be compared to the crime rate for Part I crimes in the city at baseline and follow-up. This analysis should also include a comparison of the percent change in these rates for the HOPE VI neighborhood versus the city.
- Data sources: City Police department records and crime data

35. TAX DOLLARS

- Definition: Total tax dollars generated from income, property and employers / businesses in the HOPE VI neighborhood at baseline and follow-up. The percent change in tax revenue from baseline to follow-up should also be described. This figure should be compared to the percent change from baseline to follow-up in citywide tax revenue versus the HOPE VI neighborhood.
- Data sources: Municipal tax records aggregated to the neighborhood and city level.

36. CODE VIOLATIONS

- Definition: The number of properties in the HOPE VI neighborhood with code violations at baseline and follow-up. The number of code violations for the HOPE VI neighborhood should be compared to the median across other neighborhoods in the city at baseline and follow-up. This analysis should also include a comparison of the percent change in these rates for the HOPE VI neighborhood versus the city.
- Data sources: City building inspection department records and data.

Impacts on the HOPE VI development

Resident Characteristics

37. MINORITY CONCENTRATION

- Definition: Race and ethnicity of residents living in units at the HOPE VI development by housing type at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: PHA administrative data, HUD MTCS / PIC data

38. EDUCATION

- Definition: The percent of residents living in units at the HOPE VI development that have a college degree, some college education, a high school diploma / GED or less than a high school diploma by housing type at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: PHA administrative data

39. INCOME AND POVERTY RATE

- Definition: Median household income and sources of income among residents living in units at the HOPE VI development by housing type at baseline and follow-up. The percent of residents earning income (including benefits) across each income source should also be reported (e.g., wages, SSI etc.). Evaluators should report the AMI for the city and the percent of residents in the HOPE VI neighborhood across the following AMI income groups: 0-30% of AMI, 31-60% of AMI, 61-80% of AMI and >80% of AMI. Evaluators should also report the poverty rate for the HOPE VI development at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: PHA administrative data, HUD MTCS / PIC data

40. EMPLOYMENT

- Definition: The percent of residents living in units at the HOPE VI development who are employed by housing type at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: PHA administrative data, HUD MTCS / PIC data

Housing Characteristics

41. HOUSING CONDITIONS

- Definition: The percent of residents living in units at the HOPE VI development who report problems with water leaks, peeling paint or plaster, a cold unit / heating system that doesn't work, mold, nonfunctioning toilet and plumbing that doesn't work, cockroaches, rats, radiators without covers in their current unit, broken locks or no locks, broken windows or windows without screens, a stove or refrigerator that doesn't work, too little living space, adequacy of storage space and too much noise by housing type at baseline and follow-up. This should also be reported as the percent of residents citing two or more of these problems.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: HOPE VI Panel Study –Wave Two Survey pages 6-7, questions Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, Q10, Q11, Q12 and Q13; Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program Resident Survey page 2, question 3.

42. HOUSING SATISFACTION

- Definition: The percent of residents living in units at the HOPE VI development who indicate that they are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with their unit by housing type at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey question: HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey page 5, question Q3.

43. CRIME RATE AT THE DEVELOPMENT

- Definition: Crime rate for Part I crimes at the HOPE VI development at baseline and follow-up. The crime rate for Part I crimes in the HOPE VI development should be compared to the crime rate for the city and other public housing developments at baseline and follow-up. This analysis should also include a comparison of the percent change in these rates for the HOPE VI development versus other public housing developments and the city overall.
- Data sources: City Police department records and crime data

44. SOCIAL DISORDER AND VIOLENCE AT THE DEVELOPMENT

- Definition: The percent of residents living in units at the HOPE VI development who report problems with unemployment, groups of people just hanging out, the number of teenage mothers, lack of public transportation, quality of schools, graffiti, trash and junk in parking lots, streets, lawns and sidewalks, people using drugs, people selling drugs, gangs, police not coming when called, shootings and violence, people being attacked and robbed, and rape or other sexual assaults in their current neighborhood by housing type at baseline and follow-up. The percent change between baseline and follow-up should also be reported for original residents that reoccupy the site.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey pages 25-28, questions N15 (a-g) and N16 (a-g)

45. SAFETY AT THE DEVELOPMENT

- Definition: The percent of residents living in units at the HOPE VI development who indicate that they feel very safe, somewhat safe, somewhat unsafe or very unsafe when they are outside their home at night in their current unit and the HOPE VI development by housing type at baseline and follow-up. The percent change between baseline and follow-up should also be reported for original residents that reoccupy the site.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey page 30, question N20.

46. SATISFACTION WITH AMENITIES AT OR NEAR THE DEVELOPMENT

- Definition: The percent of residents living in units at the HOPE VI development that describe neighborhood amenities and quality of life factors as excellent, good, fair or poor by housing type at baseline and follow-up. This is not a composite measure and each of the following nine amenities and quality of life factors should be rated separately: access to good schools, access to public transportation, access to grocery stores, access to health care services, access to child care, access to parks and recreational facilities, being close to friends and relatives, being close to job opportunities, being close to job training / job placement programs and police response time.
- Data sources: Resident survey

• Survey questions: Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program – Resident Survey page 6, question 14.

47. NEIGHBORHOOD QUALITY (BEFORE AND AFTER REVITALIZATION—ORIGINAL RESIDENTS)

- Definition: Identify original residents who reoccupied the revitalized HOPE VI
 development. Report the percent of these residents that feel the HOPE VI
 neighborhood (defined as the Census tract or tracts in which the HOPE VI
 development is located and all adjacent Census tracts) is a much better place to live, a
 somewhat better place to live, a neither better nor worse place to live, a somewhat
 worse place to live, and a much worse place to live by housing type at baseline and
 follow-up.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program Resident Survey page 8, question 19c

48. NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY (BEFORE AND AFTER REVITALIZATION-ORIGINAL RESIDENTS)

- Definition: Identify original residents who reoccupied the revitalized HOPE VI
 development. Report the percent of these residents that feel the HOPE VI
 neighborhood (defined as the Census tract or tracts in which the HOPE VI
 development is located and all adjacent Census tracts) is much safer, somewhat safer,
 neither safer nor less safe, somewhat less safe, much less safe by housing type at
 baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program Resident Survey page 8, question 19b

CSS

49. UTILIZATOIN RATES FOR ON-SITE CSS PROGRAMS AT THE DEVELOPMENT AFTER REVITALIZATION

- Definition: The percent of residents that used the following types of CSS programs after revitalization and occupancy of the new HOPE VI development by housing type at baseline and follow-up: employment preparation / placement / retention services, job skill training services, high school equivalency / GED services, transportation services, counseling services, substance abuse services, homeownership counseling services, ESL services, parenting services, household management services and case management services. These percentages should be reported at baseline and follow-up for each service category. The survey questions suggested for this indicator do not include all of the elements listed above. The elements that are not represented should be added to the series of questions by the evaluator. Evaluators can also use PHA administrative records, including case management records and tracking systems, on service utilization as a data source.
- Data sources: Resident survey; PHA administrative data,

• Survey question: Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program – Resident Survey, pages 11-12, question 23.

50. SATISFACTION WITH ON-SITE CSS AT THE DEVELOPMENT AFTER REVITALIZATION

- Definition: For each resident that uses or used one of the service categories in indicator (23) above, determine if they are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with these services. Report the percent of residents who are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with each service by housing type at baseline and follow-up.
- Data sources: Resident survey
- Survey questions: Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program Resident Survey, pages 11-12, question 23

APPENDIX B

HOPE VI NATIONAL STUDIES METHODOLOGIES

Methodology sections from selected HOPE VI national studies are only available online or in hardcopy in this version of the *HOPE VI Evaluation Instructions and Resources*. Hardcopies of these methodology sections will be sent to 2003 HOPE VI grantees and their evaluation partners. Hardcopies are also available to earlier HOPE VI grantees, their evaluation partners or other researchers on request.

Methodology sections from the following studies are included in the hardcopy version of the instructions:

- Zielenbach, Sean. 2002. *The Economic Impacts of HOPE VI on Neighborhoods*. Washington, DC: Housing Research Foundation. http://www.housingresearch.org
- U.S. General Accounting Office. 2003. *Public Housing: HOPE VI Resident Issues and Changes in Neighborhoods Surrounding Grant Sites*. Washington DC: U.S. General Accounting Office. http://www.gao.gov/
- Holin, Mary Joel, Larry Buron, Gretchen Locke, Alvaro Cortes. 2003. *Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program Cross-Site Report*. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Bethesda, MD: Abt Associates.
- Abt Associates. 2003. Exploring the Impacts of the HOPE VI Program on Surrounding Neighborhoods. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Bethesda, MD: Abt Associates.

APPENDIX C

INTERIM ASSESSMENT OF THE HOPE VI PROGRAM

RESIDENT SURVEY



OMB No. 2528-0201 Expires 9/30/2002 ID#_____

HOPE VI Interim Assessment HOPE VI Resident Survey

Abt Associates Inc. July/August 2001

TIM	E INTERVIEW STARTED (24-hour clock): : :	166-169/
Satis	sfaction with Apartment	
1.	What was the most important reason for your moving here? (CIRCLE ONE)	
	To be near your family or friends,	15-16/
	Availability of rent subsidy or lower rent,	
	Availability of on-site support services,4	
	More convenient location,5	
	Safer than your previous house or apartment,6	
	Better or larger apartment,	
	No choice; no where else to go,	
	This is what the PHA gave you,2	
	Some other reason (SPECIFY)17-18/ .9	
	REFUSED97	
	DON'T KNOW98	
2.	On the whole, how satisfied are you with your (apartment/house) as a place to live? Are y	ou
	Very satisfied, 1	19/
	Somewhat satisfied,2	
	Somewhat dissatisfied, or	
	Very dissatisfied?4	
	REFUSED7	
	DON'T KNOW8	

3.	Thinking about	your (apartment/l	house), tell me	whether y	you think th	e following	things	are a big
	problem, some	problem, or not a	problem at all.					

			Not a			
	Big	Some	problem	DEE	DIZ	
Walla with mading paint on	problem,	problem, or	at all?	<u>REF</u>	<u>DK</u>	
Walls with peeling paint or broken plaster? Is that a	1	2	3	7	8	20/
Plumbing that doesn't work	1	2	3	7	8	21/
Rats or mice	1	2	3	7	8	22/
Broken locks or no locks on the door to your unit	1	2	3	7	8	23/
Broken windows or windows without screens	1	2	3	7	8	24/
A heating system that doesn't work	1	2	3	7	8	25/
A stove or refrigerator that doesn't work	1	2	3	7	8	26/
Too little living space	1	2	3	7	8	27/
Adequacy of storage space	1	2	3	7	8	28/
Too much noise	1	2	3	7	8	29/

4. Overall, how satisfied are you with *the maintenance of your (apartment/house)* since you moved in? Are you..

30/

Very satisfied,	1
Somewhat satisfied,	2
Somewhat dissatisfied, or	3
Very dissatisfied?	4
REFUSED	7
DON'T KNOW	8

5.	Overall, how satisfied are you with the way this development is managed and maintained?	
	Very satisfied,	31/
	Somewhat satisfied,	
	Somewhat dissatisfied, or	
	Very dissatisfied?4	
	REFUSED7	
	DON'T KNOW8	
Satis	sfaction with Neighborhood	
6.	Now I'd like to ask you about the area <i>you consider to be your neighborhood</i> . When you think about your neighborhood, are you thinking about	
	This building only 1	32/
	This building and the block or street you live on,2	
	The block you live on and several blocks in each direction,	
	This development only, or4	
	This development and several blocks around it5	
	Something else (SPECIFY):	
	33-34/6	
	REFUSED7	
	DON'T KNOW8	
7.	Do you regularly socialize with anyone who lives outside (HOPE VI DEVELOPMENT), but within several blocks or less than half a mile from where you live?	
	YES1	35/
	NO2	
	REFUSED7	
	DON'T KNOW8	

8.	Right now, how satisfied are you with <i>your neighborhood</i> , (that is (R's ANSWER TO Q.6) as a place to live?) Are you							
	Very satisfied,				1			36/
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatis:	fied, or			3			
	Very dissatisfied?.				4			
	REFUSED				7			
	DON'T KNOW				8			
9.	Please tell me how much y	ou agree or	disagree with	n the followi Neither	ng statements	about <i>this n</i>	eighborl	hood.
		Strongly agree,	Somewhatag agree, dis		omewhat Stro ee, or <u>disagree</u>	ongly ? REF	DK	
	People around here are willing to help their neighbors. Do you	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
	This is a close-knit neighborhood	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
	People in this neighborhood generally get along with each other	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
10.	How safe do you feel <i>being</i>	g alone at n	<i>iight</i> inside y	our (apartme	ent/house)? Do	o you feel		
	Very safe,				1			40/
	Somewhat safe,				2			
	Somewhat unsafe, or							
	Very unsafe?							
	REFUSED				7			
	DON'T KNOW				8			

37/

38/

39/

11.	How safe do you feel <i>being alone at night</i> in the area right outside your (building/house)—that is, in the parking lots, the lawns, the street or sidewalks <i>right outside your (building/house)</i> ? Do you feel				
	Very safe,	1	41/		
	Somewhat safe,	2			
	Somewhat unsafe, or	3			
	Very unsafe?	4			
	REFUSED	7			
	DON'T KNOW	8			
12.	How often do you attend resident meetings in your de	velopment?			
	Always	1	42/		
	Sometimes, or	2			
	Never	3			
	NO RESIDENT MEETINGS	4			
	REFUSED	7			
13.	How would you rate this neighborhood as a place to r	aise children? Is it			
	An excellent place,	1	43/		
	A good place,	2			
	A fair place, or	3			
	A poor place?	4			
	DON'T KNOW				

14.	Please tell me how you would rate your current neighborhood in the following areas.	Would you say it is
	excellent, good, fair, or poor in terms of	

Excellent	Good	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>REF</u>	<u>DK</u>	
Having access to good schools1	2	3	4	7	8	44/
Having access to public transportation	2	3	4	7	8	45/
Having access to grocery stores1	2	3	4	7	8	46/
Having access to health care services 1	2	3	4	7	8	47/
Having access to child care1	2	3	4	7	8	48/
Having access to parks and recreational facilities	2	3	4	7	8	49/
Being close to friends and relatives	2	3	4	7	8	50/
Being close to job opportunities1	2	3	4	7	8	51/
Being close to job training or job placement programs	2	3	4	7	8	52/
Police response time1	2	3	4	7	8	53/

54/

15. Would you like to be living in this neighborhood five years from now?

YES	1
NO	2
REFUSED	7
DON'T KNOW	8

16a.	Was your last unit where you lived immediately before your curren	t address	
	In a private market rate unit for which you paid the rent	5 (IF YES, DO NOT REA OTHER RESPONSES)	
	In a private unit with Section 8 assistance,	4	
	In a public housing development,	2	55-56/
	In scattered site public housing,	3	
	Other? (SPECIFY)	_57-58/ 7	
	REFUSED	97	
			59-61/B
17.	Where did you live just before you moved to your current address?	Did you live	
	In this neighborhood,	1	62/
	Outside this neighborhood but in this city,	2	
	In a different city in this state,	3	
	In a different state	4	
	Other? (SPECIFY)		
	63-64/	6	
	REFUSED	7	
18.	Did you live at (HOPE VI DEVELOPMENT) just before the redevestill called (OLD HOPE VI NAME)?)	elopment started? (that is, wher	ı it was
	YES	1	65/
	NO	2 (SKIP TO Q.20)	
	REFUSED	7 (SKIP TO Q.20)	
	DON'T KNOW	8 (SKIP TO Q.20)	

19a.	I'd like you to think about what the neighborhood was like before the redevelopment began. How wou you say your neighborhood looks <i>now</i> as compared to what it looked like <i>before the redevelopment</i> ? Would you say your neighborhood looks					
	Much better now,	1	66/			
	Somewhat better now,	2				
	Neither better nor worse now,	3				
	Somewhat worse now, or	4				
	Much worse now?	5				
	REFUSED	7				
	DON'T KNOW	8				
19b.	Comparing what your neighborhood was like <i>before the re</i> safe your neighborhood is <i>now</i> ? Would you say your neighborhood.		describe how			
	Much safer now,	1	67/			
	Somewhat safer now,	2				
	Neither safer nor less safe now,	3				
	Somewhat less safe now, or	4				
	Much less safe now?	5				
	REFUSED	7				
	DON'T KNOW	8				
19c.	How would you describe your neighborhood as a whole <i>no</i> you say it's	w compared to what it was be	fore? Would			
	A much better place now,	1	68/			
	A somewhat better place now,	2				
	Neither a better nor a worse place now,	3				
	A somewhat worse place now, or	4				
	A much worse place now?	5				
	REFUSED	7				
	DON'T KNOW	8				

20. Next, I'd like to ask you a standard set of questions that are often asked about safety in urban neighborhoods. Please tell me about the area *right outside your (building/house)*— the parking lots, the lawns, the street or sidewalks right outside your (building/house). Please tell me whether you think the following things are *currently* a big problem, some problem, or no problem right outside your (building/house).

	Big problem,	Some problem, or	No problem?	REF	<u>DK</u>	
Trash and junk in the parking lots and lawns. Is that a	1	2	3	7	8	75/
Graffiti, that is, writing or painting on the walls?	1	2	3	7	8	73/
Groups of people just hanging out?	1	2	3	7	8	72/
People <i>selling</i> drugs?	1	2	3	7	8	70/
People <i>using</i> drugs?	1	2	3	7	8	71/
People being attacked or robbed right outside your building?		2	3	7	8	69/
Shootings and violence?	1	2	3	7	8	74/
Are there other issues about your townhouse/comment on? SPECIFY:	apartment or	development t	hat you'd lik	te to		
1Is that a		2	3	7	8	78/
2 Is that a	79-80/	2	3	7	8	81/
3		2	3	7	8	84/

21.	Please tell me if any of the following things have happened to you or to anyone in your household at
	(HOPE VI DEVELOPMENT) in the past 12 months. In the past 12 months

W	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>REF</u>	<u>DK</u>	
Was anyone in your household's wallet, purse, or jewelry snatched from them	1	2	7	8	85/
Did anyone try to break into your home to steal something	1	2	7	8	88/
Was anyone beaten or assaulted	1	2	7	8	86/
Was anyone stabbed or shot	1	2	7	8	87/

Supportive Services

22. Are there any supportive services available to you at (HOPE VI DEVELOPMENT), such as adult education classes, day care, youth programs, or household management programs?

YES	1	89/
NO	2 (SKIP TO Q.24)	
DON'T KNOW	8 (SKIP TO Q.24)	

Now I'd like to read you a list of services that may be available to residents of this development. Please tell me whether or not you or anyone in your household have used this service since you moved			I		IF				satis 1 tha			
to the (HOPE VI DEVELOPMENT).	YES	N O	R E F U S E D	DON, T KNOW		V e r y s a ti s fi e d	S o m e w h a t s a ti s fi e d	Neithers atisfied nordissatisfied	Some what dissatisfied	V e r y d i s s a ti s fi e d	R E F U S E D	D O N, T K N O W
Adult education like job training services or GED or ESL classes	1	2	7	8		1	2	3	4	5	7	8
Household management or budgeting classes 92/	1	2	7	8		1	2	3	4	5	7	8

93/

Parenting programs 94/	1	2	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	7	8	95/
General counseling about the types of support services you may need	1	2	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	7	8	97/
A drug or alcohol prevention or treatment program _{98/}	1	2	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	7	8	99/
Child care services or the Head Start program 100/	1	2	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	7	8	101/
Sports, youth or after school programs.	1	2	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	7	8	103/

Rent and Assistance Information on Current Unit

CA: GO TO Q27

24.	Do you own or rent your (apartment/house)?		
	OWN		104
	DENT	2	

CT: GO TO Q26a

25.	Are you in a home ownership program to buy your unit	1?	
	YES	1	105
	NO	2	
	REFUSED	7	
	DON'T KNOW	8	
26a.	Do you live in a public housing unit?		
	YES	1 (SKIP TO Q.27)	100
	NO	2	
	REFUSED	7 (SKIP TO Q.27)	
	DON'T KNOW	8	
26b.	Do you receive any rental assistance		
	YES	X	107
	NO	4 (SKIP TO Q27)	
	DON'T KNOW	8	
	IF YES: Do you		
	Receive Section 8,	1	
	Pay a lower rent because the federal, state, o	r local	
	government pays part of the cost, or	2	
	Receive some other type of housing		
	assistance (SPECIFY)		
		108-109/3	
	DON'T KNOW	Q	

Household Information

27.	Finally, I'd just like to ask you a few questions about yourself and your household.	
	In what year were you born?	110-113/
28.	How would you describe your ethnicity?	
	Hispanic or Latino 1	114/
	Not Hispanic or Latino	
	REFUSED7	
29.	How would you describe your race? You may choose more than one.	
	White 1	115/
	Black or African American2	116/
	American Indian or Alaska Native	117/
	Asian4	118/
	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	119/
	REFUSED7	
30.	How many people currently live in your (apartment/house), including yourself?	
	people (If "1" SKIP TO Q.32a)	120-121/
31.	Including yourself, how many household members are adults and how many are children under the age of 18?	
	adults	122-123/
	children	124-125/
32a.	Do you currently work for pay?	
	YES 1	126/
	NO2	
	REFUSED7	
32b.	(IF ANSWER TO Q.30 IS "1," SKIP TO Q.33) Does anyone else living in this unit currently work for pay?	

	YES		127.
	NO REFUSED		
33.	Are you currently enrolled in school or a vocational tr	aining program?	
	YES	1	128
	NO	2	
	REFUSED	7	
34.	What is your <i>estimated</i> total household income before <i>approximation</i> on a monthly or annual basis. Please i		an
	\$00 per month or \$.00 per year	
(SKII	P to Q. 36 IF INCOME IS MORE THAN \$100,000 Pl		
	DON'T KNOW	8 (ASK Q.34a)	140
	REFUSED		
34a.	ASK ONLY IF Q34= DON'T KNOW OR REFUSION Will your total household income this year be <i>more</i> the same of the same		"NO")
	\$5,0001	YESNO	
	\$10,000		141
	\$15,000		142
	\$20,000		143
	\$30,000		144
	\$40,000		145 146
	\$50,000		140.
	\$75,000		147.
	\$100,000?		148.
			,
	REFUSED		
	DON'T KNOW	8	

IF INCOME IS MORE THAN \$100,000 PER YEAR OR \$8,300 PER MONTH SKIP TO Q36

35. Do you or does anyone in your household currently receive any income from any of the following sources:

YES NO REF DK	
Supplemental security income or SSI?	150/
Other disability pay like SSDI (Social Security Disability Income), a veteran's disability benefit,	
or workers compensation for a work-related injury?1 2 7 8	151/
Food stamps or money for food on a benefits card?	152/
Cash from public aid including AFDC or TANF (Aid to	
Families with Dependent Children or Temporary Assistance to Needy Families)?	153/

154-155/

36. What is the highest grade or year of school you have completed?

None	. 1
Some Grade School (1-8)	. 2
Grade School (1-8)	. 3
Some High School	. 4
High School/GED	. 5
Some College or Trade School	. 6
Completed College or Trade School	.7
Some Graduate School	. 8
Graduate or Professional Degree	.9
REFUSED	. 97
DON'T KNOW	.98

37.	Which of the following best describes your curre apply to you.	ent marital status? You may choose	all that
	Single, not living with a partner	1	15
	Single, living with a partner	2	15
	Married	3	15
	Divorced or separated	4	15
	Widowed	5	16
	REFUSED	7	16
38.	My supervisor may want to contact you again to May I have your name and a telephone number NAME:	where you can be reached?	V.
	()	<u> </u>	
	NO TELEPHONE	0	
	REFUSED	7	
	NK YOU: Thank you for your time and assistance. EINTERVIEW ENDED (24-hour clock)::		162-16
39.	RECORD GENDER OF RESPONDENT:		
	MALE	1	17
	FEMALE	2	
40.	INTERVIEWER		171-17
41.	DATE INTERVIEW COMPLETED		173-18
42.	COMPLETED		
	IN PERSON	1	18
	BY TELEPHONE	2	

APPENDIX D HOPE VI PANEL STUDY

WAVE TWO RESIDENT SURVEY

HOPE VI Panel Study Wave Two Survey

May 29, 2003

Table of Contents

HOUSING QUALITY	4
MOBILITY	8
RELOCATION & SERVICES	15
NEIGHBORHOOD	20
ROSTER INFORMATION	34
EMPLOYMENT & HARDSHIP	38
ADULT HEALTH	50
CHILDREN	60
FINAL SECTION	79

Answers in ALL CAPS are not read. They are presented for the interviewer to code the respondent's answer into one of the categories.

SURVEY INTRODUCTION

We are conducting a follow-up study about the HOPE VI program. About two years ago, in 2001, we conducted a survey with you and other families who lived in [BASE DEVELOPMENT] and other developments affected by HOPE VI. HOPE VI is a program where housing authorities receive money to help them fix up or rebuild units in their developments. We are interested in what is happening in people's lives as their housing developments start to be fixed up or rebuilt.

The survey is very similar to the one you completed for us last time, and includes questions about your apartment/house, your neighborhood, as well as some questions about you and your family.

Taking this survey has no effect on any housing subsidy you might be receiving. Any information you provide me will remain anonymous; your answers will never be linked with your name or anything that could identify you.

Your participation in this survey is voluntary, but very important to our work. Your participation will in no way affect any housing benefits you receive or may be applying for.

The interview takes about one hour. At the end of the interview, I will give you a \$25 money order to thank you for your time.

First, I would like to make sure that I am speaking to the right person.

What is your date of birth?

YES, REPONDENT REPORTED DOB MATCHES THE ONE IN OUR RECORDS

NO, RESPONDENT PROVIDES A DIFFERENT DOB, RECORD BELOW

//_/
Mm/dd/yyyy

INTERVIEWER: CAPI WILL SHOW THE DOB IN OUR RECORDS. INDICATE WHETHER OR NOT THE DOB PROVIDED BY THE RESPONDENT MATCHES [RESPONDENT DOB].

CAPI: IF WITHIN ONE YEAR OF [RESPONDENT DOB] CONTINUE. IF DIFFERENCE IS MORE THAN ONE YEAR, ASK:

Did you live at [DEVELOPMENT NAME] [BASE ADDRESS] in 2001?

YES 1 CONTINUE

NO 2 THANK RESPONDENT AND EXPLAIN THAT YOUR RECORDS HAVE DIFFERENT INFORMATION.

REF 7 THANK RESPONDENT AND EXPLAIN THAT YOUR RECORDS HAVE DIFFERENT INFORMATION.

DK 8 THANK RESPONDENT AND EXPLAIN THAT YOUR RECORDS HAVE DIFFERENT INFORMATION.

IF RESPONDENT DATA VERIFY:

Now I'd like to go over this form that says you agree to take part in the survey, and get your signature.

INTERVIEWER: GO OVER CONSENT FORM, AND OBTAIN SIGNATURE

Now I'd like to confirm your current address and phone number.

Address:			
	STREET ADDRESS	APT OR UNIT #	
	CITY	STATE ZIP	
	DEVELOPMENT/PROJECT NAME	_	
	PHONE NUMBER	_	
INTERVIEV	WER: DOES RESPONDENT LIVE IN AN A	PARTMENT OR HOUSE?	
	Anartmont	П	
	Apartment		
CAPI: IF M	Apartment	$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
	House	□ ₁	
CAPI: IF M	House OVER=1, GO TO Q1. OVER =2 PROMPT:	□ ₂	
CAPI: IF M INTERVIEV	House OVER=1, GO TO Q1. OVER =2 PROMPT: WER: IS CURRENT ADDRESS THE SAME	□ ₂	
CAPI: IF M	House OVER=1, GO TO Q1. OVER =2 PROMPT: WER: IS CURRENT ADDRESS THE SAME RESS] YES	AS BASE ADDRESS? [BASE CITY]	
CAPI: IF M INTERVIEV	House OVER=1, GO TO Q1. OVER =2 PROMPT: WER: IS CURRENT ADDRESS THE SAME RESS]	AS BASE ADDRESS? [BASE CITY]	

HOUSING QUALITY

I'm going to start off the survey with questions about where you live now.

Q1	I'd lik	e to ask you some questions about your housing situation. Do you	1	
		Rent your own room, apartment, or home,	1	SKIP TO Q2
		Own your own home,		SKIP TO Q2
		Live with family or friends and pay part of the rent or mortgage,	3	
		Live with family or friends and do not pay rent,	4	
		Or live in a group shelter?	5	SKIP TO Q2
		HOMELESS	6	SKIP TO M13
		INCARCERATED	7	SKIP TO Q7
		DORM OR BARRACKS	8	SKIP TO Q2
		HOSPITAL/NURSING HOME/SPECIAL SCHOOL	9	SKIP TO Q2
		OTHER	95	SKIP TO Q2
		REFUSED		•
		DON'T KNOW		•
[B: ALL]			
	01			1 0
	Q1a.	What is your relationship to the head of the household in which RELATIVE		are living?
		SPOUSE/PARTNER/BOYFRIEND/GIRLFRIEND		SKIP TO O1C
		FRIEND		SKII TO QIC
		OTHER (SPECIFY)		
		REFUSED		
		DON'T KNOW		
[B: Q1=3	3 41	DON I KNOW	70	
LD. QT	٠, ١]			
	Q1b.	What is the main reason you are living in someone else's housin		it?
		COULDN'T PAY RENT ON OWN UNIT		
		LOST JOB OR ENDED JOB		
		WAS DOING DRUGS		
		LANDLORD MADE ME LEAVE	4	
		DIDN'T GET ALONG WITH PEOPLE WHERE I		
		LIVED BEFORE	5	
		RESPONDENT OR A CHILD ABUSED/ VIOLENCE IN		
		THE HOUSEHOLD	6	
		CHANGE IN FAMILY STATUS	7	
		MOVED IN WITH PARTNER/BOYFRIEND/		
		GIRLFRIEND	8	
		RELEASED FROM JAIL/PRISON OR		
		CRIMINAL RECORD	9	
		OTHER (SPECIFY)		
		REFUSED	97	
		DON'T KNOW		
[B: Q1a=	=1, 3, 95,		0	

SKIP TO Q2

YES	Q1c.	Does your (spouse/partner/boyfriend/girlfriend) rent or own the	is
NO		(apartment/house)?	4
REFUSED			
DON'T KNOW			
B: Q1a=2			
Q2 How many years have you lived at your current address? NUMBER OF YEARS		DON'T KNOW	8
NUMBER OF YEARS	[B: Q1a=2]		
NUMBER OF YEARS	Q2 How many y	years have you lived at your current address?	
LESS THAN ONE YEAR	N	NUMBER OF YEARS1-9	6 SKIP TO Q3
REFUSED			
REFUSED	Ι	DON'T KNOW	1 SKIP TO O3
Q2a. RECORD THE NUMBER OF MONTHS. NUMBER OF MONTHS			•
Q2a. RECORD THE NUMBER OF MONTHS. NUMBER OF MONTHS 01-11 LESS THAN ONE MONTH. 00 DON'T KNOW. -1 REFUSED. -2 Q3 [B: Q2=0]Overall, how satisfied are you with the (apartment/house) where you live now? Would you say that you are: very satisfied, 1 somewhat dissatisfied, or 3 very dissatisfied? 4 NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED. 5 REFUSED. 7 DON'T KNOW. 8 [B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98] Would you describe the condition of your current (apartment/house)? Would you say it is in excellent, good, fair, or poor condition? 1 EXCELLENT 1 GOOD 2 FAIR 3 POOR 4 REFUSED 97 DON'T KNOW 98 [B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98]			
NUMBER OF MONTHS	[, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _,	^-1	
NUMBER OF MONTHS	O2a.	RECORD THE NUMBER OF MONTHS.	
LESS THAN ONE MONTH	~2		1
DON'T KNOW		I FSS THAN ONE MONTH 00	1
REFUSED			
Q3 [B: Q2=0]Overall, how satisfied are you with the (apartment/house) where you live now? Would you say that you are: 1 very satisfied,			
Would you say that you are: 1 very satisfied, 2 somewhat satisfied, 2 somewhat dissatisfied, or 3 very dissatisfied? 4 NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED 5 REFUSED 7 DON'T KNOW 8 [B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98] Would you describe the condition of your current (apartment/house)? Would you say it is in excellent, good, fair, or poor condition? 1 EXCELLENT 1 GOOD 2 FAIR 3 POOR 4 REFUSED 97 DON'T KNOW 98 [B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98]	03 [B: 02-0]0s		
very satisfied,			u nve now:
somewhat satisfied,	•		1
somewhat dissatisfied, or			
very dissatisfied?			
NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED 5 REFUSED 7 DON'T KNOW 8 [B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98] Would you describe the condition of your current (apartment/house)? Would you say it is in excellent, good, fair, or poor condition? EXCELLENT 1 GOOD 2 FAIR 3 POOR 4 REFUSED 97 DON'T KNOW 98 [B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98]			
REFUSED	V	very dissatisfied?	4
DON'T KNOW			
[B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98] Q4 Overall, how would you describe the condition of your current (apartment/house)? Would you say it is in excellent, good, fair, or poor condition? EXCELLENT 1 GOOD 2 FAIR 3 POOR 4 REFUSED 97 DON'T KNOW 98 [B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98]			
Q4 Overall, how would you describe the condition of your current (apartment/house)? Would you say it is in excellent, good, fair, or poor condition? EXCELLENT 1 GOOD 2 FAIR 3 POOR 4 REFUSED 97 DON'T KNOW 98 [B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98]	Ι	OON'T KNOW	8
say it is in excellent, good, fair, or poor condition? EXCELLENT 1 GOOD 2 FAIR 3 POOR 4 REFUSED 97 DON'T KNOW 98 [B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98]	[B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-	-98]	
EXCELLENT 1 GOOD 2 FAIR 3 POOR 4 REFUSED 97 DON'T KNOW 98 [B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98]	Q4 Overall, how	v would you describe the condition of your current (apartment/ho	use)? Would you
GOOD 2 FAIR 3 POOR 4 REFUSED 97 DON'T KNOW 98 [B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98]	say it is in ex	xcellent, good, fair, or poor condition?	
FAIR 3 POOR 4 REFUSED 97 DON'T KNOW 98 [B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98]	E	EXCELLENT	1
FAIR 3 POOR 4 REFUSED 97 DON'T KNOW 98 [B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98]	(GOOD	2
POOR			
REFUSED			
DON'T KNOW			
[B: Q1=1-4, 8, 9, 95-98]			

Q5 Think about the (apartment/house) where you lived at [BASELINE ADI say that this (apartment/house) is in better condition, worse condition, o condition as that (apartment/house)?		
CURRENT HOUSE IS BETTER	1	
CURRENT HOUSE IS WORSE		
ABOUT THE SAME		
REFUSED		
DON'T KNOW		
[B: MOVER=1 AND Q1=1-5, 8,9,95-98]		
I am going to ask you some questions about the condition of your <u>current</u> (a	partmei	nt/house).
Q6 During this past winter, was there any time when the house/apartment v or more that it caused anyone in your household discomfort?	vas so co	old for 24 hours
YES	1	
NO	2	SKIP TO Q7
DID NOT LIVE HERE LAST WINTER	3	SKIP TO Q7
REFUSED	7	SKIP TO Q7
DON' TKNOW	8	SKIP TO Q7
[B: Q1=1-4, 5, 8, 9, 95-98]		
Q6a. Was that because the heating system broke down, you coubill, or some other reason?	ıld not p	oay your utility
HEATING SYSTEM BROKE DOWN		
COULD NOT PAY UTILITY BILL	2	
KEEP COSTS DOWN SOME OTHER REASON	3	
SOME OTHER REASON	4	
REFUSED	7	
DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: Q6=1]		
CAPI IF Q2A=0, 1, OR 2, DTS 'while you have been living here' INS past 3 months.'	STEAD	OF 'in the
Q7 In the last 3 months, was there any time when all the toilets in the home		ot working?
YESNO		
REFUSED		
DON'T KNOW		
DON 1 KNOW	٥	
[B: Q1=1-4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 95-98]		

Q8.	been living he		le you have
	YES	1	
		2	
		USED7	
	DON'	N'T KNOW8	
[B: Q1=	=1-5, 7-9, 95-98]		
Q9.		use/apartment have any area of peeling paint or broken plaster bi	gger than 8
in		hes (the size of a standard letter)?	
		1	
		2	
	_	USED	
	DON?	N'T KNOW8	
[B: Q1=	=1-5, 7-9, 95-98]		
Q10.	Does your ho	ouse/apartment have an exposed radiator without a cover?	
	YES	1	
	NO	2	
	REFU	USED7	
	DON'	N'T KNOW8	
[B: Q1=	=1-5, 7-9, 95-98]		
Q11.	Is vour house	se/apartment infested with cockroaches?	
		1	
	NO	2	
	REFU	USED7	
	DON'	N'T KNOW8	
[B: Q1=	=1-5, 7-9, 95-98]		
Q12.	-	se/apartment infested with rats or mice?	
	YES	1	
		2	
		USED7	
	DON'	N'T KNOW8	
[B: Q1=	=1-5, 7-9, 95-98]		
Q13.	Does your ho	ouse/apartment have significant problems with mold on walls or o	reilings, for
	ole in your bath		
		1	
		2	
		USED7	
DON	T KNOW	8[B: Q1=1-5, 7-9, 95-98]	

MOBILITY

IF STAYER (MOVER=2), SKIP TO M3

M1	Is this unit in [<u>DEVNAME</u>]?		
	YES	1	
	NO	2 SKI	P TO M4
	REFUSED	7 SKI	P TO M4
	DON'T KNOW		
[B: MOV	VER=1]		
CAPI	IF "MOVER=1" AND SITE=ATLANTIC CITY		
M2	Is this (apartment/house) part of the new HOPE VI development		
	YES		
	NO		
	REFUSED		
	DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: MOV	VER=1and SITE=ATLANTIC CITY]		
	SKIP TO M4		
M3	The HOPE VI program requires that everyone living in this development of house where you will mosearching, or are you not looking for another place to live right now?	ove, are you	
	FOUND AN APARTMENT OR HOUSE WHERE YOU WILL I		SKIP
	CURRENTLY SEARCHING	2	
	NOT LOOKING FOR ANOTHER PLACE TO LIVE NOW	3	
	REFUSED	7	
	DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: M1=	=1 or MOVER=2]		

M3a. Wh	at is the main reason that you have not moved from [DEV	
	CREDIT PROBLEMS	
	CAN'T FIND A UNIT	
	DON'T WANT TO	
	SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD IS A GANG	4
	MEMBER/HAS A CRIMINAL RECORD	5
	NOT MY TURN YET	
	DON'T MEET SCREENING CRITERIA	
	OTHER (SPECIFY)	.93
	DON'T KNOW	
	DON I KNOW	.90
[B: M3=2, 3, 7, 8]		
M4. Is this the <u>first</u> p APARTMEN	olace you moved when you moved from [BASE ADDRESS Γ NUMBER1?	AND
	-	1 SKIP TO M5
NO		2
REFU	SED	7
DON'	Γ KNOW	8
[B: MOVER=1]		
M4a. Ho	w many times have you moved since you lived at [BASE A	
	[CAPI/INTERVIEWER: **'1' IS NOT AN OPTION *	
	THEN PROBE] In 2001, you lived at (BASE ADDR)	ESS AND
	APARTMENT NUMBER). So, including this (apar	tment/house),
	how many other places have you lived since then?	,,
	NUMBER OF MOVES	-24
	DON'T KNOW	1
	REFUSED	2
[B: M4=2, 7, 8]		

M5	What was the <u>main</u> reason you chose this (apartment/house)?
	BETTER SCHOOLS FOR MY CHILDREN1
	CHANGE IN MARITAL/ROMANTIC STATUS2
	TO HAVE BETTER TRANSPORTATION3
	A BETTER, OR BIGGER APARTMENT/HOUSE4
	CHANGE OF JOB/TO BE NEAR MY JOB5
	NO OR LESS DRUGS AND GANGS6
	TO BE NEAR MY FAMILY7
	HOUSING AUTHORITY OR PROGRAM FOUND IT FOR ME8
	SAFETY CONCERNS/FELT NEIGHBORHOOD WAS SAFER9
	THE ONLY ONE I COULD AFFORD10
	THE ONLY ONE THAT WAS AVAILABLE11
	OTHER (SPECIFY)95
	REFUSED97
	DON'T KNOW98
[B: MO'	VER=1]
	IF Q1=1, 3, THEN SKIP TO M8
	IF Q1=04-10 THEN SKIP TO M13
M6	Now I'd like to talk about how much you pay each month for housing.
What	is the monthly amount you pay for owning this (apartment/house)? We are interested in the payment you make to the bank or mortgage company.
	PER MONTH \$0-9999
	DON'T KNOW1
	REFUSED2
[B: Q1=	[2]
M7.	Did a government or nonprofit agency help you buy your home by providing down-payment assistance or help fixing or building the home? For example, Habitat for Humanity, the housing authority, or the HOPE VI office.
	YES1
	NO
	REFUSED
	DON'T KNOW8
[B: Q1=	

	M8.	Altogether in the month just past, what did	you pay as rent? We are interested only
	in kno	wing <u>your</u> part of the payment.	
		PER MONTH \$	0-3000
		DON'T KNOW	1
		REFUSED	
[B: Q1=	1, 3]		
M9.	What	is the total current monthly payment on this	(apartment/house)?
		PER MONTH \$	0-3000
		DON'T KNOW	1
		REFUSED	2
[B: Q1=	:1, 3]		
M10	Doog	anyone else in the household contribute to the	mont?
WIIU.	Dues a	YES	
		NO	
		REFUSED	
[D: O1	1 27	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: Q1=	1, 3]		
M11.	Do voi	ı pay utilities separately from rent? By utilit	ies, we mean the electric, gas or water
	bill.		, , ,
		YES	1
		NO	
		REFUSED	
		DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1=	:1, 3]	DON 1 KNOW	
	, ,		
M12.	Do voi	a currently receive any governmental housing	g assistance in naving rent, such as
11112.	·	the public housing or Section 8 or Housing Ch	
	viii oug	YES	
		NO	
		REFUSED	
		DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1=	:1 3]	DON I KNOW	
LD. Q1	1, 5]		
	М	12a. Are you paying lower rent because the F	ederal state or local government is
	141	paying for part of your rent?	The state of the s
		YES	1
		NO	
		REFUSED	
		DUN I KNUW	8 SKIP TO M13

	Project-based Section 8 or some other type of assistance		
	PUBLIC HOUSING		
	A SECTION 8 OR HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHE		
	PROJECT-BASED SECTION 8		
	OTHER (SPECIFY)		
	REFUSED		
	DON'T KNOW	98	
01=1, 3, 4]			
2.610			
M12c.	Is this building/development for elderly people or elde		
	(INTERVIEWER: THAT IS, THE BUILDING/DEVE	LLOPMEN	T DOES N
	INCLUDE HOUSEHOLDS WITH CHILDREN.)		
	YES		
	YESNO	2	
	YESNOREFUSED	2	
	YESNO	2	
//12b=1, 3, 95]	YES NOREFUSEDDON'T KNOW	2	
	YESNOREFUSED	2	
	YES NOREFUSEDDON'T KNOW	2	
412b=1, 3, 95] 3. Since you	YES NO REFUSED DON'T KNOW SKIP TO M14 moved from [DEVNAME], have you ever received any	2 7 8	
412b=1, 3, 95] 3. Since you	YES	2 7 8	
412b=1, 3, 95] 3. Since you assistance Voucher, o	YES	2 	ing Choice
412b=1, 3, 95] 3. Since you assistance Voucher, o	YES	2 	ing Choice
3. Since you assistance Voucher, o	YES	2	ing Choice
3. Since you assistance Voucher, 6	YES	2 2 7 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	ing Choice
3. Since you assistance Voucher, 6 HA	YES	2	ing Choice
3. Since you assistance Voucher, 6 HA HC SE PR	YES	2	ing Choice
3. Since you assistance Voucher, o HA HC SE PR OT	YES	2	ing Choice

M13a. People stop getting (housing assistance/public housing/Se		
different reasons. What would you say was the main reas	<u>son</u> you	are no longer
getting assistance? INCOME TOO HIGH/OVER INCOME/		
	1	
NO LONGER ELIGIBLE		
RENT OR UTILITIES GOT TOO HIGH		
EVICTED	3	
LOST SUBSIDY DUE TO PROBLEM WITH PHA		
(BROKE RULES, ETC.)	4	
RELOCATED FROM PUBLIC HOUSING AND		
COULD NOT MOVE BACK		
LANDLORD WOULD NOT TAKE SECTION 8		
WANTED TO OWN A HOME	7	
BOUGHT A HOME	8	
OTHER (SPECIFY)	95	
REFUSED	97	
DON'T KNOW	98	
[B: M12a=2,7,8 or Q1=2, 4-98]		
M14. Was there ever a time during the past year (that is, since SAME MC	ONTH A	ND LAST
YEAR) when you did not have your own place to stay?		
YES	1	
NO		SKIP TO M16
REFUSED		
DON'T KNOW		
DON'T KNOW		SKII TO WITO
[B: Q2=0 OR Q1=6 or 7]		
M15. During the past year, when you did not have your own place to stay.	WA WAI	ıld lika ta knaw
about any places where you stayed. Did you	, we wor	nu nke to know
M15astay with a relative		
•	1	
YES	•	
NO		
REFUSED		
DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: M14=1]		
M15bstay with a friend		
YES	1	
NO		
REFUSED		
DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: M14=1]		

M15cstay in a shelter [INTERVIEWER	R: A SHELTER IS A HOMELESS
SHELTER, EMERGENCY SHELTI	ER, OR DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
SHELTER]	1
YES	
NO	
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	8
[B: M14=1]	
M15d store on the street	
M15dstay on the street	1
YES	
NO	
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	8
[B: M14=1 OR Q1=6]	
CAPI IF Q1=1 OR 2 SKIP TO R1	
M16. How long has it been since you rented or ow	vned your own apartment or house:
TIME IN MONTHS	1
TIME IN YEARS	2
DATE	
I HAVE NEVER OWNED OR RENTED	
MY OWN UNIT	
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	
DOTT TRICO W	
IF RESPONDENT REPORTS LENGTH	OF TIME IN MONTHS, ENTER THE
NUMBER OF MONTHS	
NUMBER OF MONTHS	1-97
IF RESPONDENT REPORTS LENGTH	OF TIME IN VEARS ENTER THE
NUMBER OF YEARS	OF THE IN TEARS, ENTER THE
	1 07
NUMBER OF TEARS	1-97
IF RESPONDENT REPORTS A DATE, I	ENTER MONTH AND YEAR
MONTH	
YEAR	
DON'T KNOW	
REFUSED	
[B: Q1=3-95]	

RELOCATION & SERVICES

The next section asks questions about different information that you might have received from the housing authority, HOPE VI office, or social service agency.

R1	Did the housing authority provide information a developments in [BASELINE CITY]?	bout moving to other public housing
	YES	1
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: ALL]		
R2	Did the housing authority provide information a	bout the Section 8/Housing Choice Voucher
	Program?	
	YES	1
	NO	2
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: ALL]]	
R3	Did the housing authority provide information	
	YES	1
	NO	2
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: ALL]]	
R4	Did the housing authority provide information units?	on about transportation to look at available
	YES	1
	NO.	
	REFUSED.	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: ALL]		
R5	Did the housing authority provide information	on about dealing with a landlord or helping
	you with a lease?	
	YES	1
	NO	2
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: ALL]		

R6	Did the housing authority provide information about help paying a securit down payment?	-
	YES	
	NO	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: AL		,
R7	Did the housing authority provide information about help with paying for	utility hook-up
	(such as phone, electric, gas)?	
	YES	
	NO	2
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	}
[B: AL	LL]	
R8	The next section asks questions about other types of services that you migh	nt have received
	from the housing authority or a social service agency.	
	Are you currently getting help with finding a job?	
	YES	SKIP TO R9
	NO	2
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	}
[B: AL	LL]	
	R8a. Do you need help with finding a job?	
	YES	
	NO	2
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: R8=	=2, 7, 8]	
R9	Are you currently getting help with getting your GED or learning to re	
	YES	
	NO	
	REFUSED	
ID. AT	DON'T KNOW	3
[B: AL	L]	
	R9a. Do you need help with getting your GED or learning to read?	
	YES	L
	NO.	_
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: R9=	=2, 7, 8]	

YES	O R11
NO 2	
110	
REFUSED7	
DON'T KNOW8	
[B: ALL]	
R10a. Do you need help with job or computer training?	
YES1	
NO	
REFUSED7	
DON'T KNOW8	
[B: R10=2, 7, 8]	
D11 A.,	
R11 Are you getting help with college counseling or financial aid referrals? YES	O D 12
	O K12
NO	
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW8	
[B: ALL]	
R11a. Do you need help with finding college counseling or financial aid referrals	₂ 9
YES1	•
NO2	
REFUSED7	
DON'T KNOW8	
[B: R11=2, 7, 8]	
[B. KII 2, I, 0]	
R12 Are you getting help with budget management or credit counseling?	
YES	O R13
NO2	
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW8	
[B: ALL]	
[]	
R12a. Do you need help with budget management or credit counseling?	
YES1	
NO2	
REFUSED7	
DON'T KNOW8	
[B: R12=2, 7, 8]	

R13	Are you receiving any legal assistance?	
	YES	1 SKIP TO R14
	NO	2
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: ALL]		
	R13a. Do you need legal assistance?	
	YES	
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: R13=2]	2, 7, 8]	
As you l	know, [BASE DEVELOPMENT] is being redeveloped and reside	ents will have to relocate
either te	emporarily or permanently. Some housing authorities make rule	es about who can live in the
	relopment.	
	•	
R14	Do you want to live in the development after it is fixed up or	rebuilt?
	YES	
	NO	
	CURRENTLY LIVE IN NEW DEVELOPMENT	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
	DON I KNOW	
[B (MOV	ER=2) OR (MOVER=1 AND M2= 2,7,8 or blank)]	
R15	Do you think you are eligible to move to the new developmen	nt?
KIJ	YES	
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: R14=1	2 7 81	
[В. КГТ 1	, 2, 7, 0]	
R16	Has the housing authority provided information on screening	a critoria or aligibility rules
KIU	for moving to the new HOPE VI development?	g criteria or engionity rules
	•	1
	YES	
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	8 SKIP TO N1
ID. D14 1	2.7.01	
[B: R14=1]	, ∠, /, δ]	

	R16a. Do you feel like the housing authority has m	ade the rules clear?
	YES	
	NO	2
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: R16=1]		

NEIGHBORHOOD

Next I have a few questions about your neighborhood.

CAF	PI IF MOVER=2 SKIP TO N5	
N1	Thinking about your neighborhood now, are you living in the same neighborhoul ived at [BASELINE ADDRESS] or living in a different neighborhood?	nood as when
	SAME	SKIP TO N5
	DIFFERENT2	
	REFUSED7	
	DON'T KNOW8	
[B: M	[OVER=1]	
N2	Do you still have friends or relatives in your old neighborhood, where you live	ed at
	[BASELINE ADDRESS]?	
	YES1	CIZID TO ME
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW8	SKIP TO N5
[B: N	1=2, 7, 8]	
N3	How often do you go back to visit friends or relatives in that old neighborhood EVERY DAY (6-7 TIMES A WEEK)	d?
	SEVERAL DAYS A WEEK (3-5 TIMES A WEEK)	
	TWICE A WEEK	
	ABOUT ONCE A WEEK	
	2-3 TIMES A MONTH	
	ONCE A MONTH	
	A COUPLE OF TIMES A YEAR	
	NEVER	
	REFUSED	
[B: N:	DON'T KNOW	
	1	
N4	How often do your friends or relatives from that old neighborhood visit you?	
	EVERY DAY (6-7 TIMES A WEEK)1	
	SEVERAL DAYS A WEEK (3-5 TIMES A WEEK)2	
	TWICE A WEEK	
	ABOUT ONCE A WEEK4	
	2-3 TIMES A MONTH5	
	ONCE A MONTH6	
	A COUPLE OF TIMES A YEAR7	
	NEVER8	
	REFUSED97	
	DON'T KNOW98	
[B: N:	2=1]	

These questions ask about what it's like to live in your current neighborhood.

	How many years have you lived in your current ne	
	Number of years	1-96
	LESS THAN ONE YEAR	
	DON'T KNOW	
	REFUSED	2
[B: ALL]		
	How many of your friends live in the same neighbor	rhood as you? Would you say none, a
0	or many?	
	NONE,	
	A FEW,	
	MANY	3
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: ALL]		
	How many of your family members live in the same	e neignbornood as you? would you sa
	none, a few or many? NONE, A FEW,	1
	none, a few or many? NONE, A FEW, MANY	1
	none, a few or many? NONE, A FEW, MANY REFUSED.	1 2 3 7
n	none, a few or many? NONE, A FEW, MANY REFUSED. DON'T KNOW.	1 2 3 7
n	none, a few or many? NONE, A FEW, MANY REFUSED. DON'T KNOW.	1 2 3 7
n [B: ALL] N8 V	NONE,	1 2 3 7
n [B: ALL] N8 V	NONE,	in the second se
n [B: ALL] N8 V	NONE,	

N9	Where you live now, how often do you stop to chat with a neighbor in the Would you say	e street or hallway?
	Almost every day,	1
	Once a week	2
	Once a month,	3
	A few times a year, or	4
	Never?	5
	ONCE	6
	REFUSED.	
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: AI		
N10	Neighbors sometimes do things to help each other out. Where you live no help any of your neighbors by doing anything like – looking after their cl borrow something, or helping them with things around the house or yard Almost every day, Once a week, Once a month,	hild, letting them 1?123
	A few times a year, or	
	Never?	
	ONCE	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: AI	L]	
N11	Where you live now, how often do any of your neighbors help you by doi types of things for you? [IF NECESSARY, REPEAT LIST: anything lik your child, letting you borrow something, or helping you with things arouyard.] Almost every day,	e – looking after und the house or
	Once a week,	
	Once a month,	
	A few times a year, or	
	Never?	
	ONCE	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: AI		0
[ո. դւ	nu]	

N12	you describe how safe your current neighborhood is	
	neighborhood? Is your current neighborhood much safer now	1
	somewhat safer now	
	about the same	
	somewhat less safe now, or	
	much less safe now?	
	REFUSED DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1	1=1-5, 8, 9, 95-98 and N1=2]	
N13	the area that you consider your neighborhood when y disagree with the following statements.	you tell me how much you agree or
	N13a. People around here are willing to help the	=
	Strongly agree	
	Somewhat agree	
	Neither agree or disagree	
	Somewhat disagree	
	Strongly disagree	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: Q1	1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]	
	N13b. People in this neighborhood share the sar	ne values.
	Strongly agree	
	Somewhat agree	
	Neither agree or disagree	
	Somewhat disagree	
		5
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1	1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]	
	N12 - This is a short built on table and	
	N13c. This is a close-knit neighborhood.	1
	Strongly agree	
	Somewhat agree	2
	Neither agree or disagree	5
	Somewhat disagree	
	Strongly disagree	
	Strongly disagreeREFUSEDDON'T KNOW	7

[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]

	ple in this neighborhood can be trusted.	
	Strongly agree	1
	Somewhat agree	2
	Neither agree or disagree	
	Somewhat disagree	
	Strongly disagree	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]		
N13e. Peo	ple in this neighborhood generally get along with each o	other.
	Strongly agree	1
	Somewhat agree	2
	Neither agree or disagree	
	Somewhat disagree	
	Strongly disagree	5
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
-	t that your neighbors would do something if they saw . hildren skipping school and hanging out on a street cor	
is		1 2 3 4 5
	very likely, likely, neither likely nor unlikely, unlikely, or very unlikely? REFUSED. DON'T KNOW.	1 2 3 4 5
is [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]	very likely, likely, neither likely nor unlikely, unlikely, or very unlikely? REFUSED. DON'T KNOW.	1 2 3 4 5
is [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]	very likely, likely, neither likely nor unlikely, unlikely, or very unlikely? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	1 2 3 4 5 7
is [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]	very likely, likely, neither likely nor unlikely, unlikely, or very unlikely? REFUSED. DON'T KNOW.	1234578
is [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]	very likely, likely, neither likely nor unlikely, unlikely, or very unlikely? REFUSED. DON'T KNOW.	1 2 3 4 5 7 8
is [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]	very likely, likely, neither likely nor unlikely, unlikely, or very unlikely? REFUSED. DON'T KNOW.	1 2 3 4 5 7 8
is [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]	very likely, likely, neither likely nor unlikely, unlikely, or very unlikely? REFUSED DON'T KNOW. hildren spray-painting graffiti on a local building? very likely, likely, neither likely nor unlikely,	1 2 3 4 5 7 8
is [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]	very likely, likely, neither likely nor unlikely, unlikely, or very unlikely? REFUSED. DON'T KNOW. hildren spray-painting graffiti on a local building? very likely, likely, neither likely nor unlikely, unlikely, or	1234578
is [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]	very likely, likely, neither likely nor unlikely, unlikely, or very unlikely? REFUSED. DON'T KNOW. hildren spray-painting graffiti on a local building? very likely, likely, neither likely nor unlikely, unlikely, or very unlikely?	1234578

N14c.	children showing disrespect to an adult?	1
	very likely,	
	likely,	
	neither likely nor unlikely,	
	unlikely, or	
	very unlikely?	
	REFUSEDDON'T KNOW	
	DON I KNOW	8
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97	7, 98]	
N14d.	a fight break out in front of their home?	
	very likely,	1
	likely,	
	neither likely nor unlikely,	
	unlikely, or	4
	very unlikely?	
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97		
/ <u>L</u>	think about the area that you consider your neighborhood and ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all.	d tell me
following ite	ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all. In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED	1 2 3
following ite N15a.	ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all. In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem,	1 2 3
following ite N15a.	ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all. In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem,	1 2 3
following ite N15a. [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97]	In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem, or some problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	1 2 3 7 8
following ite N15a. [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97	ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all. In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	1 2 3 7 8
following ite N15a. [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97	ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all. In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW 7, 98] Groups of people just hanging out big problem, some problem, or	1 2 3 7 8
following ite N15a. [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97	ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all. In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem, some problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW Groups of people just hanging out big problem, some problem, or no problem at all?	1 2 3 7 8
following ite N15a. [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97	In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW. Groups of people just hanging out big problem, or some problem, or no problem at all?	1 2 3 7 8
following ite N15a. [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97	ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all. In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem, some problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW Groups of people just hanging out big problem, some problem, or no problem at all?	1 2 3 7 8
following ite N15a. [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97	ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all. In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW. Groups of people just hanging out big problem, some problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW.	1 2 3 7 8
following ite N15a. [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97] N15b.	ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all. In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW. Groups of people just hanging out big problem, or some problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW.	1 2 3 7 8
following ite N15a. [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97] N15b.	ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all. In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW Groups of people just hanging out big problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	1 2 3 7 8
following ite N15a. [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97] N15b.	ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all. In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem, or	1 2 3 7 8
following ite N15a. [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97] N15b.	ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all. In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW. 7,98] Groups of people just hanging out big problem, some problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW.	1 2 3 7 8
following ite N15a. [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97] N15b.	ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all. In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW. 7,98] Groups of people just hanging out big problem, some problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW. 7,98] The number of teenage mothers big problem, some problem, some problem, roughly a some problem, some problem, some problem, some problem or no problem at all?	1 2 3 7 8
following ite N15a. [B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97] N15b.	ems are - a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all. In your neighborhood, is unemployment a big problem, some problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW. 7,98] Groups of people just hanging out big problem, some problem, or no problem at all? REFUSED DON'T KNOW.	1 2 3 7 8

N15	5d. Lack of public transportation	
	big problem,	1
	some problem, or	2
	no problem at all?	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 9:	5, 97, 98]	
N15	5e. Quality of schools	
	big problem,	1
	some problem, or	
	no problem at all?	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 9:	5, 97, 98]	
N15	5f. Graffiti, that is, writing or painting on the	e walls of the buildings
	big problem,	
	some problem, or	
	no problem at all?	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 9:	5, 97, 98]	
N15	5g. Trash and junk in the parking lots, streets	
	big problem,	
	some problem, or	
	no problem at all?	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 9:	5, 97, 98]	
	l thinking about the area that you consider yo g items are - a big problem, some problem, or	
		-
N16	6a. In your neighborhood, is police not coming	
	big problem,	
	some problem, or	
	no problem at all?	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 9	5, 97, 98]	

N16b. l	People being attacked or robbed	
	big problem,	1
	some problem, or	
	no problem at all?	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97,	98]	
N16c. 1	People <u>selling</u> drugs	
	big problem,	1
	some problem, or	
	no problem at all?	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97,	. 98]	
N16d. 1	People <u>using</u> drugs	
	big problem,	
	some problem, or	
	no problem at all?	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97,	98]	
N16e. (Ganos	
11100	big problem,	1
	some problem, or	
	no problem at all?	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97,	98]	
N16f. 1	Rape or other sexual attacks	
	big problem,	1
	some problem, or	
	no problem at all?	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97,	98]	

	N1	6g. Shootings and violence		
		big problem,	1	
		some problem, or	2	
		no problem at all?		
		REFUSED		
		DON'T KNOW		
[B: Q1	=1-5, 8, 9, 9	5, 97, 98]		
N17	Have you days?	ı seen people using or selling illegal drugs in your neighborl	nood duri	ng the past 30
	uujs.	YES	1	
		NO		SKIP TO N18
		REFUSED		
		DON'T KNOW		
[B: Q1	=1-5, 8, 9, 9			
[B: NI		7a. How often have you seen this in the past 30 days? Woulday, once a week, or once or twice in the past 30 days? ALMOST EVERY DAY (INCLUDES 4-7 DAYS A WEEK) ONCE A WEEK (INCLUDES 1-3 DAYS A WEEK) ONCE OR TWICE IN THE PAST 30 DAYS (INCLUDES 1-3 TIMES IN 30 DAYS) REFUSED DON'T KNOW	1 2 3 7	almost every
N18	Have you	a heard gunshots in your neighborhood during the past 30 d	•	
		YES		
		NO		
		REFUSED		
		DON'T KNOW	8	SKIP TO NI9
[B: Q1	=1-5, 8, 9, 9	5, 97, 98]		
	N13	8a. How often have you heard this in the past 30 days? Wor	uld you sa	ny almost every
		day, once a week, or once or twice in the past 30 days?		
		ALMOST EVERY DAY	1	
		(INCLUDES 4-7 DAYS A WEEK)	I	
		ONCE A WEEK	_	
		(INCLUDES 1-3 DAYS A WEEK)	2	
		ONCE OR TWICE IN THE PAST 30 DAYS		
		(INCLUDES 1-3 TIMES IN 30 DAYS)		
		REFUSED		
		DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: N1	8=1]			

N19	The next questions ask about services in your neighborhood. We	would like to know	how
	long it takes you to get to certain places in your neighborhood.		

N19a. Hov	v long does it take you to get to the nearest bus or train stop	
	Less than 15 minutes,	
	16 to 30 minutes,	
	31 to 45 minutes,	
	46 minutes to one hour, or	
	More than one hour	
	NOT APPLICABLE	
	REFUSED9	
	DON'T KNOW9	3
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]		
N19b. Hov	v long does it take you to get to the grocery store you use mo	st of the time?
	Less than 15 minutes,	
	16 to 30 minutes,	
	31 to 45 minutes,	
	46 minutes to one hour, or	
	More than one hour	
	NOT APPLICABLE	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]		
N19c. Hov	v long does it take you to get to the doctor, health clinic, or h	ospital you use
	t of the time?	
	Less than 15 minutes,	1
	16 to 30 minutes,	
	31 to 45 minutes,	
	46 minutes to one hour, or	
	More than one hour.	
	NOT APPLICABLE	
	REFUSED. 9	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]		

Now, I'm going to ask you some questions about safety and crime.

N20	How safe do you feel or would you feel being out alone	e in the parking lots, the lawns, the
	street or sidewalks <u>right outside your (building/house)</u>	at night? Do you feel
	very safe,	1
	somewhat safe	2
	somewhat unsafe, or	3
	very unsafe?	4
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1	=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]	
N21	How safe do you feel being alone inside your (apartme	ent/house) at night? Do you feel
	very safe,	1
	somewhat safe	2
	somewhat unsafe, or	3
	very unsafe?	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1	=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]	
Pleas	e tell me if any of the following things have happened to	o you or anyone who lives with you in
	ast six months, that is, since [INSERT MONTH SIX M	
шс <u>в</u>	ust six miorens, that is, since [ii (82111 1/101(111 Six 1/1	
N22	Did anyone in your household have their purse, walle	t, or jewelry snatched from them in the
	past six months?	, ,
	YES	1
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: AI		5111 10 1(25
	N22a. Who did this happen to - you, another ad	ult in the household, or a child?
	(MARK ALL THAT APPLY)	
	SELF	1
	ANOTHER ADULT	
	A CHILD	3
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: N2		
[D. 112		
N23	Was anyone in your household threatened with a knif	
	YES	
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	8 SKIP TO N24
[D. AT	11	
[B: AI	மு	

	N23a. Who did this happen to – you, another add (MARK ALL THAT APPLY)	ilt in the household, or	a child?
	SELF	1	
	ANOTHER ADULT		
	A CHILD		
	REFUSED		
	DON'T KNOW		
[B: N23=1]	DON'T KINOW		
N24 Was	s anyone in your household beaten or assaulted in t	the lest six months?	
1124 Was	YES		
			SKIP TO N25
	NO		
	REFUSED		
[B: ALL]	DON'T KNOW	8	SKIP TO N25
	N24a. Who did this happen to – you, another add (MARK ALL THAT APPLY) SELF	ŕ	a child?
	ANOTHER ADULT		
	A CHILD		
	REFUSED		
[B: N24=1]	DON'T KNOW	8	
N25 Was	s anyone in your household stabbed or shot in the YES NO	1	SKIP TO N26
	REFUSED		
	DON'T KNOW		
[B: ALL]	DON'T KNOW		SIGH 10 1120
N25a	. Who did this happen to – you, another adult in the THAT APPLY)	ne household, or a child	I? (MARK ALL
	SELF	1	
	ANOTHER ADULT	2	
	A CHILD	3	
	REFUSED.	7	
	DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: N25=1]			
N26 Was	s anyone in your household caught in a shootout in		
	YES		GIVID TO STATE
	NO		SKIP TO N27
	REFUSED		SKIP TO N27
	DON'T KNOW	8	SKIP TO N27
[B: ALL]			

	N26a. Who did this happen to – you, another adult in the household, or a child? (MARK ALL THAT APPLY)
	SELF1
	ANOTHER ADULT 2
	A CHILD
	REFUSED7
	DON'T KNOW
[B: N2	
NIOT	Did ballida assas inda assas (amandas and liberary) in the last in an and all
N27	Did bullets come into your (apartment/house) in the last six months?
	YES
	NO
	REFUSED
	DON'T KNOW8
[B: Q1	1=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]
N28	Did anyone try to break into your home in the last six months?
1120	YES
	NO
	REFUSED7
	DON'T KNOW
	DON I KNOW
[B: Q1	[=1-5, 8, 9, 95, 97, 98]
N29	Next, I 'd like to ask you about any community activities you may have taken part in during the last year. In the last year, have you ever
	the last year. In the last year, have you ever
	N29a done volunteer work in any programs in the community, like daycare, youth
	groups, programs for the elderly, or recreation program?
	YES1
	NO2
	REFUSED7
	DON'T KNOW8
[B: AI	LL]
	N29btaken part in any local organizations like clubs, sports teams, ethnic or racial
	pride groups, or other community groups?
	YES1
	NO. 2
	REFUSED
	DON'T KNOW8
[B: AI	
L 111	<u>-</u>

]	N29c voted in any political election, such special referendum?	as a general election, primary election, or
	YES	1
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: ALL]		
]	N29d participated in the activities of a ch group?	urch, mosque, temple, or other religious
	YES	1
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: ALL]		
Ī	N29e served in a Neighborhood Watch or	· tenant patrol program?
•	YES	
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: ALL]		
]	N29f taken part in community efforts to and youth?	make the neighborhood better for children
	YES	1
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: ALL]	DOIN I KINOW	

ROSTER INFORMATION

In order to understand a little about your household, I would like to ask you about each of the people who are currently living in this household.

First, I would like to confirm who is currently living in your household. I would like to start with the family members who you reported were living together when you were interviewed about two years ago. After we talk about those people, I will ask you about any new household members if there are any. As I said before, the information you give me will not affect your housing status. We will never connect your name with your answers.

HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS FROM BASELINE SURVEY CAPI ASK L1-L5 FOR EACH PERSON

-	ME] still live with you? ES	SKIP TO L1b
	0	5121 10 210
	ECEASED. 3	SKIP TO NEXT PERSON
	EFUSED7	
	ON'T KNOW 8	
[B: ALL]		
L1a.	Why does [NAME] no longer live with you?	
ZI	MOVED TO HIS/HER OWN APARTMENT	SKIP TO NEXT PERSON
	MOVED IN WITH OTHER RELATIVE	
	MOVED IN WITH A NON- RELATIVE 3	
	PERSONINCARCERATED4	SKIP TO NEXT PERSON
	HOSPITALIZED OR INSTITUTIONALIZED5	SKIP TO NEXT PERSON
	HOMELESS6	SKIP TO NEXT PERSON
	DECEASED7	SKIP TO NEXT PERSON
	CHILD REMOVED FROM HOME/IN FOSTER CARE PERSON	E8 SKIP TO NEXT
	REFUSED97	SKIP TO NEXT PERSON
	DON'T KNOW	SKIP TO NEXT PERSON
[B: L1=2]		
CAPI: IF DOB 1		
L1b.	What is [NAME's] date of birth?	
	MM/DD/YYYYSKIP TOL1c	
	REFUSED97	
ID. dah-miasir-1	DON'T KNOW 98	SKIP TO L1b1.
[B: dob=missing]		

[B: L1=1 and AGE <18]

L	lb1. How old is [NAME] right now	?	
	Age	SKIP TOL1c	
	REFUSED		SKIP TO L1c.
	DON'T KNOW	98	SKIP TO L1c.
[B: L1b=97 c	or 98]		
CAPI: IF RE	CLATIONSHIP IS MISSING:		
L	1c. What is [NAME'srelationship	to you?	
	INSERT STANDARD RELAT	IONSHIP CODES	
	REFUSED	97	
	DON'T KNOW	98	
[B: relationshi	p =missing]		
L2 Is [NA	AME] enrolled in school now?		
	YES	1	SKIP TO L1 FOR NEXT PERSON
	NO	2	SKIP TO L1 FOR NEXT PERSON
	REFUSED	7	SKIP TO L1 FOR NEXT PERSON
	DON'T KNOW	8	SKIP TO L1 FOR NEXT PERSON

L3	What is his/her marital status? Is he/she	
	Married1	
	Not married, living with partner2	
	Not married, not living with partner	
	SEPARATED/WIDOWED/DIVORCED4	
	REFUSED7	
	DON'T KNOW8	
[B: L1=	=1 and AGE \geq 18]	
L4	What is his/her employment status?	
	FULL-TIME	
	PART-TIME2	
	NOT WORKING	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW8	
[D · I 1-	=1 and AGE ≥ 18]	
[B. L1-	-1 and AGE ≥ 16]	
L5	Did he/she graduate from high school or receive a GED?	
	YES1	
	NO2	
	REFUSED7	
	DON'T KNOW8	
[B: L1=	=1 and AGE ≥ 18]	
[B. ET	1 mid 1162 _ 101	
	REPEAT L1-L5 UNTIL ASKED OF ALL MEMBERS FROM BASE. THEN, PROCEED TO L6	LINE
L6	Is there anyone else currently living in your household? YES	
	NO	SKIP TO E1
	REFUSED	SKIP TO E1
	DON'T KNOW8	
[B: AL		SKII TO LI
	L6a. If yes, how many <u>other</u> people currently live in your household?	
	DON'T KNOW1	
	REFUSED2	
	REPUSED2	

Now, I will ask a few questions about each additional person in your household. Starting with other adults...

L7	What is his/her first name? INSERT NAME	
[B: L6=	=1]	
L8.	What is his/her relationship to you?	
	SPOUSE/PARTNER	
	SON	
	DAUGHTER	
	GRANDCHILD	
	OTHER RELATIVE	
	OTHER NON-RELATIVE	
	REFUSED	
[B: L6=	DON'T KNOW	8
L9.	What is his/her birthday?	
	MM/DD/YYYY	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: L6=	REFUSED	2
CAP	I CALCULATE AGE AS OF 8/1/2003	
L10.	What is his/her sex?	
	MALE	1
	FEMALE	2
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: L6=	=1]	
T 44		
LII.	What is his/her ethnicity?	1
	HISPANIC	۱
	NON-HISPANIC	
	REFUSED	
[B: L6=	DON'T KNOW	8
T 12	What is his/her race?	
1/12.	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	1
	WHITE	
	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKAN NATIVE	
	ASIANASIAN MATIVE	
	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR PACIFIC ISLANDER	
	OTHER (SPECIFY)	93
	REFUSEDDON'T KNOW	
[B: L6=		98

L13.	Is [NAME] enrolled in school now?	
	YES1	
	NO	
	REFUSED7	
	DON'T KNOW8	SKIP TO L17
[B: L6=	and AGE <18]	
L14.	What is his/her marital status? Is he/she	
	MARRIED 1	
	NOT MARRIED, LIVING WITH PARTNER	
	NOT MARRIED, NOT LIVING WITH PARTNER	
	SEPARATED/WIDOWED/DIVORCED	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW8	
[B: L6=	1 and AGE ≥ 18]	
L15.	What is his/her employment status?	
	FULL-TIME	
	PART-TIME2	
	NOT WORKING3	
	REFUSED7	
	DON'T KNOW8	
[B: L6=	1 and AGE ≥ 18]	
L16.	Did he/she graduate from high school or receive a GED?	
	YES1	
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW8	
[B: L6=	1 and AGE ≥ 18]	

IF YES, ASK L7-L16 FOR EACH ADDITIONAL PERSON IN HOUSEHOLD

EMPLOYMENT & HARDSHIP

[B: E4>0]

Next, I have a few questions about work. **E1** Do you currently work for pay? [B: ALL] **E2** Do you currently have more than one job? DON'T KNOW......7 REFUSED. 8 [B: E1=1] **E3** On average, about how many hours a week do you work at your main job? IF NEEDED: (By main job we mean the one at which you work the most hours.) REFUSED......8 [B: E1=1] **E4** How much do you earn at your current job? DON'T KNOW.....-1 REFUSED. -2 [B: E1=1] E4a. Is that per... Hour _____1 Month 4 OTHER (SPECIFY_____).....95 REFUSED. 7

DON'T KNOW.....8

E5	How long have you been working at your <u>main</u> job?	
	LESS THAN 3 MONTHS	
	FROM 3 MONTHS TO JUST UNDER 6 MONTHS	2
	FROM 6 MONTHS TO JUST UNDER ONE YEAR	3
	FROM ONE YEAR TO JUST UNDER 3 YEARS	4
	THREE YEARS OR MORE	5
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: E1=		
T (
E6	Generally, how long does it take you to get to work?	1
	LESS THAN 15 MINUTES	
	16 TO 30 MINUTES	
	31 TO 45 MINUTES	
	46 MINUTES TO ONE HOUR	
	MORE THAN ONE HOUR	5
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: E1=	=1]	
F.5	H. J.	ODE FOR THE
E7	How do you get to work? [INTERVIEWER: IF MORE THAN ONE, PR	OBE FOR THE
	ONE USED MOST OFTEN]	4
	BUS OR OTHER PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION	
	CAR (OWN CAR)	
	CAR (BORROWED CAR)	
	TAXI CAB	
	WALK	5
	WORK AT HOME	
	RIDE WITH A FRIEND (CARPOOL)	
	OTHER (SPECIFY)	.95
	REFUSED	97
	DON'T KNOW	98
[B: E1=	=1]	
E8	Please tell me how you found your current job. Did you find it [INTE	RVIEWER: IF
	MORE THAN ONE, PROBE FOR THE MAIN ONE USED.]	
	Through a friend or relative who lives	
	in your neighborhood,	
	Through a friend or relative who lives someplace else,	2
	Through the newspaper or radio,	3
	By visiting employers to see if they had openings,	4
	Through a private employment agency,	5
	Through the welfare office,	
	Through an unemployment office,	
	Through a neighborhood agency, or	
	OTHER (SPECIFY)	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: E1=		.,0

E9	- •	your employer, are you eligible for any of the following benefits? By eligit the benefit is available to you now, even if you have decided to not receive	
	have not n		e it oi
		. Health insurance?	
	L) u.	YES1	
		NO 2	
		REFUSED	
		DON'T KNOW8	
[B: E1	=1]	DOTY TIEVO W	
	E9b.	. Sick leave?	
		YES1	
		NO2	
		REFUSED7	
		DON'T KNOW8	
[B: E1	=1]		
	E9c.	. Paid vacation?	
		YES1	
		NO2	
		REFUSED7	
		DON'T KNOW8	
[B: E1	=1]		
E10	•	ave a disability that has made it difficult for you to keep a job in the last si	x months?
	N	NO2	
	R	REFUSED7	
	Γ	DON'T KNOW8	
[B: E1			
E11	made it dit Y N	ild or another member of the household have a disability or health proble ifficult for you to keep a job in the last six months? YES	m that has
	R	REFUSED7	
		DON'T KNOW8	
[B: E1	=1]		
		SKIP TO E17	
E12	How many	y years has it been since you were last employed full or part time?	
	N	Number of years1-96	
		LESS THAN ONE YEAR0	
	Γ	DON'T KNOW1	
	R	REFUSED2	
[B: E1	=2, 7, 8		

E13	Have yo	ou looked for a job in the last 12 months?	
		YES	1
		NO	2
		REFUSED	7
		DON'T KNOW	8
[B: E1:	=2, 7, 8		
F14	What is	the main reason you are not working? (DO NOT READ CH	OICES)
1714	vviiat 18	ILL	
		DISABLED AND UNABLE TO WORK	
		RETIRED.	
		TAKING CARE OF HOME OR FAMILY	
		GOING TO SCHOOL	
		CANNOT FIND WORK	
		TEMPORARILY NOT WORKING BECAUSE OF	
		SICK LEAVE, A STRIKE, BAD WEATHER, OR	
		TEMPORARY LAY-OFF	7
		OTHER (SPECIFY)	
		REFUSED	97
		DON'T KNOW	
[B: E1:	=2, 7, 8]	DOIV I KIVOW	
[-, ., .,		
E15	-	have a disability that prevents you from accepting any kind of	f work during the next
	six mon		
		YES	
		NO	
		REFUSED	
		DON'T KNOW	8
[B: E1:	= 2, 7, 8		
E16	Does a c	child or another member of the household have a disability or	health problem that
		s you from accepting any kind of work during the next six mo	
	•	YES	
		NO	2
		REFUSED	
		DON'T KNOW	
[B: E1:	= 2, 7, 8]		
T15	T 41 1		
E17		ast twelve months, have you completed any job training classe	
	(GED C	lasses, courses for college credit, apprentice programs, or other	
		YES	
		NO	
		REFUSED	
[D. AT	т 1	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: AL	L]		

E18 Think about your own experience with looking for a job or working during the last twelve months. Please tell me whether any of the following factors have made it difficult for you to look for a job, get a job, or keep a job.

		YES	NO	REF	DK
E18 A	Not having work experience	1	2	7	8
E18 B	Not having child care	1	2	7	8
E18 C	Lack of transportation	1	2	7	8
E18 D	Not speaking English well	1	2	7	8
E18 E	Having a disability	1	2	7	8
E18 F	Discrimination	1	2	7	8
E18 G	Lack of jobs in the neighborhood	1	2	7	8
E18 H	Having a drug or alcohol problem	1	2	7	8
E18 I	Having a criminal record	1	2	7	8

[B: E1=1 or E13=1 or E12=0]

CAPI IF HH INCLUDES ANYONE UNDER 18 (FROM ROSTER)

E19 During the past 12 months, was child care or lack of child care ever such a problem that you could not take a job or had to stop working, or could not attend education or training activities?

YES1	
NO	SKIP TO E20
REFUSED	SKIP TO E20
DON'T KNOW8	SKIP TO E20

[B: HHMEMBER UNDER 18]

E19a. What were the problems you had with child care or lack of child care? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY) PROBE: Any other problems?

COST TOO MUCH	1
COULDN'T FIND CHILD CARE FOR	
TIMES YOU NEEDED	2
TOO FAR FROM WORK OR HOME	3
CAREGIVER UNAVAILABLE OR	
NOT RELIABLE	4
WORRY ABOUT CHILD ABUSE OR	
UNSAFE ENVIRONMENT	5
CHILD SICK OR DISABLED	6
SUBSIDY PAYMENT LATE, SO	
LOST PROVIDER	7
OTHER (SPECIFY)	95
REFUSED	97
DON'T IZNOW	0.0
DON'T KNOW	98

[B: E19=1]

The next few questions ask about different kinds of public assistance, or welfare.

E20	In the past 6 months, have you or anyone in your household receive Income or SSI?	ved Supplen	nental Security
	YES	1	
	NO	2	SKIP TO E21
	REFUSED	7	SKIP TO E21
	DON'T KNOW	8	SKIP TO E21
[B: AI	LL]		
	E20a. Is/Was this SSI for you, another adult in the househo	ld, or a child	l in the
	household? (MARK ALL THAT APPLY)		
	SELF	1	
	ANOTHER ADULT	2	
	A CHILD IN THE HOUSEHOLD	3	
	REFUSED		
	DON'T KNOW		
[B: E2			
E21	In the next 6 menths, have you on anyone in your household need	wad athan di	aahilitu nav
E21	In the past 6 months, have you or anyone in your household receisuch as SSDI (Social Security Disability Insurance), a veteran's d		
	compensation for a work-related injury?		
	YES	1	
	NO	2	SKIP TO E22
	REFUSED	7	SKIP TO E22
	DON'T KNOW		
[B: AI			
			 6
	E21a. Is/Was this SSDI, veteran's disability benefit or work another adult in the household? (MARK ALL THAT	APPLY)	sation for you of
	SELF		
	ANOTHER ADULT		
	BOTH SELF AND ANOTHER ADULT	3	
	REFUSED	7	
	DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: E2	21=1]		
E22	In the past 6 months, have you or anyone in your household recei	ved food sta	mns or monev
	for food on the EBT card (the Electronic Benefits Transfer card)		mps or money
	YES		
	NO		SKIP TO E23
	REFUSED		SKIP TO E23
	DON'T KNOW		
[B: AI		8	SKIF 10 E23
LD. AI	ևեյ		

E22a. What year did you (or, this person) first receive food stamps/EBT	Γ?
DON'T KNOW1	
REFUSED2	
[B: E22=1]	
E23 In the past 6 months, have you or anyone in your household received cash fro assistance like AFDC/TANF or [STATE NAME]? [INTERVIEWER: TANF TEMPORARY ASSISTNACE TO NEEDY FAMILIES]	
YES	
NO2	SKIP TO E24
REFUSED7	
DON'T KNOW	SKIP TO E24
E23a. What year did you (or, this person) first receive AFDC/TANF?	
DON'T KNOW	
REFUSED2	
[B: E23=1]	
NUMBER OF YEARS LESS THAN ONE YEAR	
E24 Have you ever received cash from [NAME OF STATE WELFARE PROGRA AFDC or TANF as an adult? YES	AM] including
NO	SKIP TO E25
[B: E23=2,7,8]	5111 TO 223
E24a. What year did you first receive cash from [NAME OF STATE W PROGRAM] including AFDC or TANF as an adult? (year)	ELFARE
DON'T KNOW1 REFUSED2	
[B: E24=1]	

	E24b. How many years in total have you (or this person)	received fility (of filibe).
	NUMBER OF YEARS LESS THAN ONE YEAR	0
	DON'T KNOW	
	REFUSED	
[B: E2		
-	•	
ETNI A	ANCES	
E25	<u>ANCES</u> Do you have a bank account of any kind, such as checking or sa	ovinge?
E23	YES	8
	NO.	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: AI		
-	•	
E26	Do you have a place that you use for cashing checks, other than	a bank?
	YES	
	NO	2
	REFUSED.	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: AI	L]	
some	housing situation. For these statements, please tell me whether times, or never true for your family in the last 12 months, that is th) of last year.	
E27	The first statement is "(I/We) worried whether (my/our) our fo got money to buy more." Was that often, sometimes, or never	
	the last 12 months. OFTEN TRUE SOMETIMES TRUE NEVER TRUE REFUSED	1 2 3 7
ſD. AI	OFTEN TRUE	1 2 3 7
[B: AI	OFTEN TRUE	1 2 3 7
[B: AI E28	OFTEN TRUE	
_	OFTEN TRUE SOMETIMES TRUE NEVER TRUE REFUSED DON'T KNOW "The food that (I/we) bought just didn't last, and (I/we) didn't Was that often, sometimes, or never true for (you/your family) OFTEN TRUE SOMETIMES TRUE NEVER TRUE REFUSED	
_	OFTEN TRUE SOMETIMES TRUE NEVER TRUE REFUSED DON'T KNOW "The food that (I/we) bought just didn't last, and (I/we) didn't Was that often, sometimes, or never true for (you/your family) OFTEN TRUE SOMETIMES TRUE NEVER TRUE REFUSED DON'T KNOW	

E29	In the last 12 months, since (name of current month) of last year, did (year) adults in your family) ever cut the size of your meals or skip meals because nough money for food?	ise th	
	YES		CIZID TO E20
	NO		SKIP TO E30
	REFUSED		
[B: Al	DON'T KNOWLL]	8	SKIP TO E30
	E29a. How often did this happen? Was it		
	Almost every month	1	
	Some months but not every month, or		
	Only 1 or 2 months?		
	REFUSED		
	DON'T KNOW		
[B: E2			
E30	Do you currently have a telephone in this household, not including cell p YES NO	127	
	E30a. Do you have a cell phone you use as your regular phone?		
	YES	1	
	NO	2	SKIP TO E32
	REFUSED	7	SKIP TO E32
[B· E2	DON'T KNOW	8	SKIP TO E32
[Б. Б.	70-2, 7, 6]		
E31	than 24 hours because you could not afford to pay the telephone bill?		ervice for more
	YESNO		
	REFUSED		
	DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: E3	30=1 or E30a=1]		

	-	mes have trouble paying their utility bills on time. D		nonths,
wer	•	more than 15 days late paying your electric, gas or v		
				ГО Е33
		APPLICABLE		ΓΟ E33
		LITIES INCLUDED IN RENT/CONDO FEES		ГО Е33
		USED		ГО Е33
		V'T KNOW		ГО Е33
[B: ALL]				
		When you had trouble paying for utilities, were you ex	ver charged a fee fo	or late
	p	ayment?		
		YES		
		NO		
		REFUSED		
[B: E32=1]		DON'T KNOW	8	
	E32b.	Did you receive a notice that your gas, water, or e if you did not pay your bill? YES	·	shut off
		NO		
		REFUSED		
		DON'T KNOW		
[B: E32=1]				
		n the past 12 months, was your gas, water, or electric onpayment?	ity ever shut off fo	r
		YES	1	
		NO		
		REFUSED	7	
		DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: E32=1]				

IF NOT RENTER OR OWNER (Q1=03-10) THEN SKIP TO H1

IF OWNER (Q1=02) THEN SKIP TO E37

E33	During the past 12 months, were you ever more than 15 days late paying you	r rent?
	YES1	
	NO2	
	NOT APPLICABLE3	SKIP TO H1
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW8	
[B: Q1	=1]	
E34	In the last 12 months, has your current or a previous landlord or the housing threatened to evict you for non-payment of rent?	authority ever
	YES1	
	NO. 2	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1		
E35	During the last 12 months, have you been evicted from a home for any reason	1?
	YES1	
	NO	
	REFUSED	
[D. O.1	DON'T KNOW8	SKIP TO E36
[B: Q1	=1]	
	E35a. Why was that?	
	NON-PAYMENT OF RENT1	
	LANDLORD WANTED UNIT FOR	
	SELF OR RELATIVE2	
	HOUSEKEEPING3	
	DAMAGE TO UNIT4	
	BEHAVIOR OF CHILDREN	
	NUISANCE (LOUD MUSIC, PARTIES, ETC.)	
	OTHER (SPECIFY)95	
	REFUSED97	
	DON'T KNOW98	
[B: E3		
E36	In the past 12 months, has the owner or manager complained about your hou	ısekeening
1230	visitors, life style, partner's behavior, damage to the unit, or your children's	
	YES1	
	NO2	
	REFUSED7	
	DON'T KNOW8	
[B: Q1	=1]	

E37	During t	the past 12 months, were you ever more than 15 days late paying	your mortgage?
	J	YES	
		NO	2
		REFUSED.	7
		DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1:	=2]		
E20	To the lea	at 12 months has the hould over three toned to formalize on your	
E38	reason?	st 12 months, has the bank ever threatened to foreclose on your	mortgage for any
		YES	1
		NO	2
		REFUSED	7
		DON'T KNOW	8
[B: Q1:	=2]		
E39	During t	the last 12 months, did the bank foreclose on your mortgage?	
	Ö	YES	1
		NO	
		REFUSED.	
		DON'T KNOW	
[B: Q1:	=2]		

ADULT HEALTH

H1 Now, I'd like to ask you some questions about yourself. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with the following statements. (READ EACH STATEMENT.)

	H1a.	Good luck is more important than hard work for success. Do yo
		strongly agree,1
		somewhat agree, 2
		somewhat disagree, or3
		strongly disagree?4
		REFUSED7
		DON'T KNOW8
[B: ALL]		
	H1b.	Every time I try to get ahead, something stops me. Do you
		strongly agree,1
		somewhat agree,2
		somewhat disagree, or3
		strongly disagree?4
		REFUSED7
		DON'T KNOW8
[B: ALL]		
	774	XX71 X 1 1 X 11 /1 /1
	H1c.	When I make plans, I can usually carry them out.
		strongly agree,
		somewhat agree,
		somewhat disagree, or
		strongly disagree?4
		REFUSED7
		DON'T KNOW8
B: ALL]		

	H1d.	Planning only makes people unhappy because plans hardly ever
		anyway
		strongly agree,1
		somewhat agree, 2
		somewhat disagree, or
		strongly disagree?4
		REFUSED7
		DON'T KNOW8
B: ALL]		

The next few questions ask about your health.

H2 In genera	, would you say your health is:	
	excellent 1	
	very good	
	good3	
	fair, or	
	poor?	
	•	
	REFUSED	
(D. 4111	DON'T KNOW8	
[B: ALL]		
U3 Do vou bo	ve any illness or recurring health condition that requires regular, ong	oing opro?
113 Do you na	YES1	onig care.
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW8	
[B: ALL]		
Now I am goi	ng to ask you about certain medical conditions.	
H4 Has a doc	tor or other health professional <u>ever</u> told you that you had asthma?	
	YES 1	
	NO	SKIP TO H7
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: ALL]	DON I KNOW	SKIP TO II/
[B. ALL]		
H4a.		
п4а.	Do you still have symptoms? (coughing wheeging shortness of breat	· h)
	Do you still have symptoms? (coughing, wheezing, shortness of breat	th)
	YES1	th)
	YES	th)
	YES	th)
	YES	th)
[B: H4=1]	YES	th)
[B: H4=1]	YES	th)
[B: H4=1]	YES	th)
_	YES	
_	YES	
_	YES	tack?
_	YES 1 NO 2 REFUSED 7 DON'T KNOW 8 Past 12 months, have you had an episode of asthma or an asthma at YES 1 NO 2	tack? SKIP TO H7
_	YES	tack? SKIP TO H7 SKIP TO H7
H5 During th	YES 1 NO 2 REFUSED 7 DON'T KNOW 8 Past 12 months, have you had an episode of asthma or an asthma at YES 1 NO 2	tack? SKIP TO H7 SKIP TO H7
_	YES	tack? SKIP TO H7 SKIP TO H7

	ring the g	east 12 months, did you have to visit an emergency room thma?	m or urgent care center	
	Y	ES	1	
	N	O	2	
	R	EFUSED	7	
		ON'T KNOW		
[B: H5=	1]			
Н7 На	ive you eve	<u>r</u> been told by a doctor or other health professional the	at you had hypertension	ı,
als	o called hi	gh blood pressure?		
	Y	ES	1	
	N	0	2 SKIP TO H	18
	R	EFUSED	7 SKIP TO H	18
	Г	ON'T KNOW	8 SKIP TO H	18
[B: ALL	.]			
	H7a.	Were you told on two or more <u>different</u> visits that yo	ou had hypoptancian als	
	п/а.		ou nau ny pertension, ais	U
		called high blood pressure?	1	
		YES		
		NO		
		DON'T KNOW		
[B: H7=	.17	REFUSED	δ	
	-	er been told by a doctor or other health professional th	nat vou have diabetes or	
	gar diabete		iat you have diabetes of	
Su		ES	1	
		0		10
		EFUSED		
		ON'T KNOW		
[B: ALL		ON I KNOW	5 SKII 101	17
	Н8а.	How old were you when a doctor <u>first</u> told you that y diabetes?	ou had diabetes or suga	r
		1-100	1-100	
		DON'T KNOW	1	
		REFUSED.		
[B: H8=	1]		_	
Н9 На	ave vou evo	<u>r</u> been told by a doctor or other health professional the	at vou had arthritis?	
		ES		
		O		
		EFUSED		
		ON'T KNOW		
[B: ALL				

H10	About how tall are you wi	thout shoes?	(RECORD IN FEET.	AND INCHES)	
	HEIGHT:	FT	INCHES		
	DON'T KNOW			1	
	REFUSED			2	
[B: ALI	.]				
H11	About how much do you v	weigh withou	t shoes? (RECORD IN	POUNDS)	
		POUNDS		(1 Gerabs)	
	DON'T KNOW			1	
[B: ALI					
The n	ext few questions ask about	smoking.			
H12	Have you smoked at least	_	•		
					CIVID TO 1114
					SKIP TO H13
[B: ALI				8	
	H12a. On how many o	of the past 30) days did you smoke a	cigarette?	
	•	-		_	SKIP TO H12c
			'S		
					SKIP TO H12d
[B: H12	=1 or 8]				
	H12h On the average	when you cr	noked in the last 30 da	vs. about how r	nany cigarattas
	did you smoke	•	nokeu in the last 50 ua	ys, about now i	nany eigarettes
			ARETTES	1_99	SKIP TO H13
[B: H2a					SKIF TO III 3
	H12c. How long has it	t been since y BER OF YEA			
		BER OF MON			
		BER OF WEE			
		BER OF DAY			
				_1	
[B· H12	a=0, -2, -1]			<i>-</i>	
L	, - , -,				

The next questions ask about alcohol use. When I use the work "drink" in the next questions, I mean either a glass of wine, a can or bottle of beer, or a shot or jigger of liquor either alone or in a mixed drink.

H13	In any one year, have you had at least 12 drinks of any type of alcoholic	bev	erage?
	YES		J
	NO		SKIP TO H19
	REFUSED	7	SKIP TO H19
	DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: ALI	L]		
TT4.4			
H14.	In the past 12 months, how often did you usually have at least one drink three to four days a week, one to three days a month, less than once a mo		
	the past 12 months?		
	NEARLY EVERY DAY		
	THREE TO FOUR DAYS PER WEEK	2	
	ONE TO TWO DAYS PER WEEK	3	
	ONE TO THREE DAYS PER MONTH	4	
	LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	5	
	NOT AT ALL IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS	6	SKIP TO H19
	REFUSED	7	SKIP TO H19
	DON'T KNOW	8	SKIP TO H19
[B: H13	B=1 or 8]		
H15	On the days you drank in the past 12 months, about how many drinks diper day?	d y	ou usually have
	NUMBER OF DRINKS		
	DON'T KNOW		
	REFUSED		SKIP TO H19
[B: H14	4=1-5]		
H16	In the past 12 months, on how many days did you have 5 or more drinks	of	any alcoholic
	beverage?		
	NUMBER OF DAYS		
	DON'T KNOW	-1	SKIP TO H18
	REFUSED	-2	SKIP TO H19
[B: H14	4=1-5 and H15 >O]		

CAPI IF (DRINKS 1+ DAYS PER WEEK) OR (AT LEAST 3 DRINKS PER DAY 1-3 DAYS PER MONTH), THEN CONTINUE. ELSE, SKIP TO H19.

H17	Did your drinking or being hung over frequently interfere with your work or
	responsibilities at school, on a job, or at home during the past 12 months?

YES	SKIP TO H19
NO	
REFUSED7	
DON'T KNOW8	SKIP TO H19

[B: (H14=1, 2, 3) or (H14=4 and H15>2)]

H18 During the past 12 months, did your drinking cause arguments or other serious or repeated problems with your family, friends, neighbors, or co-workers?

YES	1
NO	
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	8

[B: H17=2, 7]

ACTIVITIES OF DAILY LIVING

The next questions ask about difficulties you may have doing certain activities because of a <u>health problem</u>. By "health problem" we mean any physical, mental, or emotional problem or illness (not including pregnancy). [HAND R SHOWCARD.]

H19 By yourself, and without using any special equipment, how difficult is it for you to...

	Not at all difficult	Only a little difficult	Somewhat difficult	Very difficult	Can't do at all	Do not do this activity	REF	DK
H19a walk a quarter of a mile – about 3 city blocks?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
H19b walk up 10 steps without resting?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
H19c stand or be on your feet for	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
about 2 hours? H19d sit for about 2 hours?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
H19e stoop, bend, or kneel?	1	$\frac{2}{2}$	3	4	5	6	7	8
H19f reach up over your head?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

[B: ALL]

H20	During the past 12 months, was your physica	l health e	ever such	a probl	em that yo	ou coulo	d not
	take a job or had to stop working, or could no					ities?	
	YES NO						
	REFUSED						
	DON'T KNOW						
[B: ALI			•	••••••			
Now I felt in	ETY SERIES 'm going to change topics and ask you some q the past month. For each question, please ind nost of the time, some of the time, or none of t	licate wh				_	
H21	How much of the time during the past mont	h have yo	ou				
		All of the time	Most of the time	Some of the time	None of the time	REF	DK
H21a.	felt nervous?	1	2	3	4	7	8
H21b.	felt calm and peaceful?	1	2	3	4	7	8
H21c.	felt downhearted and blue?	1	2	3	4	7	8
H21d.	felt happy?	1	2	3	4	7	8
	felt so down in the dumps that nothing cheer you up?	1	2	3	4	7	8
[B: ALI	.]						
The no	ext questions are about physical activity.						
H22	In a usual week, do you do activities for at lo bicycling, vacuuming, gardening, or anythir or heart rate?						
	YES				1		
	NO					IP TO	H23
	REFUSED					IP TO	
[B: ALI	DON'T KNOW		•••••		8 SK	IP TO	H23
	H22a. How many days per week do you time? NUMBER OF DA					nutes a	t a
	DON'T KNOW						
	REFUSED						
[B: H22	=1]						

<u>CIDI</u>	Depression Series	
H23	During the past 12 months, was there ever a time when you felt sad, blue or	depressed for
	two weeks or more in a row?	
	YES1	SKIP TO H25
	NO2	
	(IF VOLUNTEERED)	
	I WAS ON MEDICATION/ANTI-DEPRESSANTS	SKIP TO H35
	REFUSED7	
	DON'T KNOW8	
[B: AL		
H24	During the past 12 months, was there ever a time lasting two weeks or more	e when vou lost
	interest in most things like hobbies, work, or activities that usually give you	
	YES	-
	NO	
	(IF VOLUNTEERED)	51411 10 1155
	I WAS ON MEDICATION/ANTI-DEPRESSANTS3	SKIP TO H35
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW8	
	DON 1 KINOW	5KII 10 1133
[B: H2	3=2, 7, 8]	
H25	For the next few questions, please think of the two-week period during the	oast 12 months
	when these feelings were worst. During that time did the feelings of (being	
	depressed/losing interest in things) usually last all day long, most of the day	
	day, or less than half the day?	,
	ALL DAY LONG1	
	MOST OF THE DAY2	
	ABOUT HALF THE DAY	
	LESS THAN HALF THE DAY4	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW8	
	DOIT I KINOW	51411 10 1133
[B: H2	4=1 or H23=1]	
H26	During those two weeks, did you feel this way every day, almost every day,	or less often?
	EVERY DAY	
	ALMOST EVERY DAY	
	LESS OFTEN	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW8	
[B· H2	5= 1, 2, 3]	
[10.112.	٠ - ١, ٢, ٢, ١	

(IF QUESTION H24 =1, SKIP TO H28 OTHERWISE ASK H27)

H27	During those two weeks, did you lose interest in most things like hobbi	es, wo	ork, or activities
	that usually give you pleasure?		
	YES		
	NO		
	REFUSED		
	DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: H2	5= 1, 2, 7, 8 and H23=1]		
H28	Thinking about those same two weeks, did you feel more tired or low o	n ene	rgy than is usua
	for you?		
	YES		
	NO		
	REFUSED		
	DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: H20	5=1,2,7,8		
H29	Did you gain or lose weight without trying, or did you stay about the s	ame?	
	GAINED		
	LOST	2	
	(IF VOLUNTEERED) BOTH GAINED AND LOST		
	STAYED ABOUT THE SAME		SKIP TO H30
	(IF VOLUNTEERED) WAS ON A DIET		
	REFUSED		
	DON'T KNOW		
[B: H20	5 = 1, 2, 7, 8		
	H29a. About how much did (you gain / you lose / your weight char	ige)?	
	POUNDS	0 /	
	DON'T KNOW	1	
	REFUSED		
[B: H29	9=1, 2, 3		
INTE	RVIEWER: IF H29A IS MORE THAN 10 POUNDS, VERIFY WIT	H RE	SPONDENT
THA	Γ THE WEIGHT CHANGE OCCURRED WITHIN THE PAST 2 WE	EKS	
	VERIFICATION: IF H29A IS 10 OR MORE THEN FLAG H29B A ERWISE H29B=NO (2).	AS YI	ES (1);
H30	During those two weeks did you have more trouble falling asleep than		?
	YES		CIZID TO 1122
	NO		SKIP TO H32
	REFUSED		
	DON'T KNOW	8	SKIP TO H32
[B: H2	5 = 1, 2, 7, 8		

H31	Did that happen every night, nearly every night, or less often EVERY NIGHT	
	NEARLY EVERY NIGHT	1
	LESS OFTEN	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: H30		
[В. 113	0-1	
H32	During those two weeks, did you have a lot more trouble con	
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: H20	6= 1, 2, 7, 8]	
Н33.	People sometimes feel down on themselves, no good, or worth period, did you feel this way?	nless. During that two week
	YES	1
	NO	2
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: H20	6=1,2,7,8]	
H34	Did you think a lot about death either your own, someone eduring those two weeks?	else's, or death in general
	YES	1
	NO	2
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: H20	6= 1, 2, 7, 8]	
1125	Desire the sent 12 months have seen bed a social betier	
H35	During the past 12 months, have you ever had a period lastin most of the time you felt worried, tense, or anxious?	g one month or longer when
	YES	1 SKIP TO C1
	NO	2
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: AL		
H36	People differ a lot in how much they worry about things. Did	I vou have a time in the past 12
	months when you worried a lot more than most people would	d in your situation?
	YES	
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: H3:	5=2, 7, 8]	

CHILDREN

IF NO FOCAL CHILDREN FROM BASELINE INFORMATION, SKIP TO F11

NOTE TO CAPI PROGRAMMER: We are asking about the SAME focal children as at Baseline. Some households will complete both the section for a younger child and an older child, while others will complete the section for older children two times.

CAPI USE ROSTER AND ASK ONLY IF FOCAL CHILD IS STILL IN HOUSEHOLD. [INCLUDE ROSTER ID FOR CHILD1]

The next set of questions are about your children.

C1 During the last survey you completed two years ago, you answered some questions about a child named [NAME] who is about [AGE] years old now. How many days per week does [NAME] live in your home?

	[Enter number of days]	-7
	DON'T KNOW	-1
	REFUSED	-2
[B: L1= 1, 7, 8]		

IF CHILD IS 6-17 NOW, SKIP TO C9

YOUNGER CHILDREN [CHILDREN UNDER AGE 6]

¹ You will pre-load a dataset that has household ID, along with the name, age, and gender for both CHILD1 and CHILD2.

C2	Now I'd like you to rate how much each of the following statements describes [CHILD].				
	[HAND RESPONDENT CARD]				
	Not at all like this child				
	A little like this child				
	Somewhat like this child				
	A lot like this child4				
	Completely like this child5				
	REFUSED7				
	DON'T KNOW8				
C2a.	[CHILD] is usually in a good mood. Would you say this is				
C2b.	[CHILD] is admired and well liked by other children. Would you say this				
C2c.	[CHILD] shows concern for other people's feelings.				
C2d.	[CHILD] shows pride when he/she does something well or learns something new.				
C2e.	[CHILD] easily calms down after being angry or upset.				
C2f.	[CHILD] is helpful and cooperative.				
[B: FOCA	AL 1/2 = PACKED and FOCAL 1/2 AGE <6]				

C3. Now I am going to read some statements that describe behavior problems that many children have. Please tell me whether each statement has been <u>often</u> true, <u>sometimes</u>, or <u>not</u> true of [CHILD] during the past 3 months.

	Often True	Sometimes True	Not True	DON'T KNOW	REFUSED
C3a. Has trouble getting along with teachers [B: L2=1 and FOCAL 1/2 = PACKED and FOCAL 1/2]	_	2	3	7	8
C3b. Is disobedient at school [B: L2=1 and FOCAL 1/2 = PACKED and FOCAL 1/2]	1 /2 AGE <6]	2	3	7	8
C3c. Is disobedient at home [B: FOCAL 1/2 = PACKED and FOCAL 1/2 AGE <6]	1	2	3	7	8
C3d. Hangs around with kids who get in trouble [B: FOCAL 1/2 = PACKED and FOCAL 1/2 AGE <6]	1	2	3	7	8
C3e. Bullies or is cruel or meanothers [B: FOCAL 1/2 = PACKED and FOCAL 1/2 AGE <6		2	3	7	8
C3f. Is restless or overly active can't sit still [B: FOCAL 1/2 = PACKED and FOCAL 1/2 AGE <6		2	3	7	8
C3g. Is unhappy, sad, or depressed [B: FOCAL 1/2 = PACKED and FOCAL 1/2 AGE < 6	1	2	3	7	8
C4. How many days in the past week dito [CHILD]? NUMBER OF DAYS DON'T KNOW REFUSED				0-7 1	r tell stories
[B: FOCAL 1/2 = PACKED and FOCAL 1/2 AGE <6]					
Once a month or less,	onth	h or a playg	ground?	Would y1234	

[B: FOCAL 1/2 = PACKED and FOCAL 1/2 AGE <6]

C6.	_	D]'s school within walking distance from your home?		
		ES		
		0		
		EFUSEDON'T KNOW		
	D	ON I KNOW	0	
[B: FO	CALAGE 1/2 =	= 4 or 5]		
C7.	school for	ne past two years, has [CHILD] gone to a special class or go r learning problems? ES	_	cial help in
		0		SKIP TO C8
		EFUSED		
		ON'T KNOW		
[B: FO	CALAGE 1/2 =	= 4 or 5]		
	C7a.	How often has [CHILD] received any special services for every day, once a week, once a month, a few times a year,		
		the past year?		
		ALMOST EVERY DAY (3-5 DAYS A WEEK)		
		ONCE A WEEK (1-2 DAYS WEEK)		
		ONCE A MONTH (1-3 TIMES A MONTH)		
		A FEW TIMES A YEAR (3-4 TIMES A YEAR) ONLY ONCE OR TWICE IN THE PAST 2 YEARS		
		REFUSEDDON'T KNOW		
[B: C7	=1]	DON I KNOW		
CO	D		. 4.4	atal bala ta
C8.		ne past two years, has this child gone to a special class or go behavioral or emotional problems?	nten spe	ciai neip in
		ES	1	
		0		SKIP TO C27
		EFUSED		
		ON'T KNOW		
[B: FO	CALAGE 1/2 =	= 4 or 5]		
	C8a.	How often has [CHILD] received any special services for every day, once a week, once a month, a few times a year, the past year?		
		ALMOST EVERY DAY (3-5 DAYS A WEEK)	1	
		ONCE A WEEK (1-2 DAYS WEEK)		
		ONCE A MONTH (1-3 TIMES A MONTH)		
		A FEW TIMES A YEAR (3-4 TIMES A YEAR)		
		ONLY ONCE OR TWICE IN THE PAST 2 YEARS		
		REFUSED		
		DON'T KNOW		

[B: FOCALAGE 1(2) = 6-17]

[B: C8=1]

End of questions for CHILD1 who is young (<6). If no children ages 6-17, then SKIP TO C27

OLDER CHILDREN [CHILDREN AGES 6 to 17] MAY INCLUDE BOTH CHILD1 AND CHILD2 – repeat series if necessary. [INCLUDE ROSTER ID FOR CHILD2 (AND CHILD1 IF APPROPRIATE AGE]

The next set of questions will be asked about <NAME's> experiences, behavior, school, and health. *C9. How many days per week does [CHILD] live in your home? DON'T KNOW.....-1 REFUSED. -2 *NOTE TO INTERVIEWERS: C9 IS THE SAME AS C1. SINCE C1 IS ASKED OF ALL FOCAL CHILDREN, C9 IS A DUPLICATE QUESTION AND THEREFORE IS NOT ASKED IN CAPI. C10. Now I'd like you to rate how much each of the following statements describes [CHILD]. [HAND RESPONDENT CARD] A little like this child A lot like this child. REFUSED.......7 DON'T KNOW.....8 C10a. [CHILD] is usually in a good mood. Would you say this is... C10b. [CHILD] is admired and well liked by other children. Would you say this... C10c. [CHILD] shows concern for other people's feelings. C10d. [CHILD] shows pride when (he/she) does something well or learns something new. C10e. [CHILD] easily calms down after being angry or upset. C10f. [CHILD] is helpful and cooperative.

CAPI ASK NEXT 2 QUESTIONS IF CHILD IS NOT ENROLLED IN SCHOOL [L2=2]. IF ENROLLED [L2=1, 7, 8], SKIP TO C12.

C11.	Why is [CHILD] not attending school? (DO NOT READ LISMENTIONS)	T. RECO)RD U	JP TO 3
		<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>
	DISLIKES SCHOOL (DOESN'T WANT TO GO /	_	_	_
	NO INTEREST/FRIENDS NOT GOING)	1	1	1
	DOES POORLY IN SCHOOL (POOR GRADES)	2	2	2
	WASN'T PROMOTED TO THE NEXT GRADE	3	3	3
	DOESN'T GET ALONG WELL WITH			
	TEACHERS/FACULTY/STAFF	4	4	4
	WANTS OR NEEDS TO WORK/ EARN MONEY	5	5	5
	IS PREGNANT/GOT SOMEONE PREGNANT	6	6	6
	HAS HAD DISCIPLINARY TROUBLE IN SCHOOL			
	(SUSPENSIONS/EXPELLED/ FIGHTING)	7	7	7
	PHYSICAL DISABILITY OR PROBLEM		8	8
	MENTAL DISABILITY OR PROBLEM		9	9
	GRADUATED EARLY	10	10	10
	GOT GED		11	11
	OTHER (SPECIFY)	95	95	95
	REFUSED		97	97
[B: L2=	DON'T KNOW	98	98	98
	DON'T KNOWREFUSED			
[B: L2=	2]		····· -2	SKII 10 C23
C12.	What grade level is [CHILD] in at school? KINDERGARTEN		1	
	FIRST GRADE			
	SECOND GRADE			
	THIRD GRADE			
	FOURTH GRADE			
	FIFTH GRADE			
	SIXTH GRADE			
	SEVENTH GRADE			
	EIGHTH GRADE			
	NINTH GRADE			
	TENTH GRADE			
	ELEVENTH GRADE			
	TWELVE GRADE			
	REFUSED.			
	DON'T KNOW			
[D. 1.2	1 7 9 AND FOCAL AGE 1/-6 17]			

[B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]

C13.	In the last two years, has [CHILD NAME] changed school elementary school to middle school or middle school to hi		aduation from
	YES		
	NO.	_	
	REFUSED		
	DON'T KNOW		
[B: L2=	=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]		
C14.	Since starting kindergarten, has [CHILD] repeated any g	rades?	
	YES		
	NO		(
	REFUSED	7	(SKIP TO C14)
	DON'T KNOW	8	(SKIP TO C14)
[B: L2=	=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]		
	IF YES: What grade or grades did (he/she) repeat? COD [CAPI: DISPLAY ONLY UP TO CURRENT OF KINDERGARTEN OF SECOND GRADE OF SECOND GRADE OF SECOND GRADE OF SEVENTH GRADE	GRADE]	
	TWELFTH GRADE		
	REFUSEDDON'T KNOW		
[B: C14	4=1 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]		
C15.	What is the name of [CHILD'S] school?		

[B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]

C16.	What is the address of this school? location – street name, neighborhood	f they do not know address, prompt for approximate etc.]
	City	
	Zip Code	
[B: L2=	1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]	
C17.	Is [CHILD]'s school within walking	istance from your home?
	YES	1
		2
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: L2=	1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]	

SCHOOL QUALITY

C18. Please indicate how much you agree with each of the following statements about the school CHILD attends. [GIVE RESPONDENT SHOWCARD].

		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	REF	DK
C18a.	DROPPED							
C18b.	DROPPED							
C18c.	DROPPED							
C18d.	DROPPED							
C18e.	The school has enough books and materials for every student	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
C18f.	The school is a safe place	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
C18g.	Rules for behavior are strict	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
C18h.	The teaching is good	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
C18i.	DROPPED							
C18j.	DROPPED							
C18k.	DROPPED							
C181.	DROPPED							
C18m.	DROPPED							
C18n.	DROPPED							
C18o.	DROPPED							
C18p.	DROPPED							
C18q.	Violence on school grounds is a problem at my	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
•	child's school							
C18r.	The lack of discipline in classrooms is a problem	1	2	3	4	5	7	8

[B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]

PARENTAL PARTICIPATION IN CHILD'S SCHOOL

C19. The next questions ask about school activities (you/you and other adult(s) in the household) might have attended during the last school year.

C19a. Since September, have (you/or the other adults(s) in your household) attended a general school meeting, for example, an open house, a back-to-school night or a meeting of a parent-teacher organization?

YES.)	l
NO		2
	USED	
8		

[B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]

DON'T KNOW

C19b. Since September, have (you/or the other adults(s) in your household) gone to a regularly scheduled parent-teacher conference with [CHILD]'s teacher?

YES	1
NO	2
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	

[B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]

C19c. Since September, have (you/or the other adults(s) in y school or class event, such as a play, sports event, or s [CHILD]?	
YES	1
NO	2
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	8
[B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]	
C19d. Since September, have (you/or the other adults(s) in yolunteer at the school or served on a committee?	your household) acted as a
YES	1
NO	
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	
[B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17] C19e. During this school year, has a teacher contacted you a problems [CHILD] has had in school? YES	1
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	
[B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]	
C19f. During this school year, has a teacher contacted you a problems [CHILD] has had in school?	-
YES NO	
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	
DOI: 1 INIO II	
[B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]	

C20. During the school year, how often does your child participate in the following activities?

		Almost every day	About once a week	About once a month	A few times a year	Never	REF	DK
C20a.	Sports team (at school or at a community center)	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
C20b.	School club (like Student Government, language club, choir or band)	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
C20c.	Recreational club (like the Boys and Girls Club)	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
C20d. C20e.	DROPPED DROPPED							
C20f.	DROPPED		_	•		_	_	
C20g.	Reading for pleasure	1	2 2	3	4	5 5	7	8
C20h.	Going to the park or gym	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
C20i.	DROPPED							
C20j.	Doing things with you or another adult family member	1	2	3	4	5	7	8
C20k.	Participating in church, mosque or temple activities	1	2	3	4	5	7	8

[B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]

C21. Does [CHILD] go to a special class for gifted students or do advanced work in any subject?

YES1	
NO	SKIP TO C22
REFUSED	SKIP TO C22
DON'T KNOW8	SKIP TO C22

[B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]

C21a. How often does [CHILD] go to these classes or do advanced work – almost every day, once a week, once a month, a few times a year, or only once or twice in the past year?

ALMOST EVERY DAY (3-5 DAYS A WEEK)	1
ONCE A WEEK (1-2 DAYS WEEK)	2
ONCE A MONTH (1-3 TIMES A MONTH)	3
A FEW TIMES A YEAR (3-4 TIMES A YEAR)	4
ONLY ONCE OR TWICE IN THE PAST 2 YEARS	
REFUSED	7
DON'T KNOW	8

[B: C21=1 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]

C22. During the past two years, has [CHILD] gone to a special class or g	otten special help in
school for learning problems?	
YES	
NO	
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	8 SKIP TO C23
[B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]	
C22a. How often has [CHILD] received any special services for	_
every day, once a week, once a month, a few times a year	, or only once or twice in
the past year?	1
ALMOST EVERY DAY (3-5 DAYS A WEEK)	
ONCE A WEEK (1-2 DAYS WEEK)	
ONCE A MONTH (1-3 TIMES A MONTH)	
A FEW TIMES A YEAR (3-4 TIMES A YEAR)	
ONLY ONCE OR TWICE IN THE PAST 2 YEARS	
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	8
C23. During the past two years, has this child gone to a special class or g school for behavioral or emotional problems?	-
YES	
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	
DON 1 KNOW	3 SKIP 10 C24
[B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]	
C23a. How often has [CHILD] received any special services for every day, once a week, once a month, a few times a year	
the past year?	, or only once or twice in
ALMOST EVERY DAY (3-5 DAYS A WEEK)	1
ONCE A WEEK (1-2 DAYS WEEK)	
ONCE A MONTH (1-3 TIMES A MONTH)	
A FEW TIMES A YEAR (3-4 TIMES A YEAR)	
ONLY ONCE OR TWICE IN THE PAST 2 YEARS	
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	
[B: C24=1]	-

C24.		he following statements, please tell me if you think it describes [CHILD] all it of the time, some of the time, or none of the time. [HAND R SHOWCAR
	,	ares about doing well in school?
	C24a. Ca	ALL OF THE TIME1
		MOST OF THE TIME
		SOME OF THE TIME
		NONE OF THE TIME4
		REFUSED
		DON'T KNOW8
[B: L2=	=1, 7, 8 AND FOCA	.LAGE ½=6-17]
	C24b. On	aly works on schoolwork when forced to?
		ALL OF THE TIME1
		MOST OF THE TIME2
		SOME OF THE TIME3
		NONE OF THE TIME4
		REFUSED7
		DON'T KNOW8
[B: L2=	=1, 7, 8 AND FOCA	.LAGE ½=6-17]
	C24c. Do	bes just enough schoolwork to get by?
		ALL OF THE TIME1
		MOST OF THE TIME2
		SOME OF THE TIME3
		NONE OF THE TIME4
		REFUSED7
		DON'T KNOW8
[B: L2=	=1, 7, 8 AND FOCA	LAGE ½=6-17]
	C24d. Alv	ways does homework?
		ALL OF THE TIME
		MOST OF THE TIME
		SOME OF THE TIME
		NONE OF THE TIME4

REFUSED.......7 DON'T KNOW.....8

[B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]

C25. Now I am going to read some statements that describe behavior problems that many children have. Please tell me whether each statement has been <u>often</u> true, <u>sometimes</u>, or <u>not</u> true of [CHILD] during the past 3 months.

IF L2=2 SKIP TO C25c	Often True	Sometime s True	Not True	DON'T KNOW	REFUSED
C25a. Has trouble getting along with teachers [B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]	1	2	3	7	8
C25b. Is disobedient at school [B: L2=1, 7, 8 AND FOCALAGE ½=6-17]	1	2	3	7	8
C25c. Is disobedient at home [B: CHILD AGE 6-17]	1	2	3	7	8
C25d. Hangs around with kids who get in trouble [B: CHILD AGE 6-17]	1	2	3	7	8
C25e. Bullies or is cruel or mean to others [B: CHILD AGE 6-17]	1	2	3	7	8
C25f. Is restless or overly active, can't sit still [B: CHILD AGE 6-17]	1	2	3	7	8
C25g. Is unhappy, sad, or depressed [B: CHILD AGE 6-17]	1	2	3	7	8

C26. Raising children can be difficult these days. In the past year, that is since (CURRENT MONTH) of last year, have there ever been any of the following problems with [CHILD]?

	YES	NO	<u>DK</u>	REF
C26a. Has [CHILD] been suspended, excluded, or expelled from school? [B: CHILD AGE 6-17]	1	2	7	8
C26b. Has [CHILD] gone to juvenile court? [B: CHILD AGE 6-17]	1	2	7	8
C26c. Has [CHILD] had a problem with alcohol or drugs? [B: CHILD AGE 6-17]	1	2	7	8
C26d. Has [CHILD] gotten into trouble with the police? [B: CHILD AGE 6-17]	1	2	7	8
C26e. Has [CHILD] done something illegal to get money? [B: CHILD AGE 6-17]	1	2	7	8
C26f. Has [CHILD] been pregnant [or gotten someone else pregnant] [B: CHILD AGE 12-17]	1	2	7	8
C26g. Has [CHILD] been in a gang? [B: CHILD AGE 12-17]	1	2	7	8
C26h. Has [CHILD] been arrested? [B: CHILD AGE 12-17]	1	2	7	8

CHILD HEALTH

CAPI/INTERVIEWER: THESE QUESTIONS ARE ASKED FOR FOCAL CHILDREN FROM BOTH AGE GROUPS – REPEAT FOR EACH CHILD IF NECESSARY.

	Now, I'd like to ask about [CHILD's] health status. In	
	health is	
	Excellent,	1
	Very good,	2
	Good,	3
	Fair, or	4
	Poor?	5
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
ED E04	CALUDA DOCALUDA DA GUEDA	
[B: FOC	CALID1 or FOCALID2 = PACKED]	
C28.	During the next 12 months that is since (12 month wef	data) about hour mount days
C28.	During the past 12 months, that is, since (12-month ref. [CHILD] miss school because of illness or injury?	date), about now many days
	[CHILD] miss school because of miless of injury:	
	NONE	0
	NONE	
	(NUMBER OF DAYS)	1-240
	(NUMBER OF DAYS) REFUSED	1-240 2
	(NUMBER OF DAYS) REFUSED DON'T KNOW	1-240 2 1
	(NUMBER OF DAYS) REFUSED	1-240 2 1
[B: FO0	(NUMBER OF DAYS) REFUSED DON'T KNOW	1-240 2 1
[B: FO0	(NUMBER OF DAYS)	1-240 2 1
_	(NUMBER OF DAYS)	1-240 2 1 3
[B: FOO	(NUMBER OF DAYS)	1-240 2 1 3
_	(NUMBER OF DAYS)	
_	(NUMBER OF DAYS)	
_	(NUMBER OF DAYS)	
_	(NUMBER OF DAYS) REFUSED	
_	(NUMBER OF DAYS)	
C29.	(NUMBER OF DAYS) REFUSED	

C30.	About how long has it been since [CHILD] last saw or talked to of dentists, such as orthodontists, oral surgeons, and all other dedental hygienists.		
	NEVER	1	
	6 MONTHS OR LESS		
	MORE THAN 6 MONTHS, BUT NOT MORE THAN	2	
	1 YEAR AGO	2	
	MORE THAN 1 YEAR, BUT NOT MORE THAN	3	
		4	
	2 YEARS AGO	4	
	MORE THAN 2 YEARS, BUT NOT MORE THAN		
	5 YEARS AGO		
	MORE THAN 5 YEARS	6	
	REFUSED	7	
	DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: FOC	CALID1 or FOCALID2 = PACKED]		
C31.	Where does [CHILD] usually go for routine medical care? [DO DOCTOR'S OFFICE OR PRIVATE CLINIC	NOT REAL)]
		1	
	(INCLUDING HMO'S)		
	HOSPITAL OUTPATIENT CLINIC		
	HOSPITAL EMERGENCY ROOM		
	PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT		
	COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER		
	MIGRANT CLINIC	6	
	INDIAN HEALTH SERVICES	7	
	NO ROUTINE MEDICAL CARE	8	
	OTHER (SPECIFY)95		
	REFUSED	97	
	DON'T KNOW		
[B: FOO	CALID1 (2) = PACKED]		
C32.	Has a doctor or other health professional <u>ever</u> said [CHILD] ha		
	NO	2	SKIP TO C35
	REFUSED		
	DON'T KNOW		
[B: FOC	CALID1 (2) = PACKED]		SKII 10 CSS
	C32a. Does he/she still have symptoms? (coughing, wheezin	· .	of breath)
	YES		
	NO		
	REFUSED	7	
	DON'T KNOW	8	
[B: C32	=1]		

C33.	<i>y</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	YES		CIZID TO C25			
	NOREFUSED					
	DON'T KNOW					
[B: C32		0	SKIF TO C55			
C24			,			
C34.	During the past 12 months, did [CHILD] have to visit an emergency rocenter because of asthma?	om o	r urgent care			
	YES	1				
	NO					
	REFUSED	7				
	DON'T KNOW					
[B: C33						
~						
C35.	During the past 12 months, did [CHILD] have to visit an emergency ro center because of an accident or injury?	om o	r urgent care			
	YES	1				
	NO		SKIP TO C36			
	REFUSED					
	DON'T KNOW					
[B: FO	CALID1 (2) = PACKED]					
	C35a. Did the accident or injury occur from[CHECK ALL THA	AT A	PPLY]			
	Playing or sports injury		•			
	Fighting or another violent incident					
	OTHER (SPECIFY)					
	REFUSED					
	DON'T KNOW	98				
[B: C35	5=1]					
C36.	How often does your child actively participate in sports, athletics or exc	o uoi di				
C30.	NEVER		ing:			
	A FEW TIMES A YEAR					
	1-2 TIMES A MONTH	3				
	NEARLY DAILY	4				
	REFUSED					
	DON'T KNOW					
[B: FO	CALID1 (2) = PACKED]					

C37.	Does [CHILD] have a physical, learning, or mental health condition that limits (his/participation in the usual kinds of activities done by most children (his/her) age or l (his/her) ability to do regular school work? YES							
	NOREFUSEDDON'T KNOW					2 7		
[B: FOC	ALID1 (2) = PACKED]							
C38.	Has your doctor or health professional ever said that [CHILD] had:							
C20	DDODDED		YES	NO	REF	DK		
	DROPPED diabetes?		1	2	7	8		
C38c.	more than 3 ear infections in a year?		1	2	7	8		
C38d.	speech impairment or delay?		1	2	7	8		
C38e.	DROPPED							
C38f.	DROPPED							
C38g.	DROPPED							
C38h.	a serious emotional disturbance?		1	2	7	8		
C38i.	elevated levels of lead in the blood?		1	2	7	8		
C38j.	developmental delay?		1	2	7	8		
C38k.	a learning disability? (SPECIFY)	1	2	7	8		
C381.	autism?		1	2	7	8		
C38m.	hyperactivity, ADHD, or ADD?		1	2	7	8		
C38n.	any other problems? (SPECIFY	_)	1	2	7	8		
[B: FOC	CALID1 (2) = PACKED]							
C39.	About how tall is [CHILD] without shoes? HEIGHT: FT DON'T KNOW	_ IN	ICHES			2		
	REFUSED CALID1 (2) = PACKED				•••••	1		

C40. About how much does [CHILD] weigh without shoes? (RECORD IN POUNDS)

WEIGHT: POUNDS DON'T KNOW -2 REFUSED -1

[B: FOCALID1 (2) = PACKED]

FINAL SECTION

F1 Do you	have a valid driver's license?	
<i>J</i>	YES	1
	NO	2
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: ALL]		
F2 Do you	own or have access to a car that runs?	
-	YES	1
	NO	2
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: ALL]		
	Less than \$5,000	
	DON'T KNOW	
[B: ALL]		
<u>SUMMAR</u>	XY HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONS	
	emind you that your answers will not affect you or other identifying information in our researc	
F4 Are any	of the people who we have listed in the house	
	YES	
	NO	
	REFUSED	
	DON'T KNOW	8
[B: Q1=1]		

F5	Is there anyone else we did not discuss in the household roster who stays l	
	YES	
	NO	
	REFUSED	7
	DON'T KNOW	8

[B:Q1=1]

CAPI IF [INDEPTH]=1 PROMPT INTERVIEWER TO REVIEW CONSENT FORM FOR IN-DEPTH STUDY FAMILIES.

CAPI SKIP TO CONTACT INFORMATION

At the end of the last survey we did in 2001, you gave us information on two people we could contact in case we could not locate you for this survey. We are interested in interviewing you again in two years and would like to confirm that we have the most current information for your contacts, and that they are still the best people to use to find you, in case you move or change phone numbers

[CONFIRM INFORMATION FOR TWO RELIABLE CONTACTS.]

[CAPI/INTERVIEWE: if [R1 CONTACT INFO EXISTS] go to SC1a; otherwise go to SC1c]. [NOTE: SC1A-B AND SC2A-B ARE ASKED IF THERE IS ONE OR TWO SECONDARY CONTACTS IN THE SAMPLE DATA, THEY ARE DESIGNED TO CONFIRM OR UPDATE EXISTING DATA. SC1C-D AND SC2C-D ARE ASKED IF THE INTERVIEWER NEEDS TO COLLECT NEW SECONDARY CONTACT DATA.]

SC1a. Our records show that one of your contacts is (your/a/an) [R1_RELATION]. Is this correct?

```
[rl name first] [rl name last]
     Name:
               [r1 street address]
     Living at:
               [r1 city]
               [r1 state]
               [r1 zip5] -[r1 zip4]
               [R1_phone]
     Cell phone:
          YES, ALL CORRECT...... 1 [SKIP TO SC2A]
          DON'T KNOW......8 [SKIP TO SC2A]
r# name first. UPDATE FIRST NAME
r# name last. UPDATE LAST NAME
r# street address. UPDATE STREET ADDRESS
r# apartment. UPDATE APARTMENT
r# city. UPDATE CITY
r# state. UPDATE STATE
r# zip5. UPDATE ZIP
r# zip4. UPDATE ZIP+4
r# phone. UPDATE PHONE
r# cell phone. UPDATE CELL PHONE
r# relation. UPDATE RELATION
```

SC1b. Our records now show this corrected contact information for (your/a/an) [r1 relation] as

```
Name: [r1_name_first] [r1_name_last]
Living at: [r1_street_address]
[r1_city]
[r1_state]
[r1_zip5] -[r1_zip4]
[r1_phone]
```

r#_relation. What is his/her relationship to you?

Is this now correct?	
YES, ALL CORRECT	OW)
DON'T KNOW	8
IF SC1B.=NO GO TO SC2A OTHERWISE GO TO ASK SMP 1 QUESTIONS A BACK TO SC1B AFTER!	T END! AND THEN
SC1c. Could you tell us the name of a primary person who does not live with y know how to contact you?	ou and will always
YES	
NO	
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	8
r# name first. What is his/her first name?	
r# name last. What is his/her last name?	
r# street address. What is (his/her) street address?	
r#_apartment. Is there an apartment number?	
r# city. In what city?	
r# state. In what state?	
r# zip5. What is the zip code?	
r# zip4. What is the zip+4 code?	
r# phone. What's the best phone number to reach (him/her) at starting v	with the area code?
Telephone # with area code: (
r#_cell_phone. Is there a cell phone number we can reach (him/her) at st code?	arting with the area
Cell Phone # with area code: ()	

SC1d. Our records now show this new contact information for (your/a/an) [r1_relation] as Is this correct?

Name: [rl_name_first] [rl_name_last]

Living at: [r1 street address]

[r1_city]
[r1 state]

[r1_zip5] -[r1_zip4]

YES, ALL CORRECT.....1

SC2a. Our records show that one of your contacts is (your/a/an) [r2_relation]

Name: [r2 name first] [r2 name last]

Living at: [r2_street_address]

[r2_city] [r2_state]

[r2_zip5] -[r2_zip4]

Is this correct?

1. YES, ALL CORRECT [SKIP TO SC2B]

2. NO (CHANGE INFO BELOW)

7. REFUSED [SKIP TO SC2B] 8. DON'T KNOW [SKIP TO SC2B]

r# name first. UPDATE FIRST NAME

r# name last. UPDATE LAST NAME

r# street address. UPDATE STREET ADDRESS

r# apartment. UPDATE APARTMENT

r# city. UPDATE CITY

r# state. UPDATE STATE

r# zip5. UPDATE ZIP

r# zip4. UPDATE ZIP+4

r# phone. UPDATE PHONE

r# cell phone. UPDATE CELL PHONE

r# relation. UPDATE RELATION

SC2b. Our records now show this corrected contact information for (your/a/an) [r2_relation] as

Name: [r2_name_first] [r2_name_last]
Living at: [r2_street_address]
[r2_city]
[r2_state]

Is this now correct?

- 1. YES, ALL CORRECT
- 2. NO (CHANGE INFO BELOW)

[r2 zip5] -[r2 zip4]

- 7. REFUSED
- 8. DON'T KNOW

SC2c. Could you tell us the name of a primary person who does not live with you and will always know how to contact you?

YES	
NO	
REFUSED	
DON'T KNOW	

IF SC2C = YES GOTO ASK SMP 2 QUESTIONS AT END! OTHERWISE GOTO END

r#_name_first. What is his/her first name?
r#_name_last. What is his/her last name?
r#_street_address. What is (his/her) street address?
r#_apartment. Is there an apartment number?
r#_city. In what city?
r#_state. In what state?
r#_zip5. What is the zip code?
r#_zip4. What is the zip+4 code?
r#_phone. What's the best phone number to reach (him/her) at starting with the area code?
Telephone # with area code: (
r#_cell_phone. Is there a cell phone number we can reach (him/her) at starting with the area code?
Cell Phone # with area code: ()
r# relation. What is his/her relationship to you?

SC2d. Our records now show this new contact information for (your/a/an) [r2_relation] as

Name: [r2_name_first] [r2_name_last]
Living at: [r2_street_address]
[r2_city]
[r2_state]
[r2_zip5] -[r2_zip4]

Is this correct?

Thank you very much for your help in answering all these questions. Your cooperation is important to this study.

[INTERVIEWER: PROVIDE INCENTIVES, OBTAIN SIGNED RECEIPTS.]

INTERVIEW WAS CONDUCTED IN...

ENGLISH 1
SPANISH 2
BOTH 3

APPENDIX F HOPE VI CASELOAD TRIAGE AND STABILITY INDEX

HOPE VI CSS CASELOAD TRIAGE AND STABILITY INDEX INDICATOR DEFINTIONS

CRISIS NEEDS

Immediate risk of eviction: a resident's current behavior could result in an immediate eviction or the housing authority is considering eviction procedures as a result of past behavior. (assessed by case manager after consultation with property management staff)

No source of income: the resident has no official income from any source (including employment, benefits or public assistance). (assessed by case manager)

Violence in the household: there is currently violence in the household that is not being addressed by a service provider. This includes residents who have been referred to a service provider but the violence continues to be a significant problem and a threat to household stability. (assessed by case manager)

Unmet mental health service needs: The resident has mental health service needs that are not being addressed by a service provider. This includes residents who have been referred to a service provider but mental health issues continue to be a significant problem and a barrier to employment or household stability. (assessed by case manager)

Unmet substance abuse service needs: The resident has substance abuse service needs that are not being addressed by a service provider. This includes residents who have been referred to a service provider but substance abuse continues to be a significant problem and a barrier to employment or household stability. (assessed by case manager)

Unmet chronic illness service needs: the resident has a chronic illness (including hypertension, diabetes, morbid obesity, chronic asthma, HIV, chronic arthritis or other conditions) that undermines household stability but is not being addressed by a health care provider. This includes residents who have been referred to a health care provider but the chronic illness continues to be a significant problem and a barrier to employment or household stability. (assessed by case manager)

Criminal activity: the resident, a family member or a frequent guest is currently engaged in criminal activity, including membership in a gang or participation in gang related activities that involve or could lead to criminal activity. (assessed by case manager)

SECONDARY NEEDS

(A) **PROPERTY MANAGEMENT HISTORY** (assessed by case managers after consultation with property management staff)

Repayment agreements with the housing authority: the resident is currently under one or more repayment agreements with the housing authority for payment of back rent or other debt.

History of delinquent rent: during the past year the resident made late or insufficient rent payments.

History of disturbing others: during the past year complaints were filed against the resident by the housing authority or other residents for disruptive behavior, either in their unit, in their building or on the property.

History of damage to the unit or housekeeping issues: during the past year the resident damaged their unit and failed housekeeping inspections or currently has poor housekeeping habits that could cause a lease violation.

History of poor landlord communication: during the past year the resident had a poor relationship with their landlord or was unable to communicate with their landlord to productively discuss problems regarding their unit and their tenancy.

History of unauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes: during the past year the resident had unauthorized guests visit or stay in their unit or there were frequent changes to household composition in the apartment lease.

(B) EMPLOYMENT AND INCOME (assessed by case managers)

Unemployed (but can work): the resident is currently unemployed, but does not have a disability or health condition that makes it difficult for them to secure and sustain employment.

Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing: the resident lacks basic understandings of the work setting and is unprepared for employment. The resident needs proper clothing for employment or needs training and counseling to prepare them for the work setting before starting a job.

Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment: the resident lacks the job skills necessary to qualify for and secure employment.

Needs assistance with children or childcare: the resident is pregnant and needs prenatal assistance or is a custodial parent or guardian and needs day care in order to access services or seek and sustain gainful employment.

Rent increases and home furnishing expenses: the resident is paying a higher percentage of their income toward rent than they did during the previous quarter or the resident has new expenses related to furnishing their home.

High utility bills: the resident currently has high utility bills that undermine their financial stability.

Language or cultural barriers to employment: the resident does not speak English well or cultural differences make it difficult for the resident to access services or secure and sustain employment.

Vocational rehabilitation: the resident has a disability, an illness or a an injury but could work and needs vocational rehabilitation in order to secure employment.

No high school diploma or equivalency: the resident does not have a high school diploma or high school equivalency and could benefit from GED courses.

Needs transportation to access services or work: the resident needs transportation assistance in order to access services or employment or the resident needs a driver's license.

Credit issues and financial literacy: the resident has outstanding debts, including utility bills, or a poor credit history that undermine their financial stability, attempts to rent their own apartment, or return to the HOPE VI development after revitalization. The resident has low financial literacy and has difficulty managing and budgeting their finances.

(C) **RELOCATION** (assessed by case managers after consultation with relocation staff)

Rental application denials: the resident is in the process of relocating / moving, has had two or more rental application denials and is having difficulty securing an apartment through the HCV / Section 8 (both tenant based and project based) program or the private market.

Housing choice: the resident is not satisfied with their current unit and would like to relocate / move.

(D) OTHER RESIDENT CHARACTERISTICS (assessed by case managers)

Disabled: the resident has a disability that impacts their household stability and is not being addressed by a service provider. This includes residents who have some form of disability that impacts their independence or attempts to gain employment but who are not receiving disability benefits.

Probation or parole: the resident, a family member residing in the unit or a frequent guest is currently on probation or parole.

Family at risk: the resident's family is at risk and could break-up due to internal or external forces. This includes situations where the resident needs parenting classes, the resident could lose his/her children due to child neglect, there was a death of someone living with the household, the resident lives in a high crime or violent neighborhood, the resident has an existing restraining order or no contact order protecting them from someone, or guests are exposing the family to negative elements that undermine family stability.

Malnutrition: There is generally little to no food in the home. Adults and children in the home go without food at some point during the month.

Undocumented family members: the resident has family members that reside with them who are undocumented with Immigration and Naturalization Services (INS) and are illegally residing in the United States.

Anger management problems: the resident is hostile or has anger management issues that make it difficult for them to develop and maintain good relationships with service providers or secure and sustain employment.

Literacy: the resident is unable to read and understand housing authority forms or job applications. The resident is functionally illiterate.

Lives alone: the resident lives alone and needs outside contact with other individuals but is not currently connected to a service provider to address this problem.

HOPE VI CSS CASELOAD TRIAGE AND STABILITY INDEX INDICATORS, CLASSIFICATION AND CRITERIA

Immediate risk of eviction No source of income Violence in the household Unmet mental health service needs Unmet substance abuse service needs Unmet substance abuse service needs Unmet chronic illness service needs Unmet chronic illness service needs Criminal activity Secondary needs Repayment agreements with the housing authority History of delinquent rent History of delinquent rent History of delinquent of the unit or housekeeping issues History of damage to the unit or housekeeping issues History of open landlord communication History of unauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes Unemployed (but can work) Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	Triage Indicators
No source of income Violence in the household Unmet mental health service needs Unmet substance abuse service needs Unmet chronic illness service needs Repayment agreements with the housing authority History of delinquent rent History of delinquent rent History of disturbing others History of damage to the unit or housekeeping issues History of poor landlord communication History of poor landlord communication History of poor landlord communication History of unauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes Unemployed (but can work) Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact)	Crisis needs
Violence in the household Unmet mental health service needs Unmet under the provided of the pr	Immediate risk of eviction
Unmet mental health service needs Unmet substance abuse service needs Unmet chronic illness service needs Criminal activity Secondary needs Repayment agreements with the housing authority History of delinquent rent History of disturbing others History of domage to the unit or housekeeping issues History of poor landlord communication History of unauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes Unemployed (but can work) Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	No source of income
Unmet substance abuse service needs Unmet chronic illness service needs Criminal activity Secondary needs Repayment agreements with the housing authority History of delinquent rent History of disturbing others History of disturbing others History of poor landlord communication History of poor landlord communication History of unauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes Unemployed (but can work) Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	Violence in the household
Unmet chronic illness service needs Criminal activity Secondary needs Repayment agreements with the housing authority History of delinquent rent History of disturbing others History of flasurbing others History of poor landlord communication History of unauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes Unemployed (but can work) Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	Unmet mental health service needs
Criminal activity Secondary needs Repayment agreements with the housing authority History of delinquent rent History of disturbing others History of damage to the unit or housekeeping issues History of poor landlord communication History of mauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes Unemployed (but can work) Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact)	Unmet substance abuse service needs
Repayment agreements with the housing authority History of delinquent rent History of delinquent rent History of damage to the unit or housekeeping issues History of poor landlord communication History of unauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes Unemployed (but can work) Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact)	Unmet chronic illness service needs
Repayment agreements with the housing authority History of delinquent rent History of disturbing others History of damage to the unit or housekeeping issues History of poor landlord communication History of poor landlord communication History of unauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes Unemployed (but can work) Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Literacy Literacy Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	Criminal activity
History of delinquent rent History of disturbing others History of damage to the unit or housekeeping issues History of poor landlord communication History of unauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes Unemployed (but can work) Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact)	Secondary needs
History of delinquent rent History of disturbing others History of damage to the unit or housekeeping issues History of poor landlord communication History of unauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes Unemployed (but can work) Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact)	Repayment agreements with the housing authority
History of damage to the unit or housekeeping issues History of poor landlord communication History of unauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes Unemployed (but can work) Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	
History of damage to the unit or housekeeping issues History of poor landlord communication History of unauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes Unemployed (but can work) Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	
History of poor landlord communication History of unauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes Unemployed (but can work) Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	
History of unauthorized guests or frequent household composition changes Unemployed (but can work) Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	History of poor landlord communication
Unemployed (but can work) Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Literacy Literacy Literacy Literacy Literacy Liters alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact)	
Needs employment counseling or work appropriate clothing Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	Unemployed (but can work)
Needs additional skills or job training in order to secure employment Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	
Needs assistance with children or childcare Rent increases and home furnishing expenses High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	
High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	Needs assistance with children or childcare
High utility bills Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	Rent increases and home furnishing expenses
Language or cultural barriers to employment Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	
Vocational rehabilitation No high school diploma or equivalency Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	
Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	
Needs transportation to access services or work Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	No high school diploma or equivalency
Credit issues and financial literacy Rental application denials Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	
Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	
Housing choice Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	Rental application denials
Disabled Probation or parole Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	
Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	Disabled
Family at risk Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	Probation or parole
Malnutrition Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	
Undocumented family members Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	Malnutrition
Anger management problems Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	Undocumented family members
Literacy Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	y
Lives alone or is a shut-in Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	Literacy
Classification and Contact HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	Lives alone or is a shut-in
HIGH RISK (weekly contact) MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	
MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)	HIGH RISK (weekly contact)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	MODERATE RISK (bi-weekly contact)
	LOW RISK (monthly contact)
QUARTERLY MONITORING (quarterly contact)	
Criteria	
[HIGH RISK] 1 or more crisis needs or 10 or more secondary needs	
[MODERATE RISK] 5-9 secondary needs	<u> </u>
[LOW RISK] 1-4 secondary needs	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
[QUARTERLY MONITORING] 0 secondary needs	

APPENDIX G HOPE VI PANEL STUDY

WAVE TWO IN-DEPTH INTERVIEW PROTOCALS

HOPE VI PANEL STUDY—WAVE 2 Adult Interview Guide Mover

Relocation

When we talked two years ago, few people, if any, had been relocated from [name of original development], but there were a number of changes planned. I'd like to ask about the relocation process.

1. How long ago did you move from [development]?

Have you lived anywhere else besides here since you left [development]?

[YES] Where?

2. How did you find this apartment/house?

Did you receive assistance from the housing authority with finding a place to live?

3. Did you receive help with the move itself from the housing authority?

PACKING; TRANSPORTING; MOVING COSTS

4. Can you tell me about your moving experience?

What went well with the move?

Did anything not go well?

5. Have you had any contact with the housing authority or HOPE VI program since you moved from [development]?

DESCRIBE

Housing

6. How would you compare your apartment/house now to the apartment you lived in at [development]?

CONDITION; SIZE

7. What do you like about your apartment/house?

Is there anything you do not like about it?

Household Composition

When we talked two years ago, you told us that [#of people from baseline survey roster] were living in your home.

8. Has this changed in the last two years?

SAME PEOPLE; NEW PEOPLE; LOSS OF PEOPLE

9. Is there anyone who no longer lives with you?

Other than personal reasons, why does s/he no longer live with you?

LEASE: APT SIZE

Neighborhood

- 10. [IF CLOSE TO DEVELOPMENT SITE] Is this area part of the same neighborhood that the development is in?
- 11. How is this area different from the development?

What do you like about living in this neighborhood?

Is there anything about the neighborhood that you do not like?

12. Is the neighborhood convenient for what you need to do on a regular basis?

WORK; SHOP; CATCH A BUS; GO TO DOCTOR; ETC.

Is this different from when you lived in [development]?

- 13. Have you changed where you shop or go to church because of the move?
- 14. Do you think you are safer now than you were when you lived in [development]?

 What is it that makes you feel safer / less safe?

Neighbors

15. How have your family relations been affected by your move?

How have these changes affected you?

How often do you visit or talk to relatives?

16. How have your friendships been affected by your move?

How have these changes affected you?

How often do you visit or talk to old friends?

Turning to your new neighborhood:

17. Do you ever talk with any of your neighbors?

Is this different from when you lived in [development]?

18. Do you ever socialize with neighbors or help each other out sometimes?

EXAMPLES; COOKOUTS; VISIT; WATCH CHILDREN; SHARE FOOD

[YES] About how often do you do this?

[NO] Why?

19. Do you socialize with neighbors or help each other out more or less than you did when you lived in [development]?

[YES] Why do you think this has changed?

20. Have your child's friends changed since you moved?

Does your child spend time with family members or old friends?

Does your child have friends in this neighborhood?

Do you like your child's friends?

Employment & Income

When we met two years ago, you [were/were not employed].

21. Has that changed?

DETAILS OF CHANGE

22. [EMPLOYED] What is your job?

LENGTH OF TIME AT JOB; LIKES; DISLIKES; Full-time OR Part-time

How did you find your job?

ADVERTISEMENT; FRIEND; FAMILY

- 23. [UNEMPLOYED, NON-ELDERLY] What would you say is the main reason you are not employed?
- 24. Has the move affected your employment in any way?

TRANSPORTATION

Hardship

25. Since you moved from [development] [In the last year], has there ever been a time when you didn't have enough money to pay bills, such as rent, telephone, or utility bills?

How often has this happened?

What did you do?

Is this different from when you lived in [development]?

[YES] What do you think the difference is due to?

26. Since you moved [In the last year], has money ever been so tight that you were unable to buy enough food for you and your family?

How often has this happened?

What did you do?

Is this different from when you lived in [development]?

[YES] What do you think the difference is due to?

Health

27. Has there been any change in your or any of your family members' health since you moved from [development] [in the last year]?

DESCRIBE; ASTHMA; INJURIES; DEPRESSION

What do you think brought on the change?

[CHECK HH PROFILE FOR MAJOR HEALTH PROBLEMS AT BASELINE. IF R DOES NOT MENTION, ASK IF STILL A CONCERN]

28. Has your stress level changed since your move [in the last year]?

What do you think caused the change?

DESCRIBE; MAJOR LIFE EVENTS: JOB/INCOME CHANGE; ILLNESS; CHANGE IN HOUSEHOLD; MOVE

29. Have you missed work / school since your move [in the last year] because of any health concerns?

[YES] Did that cause you any problems at work / school?

30. Has your child missed any school since your move [in the last year] because of any health concerns?

Why do you think that has happened?

31. Has any health problem affected your child's learning or behavior in school since your move [in the last year]?

ADD (Attention Deficit Disorder); LEARNING DISABILITY

Why do you think that has happened?

Education

32. What school does your child go to? Is it located nearby?

PUBLIC; PRIVATE; CHARTER; HOW SELECTED

33. Is this a different school from the school your child attended two years ago?

[YES] WHY

[regular advancement *or* relocation]

In what ways is the school different from the old one?

34. How is your child doing in school?

GRADES; GRADE PROMOTION; SPECIAL RECOGNITION/ AWARDS

35. Do you think the move has had any effect on your child's schooling experience? [*Skip if recent mover*]

DESCRIBE; POSITIVE; NEGATIVE; PLACED IN/OUT OF SPECIAL CLASSES

36. Does your child participate in any type of program during school hours or after school, such as music or art programs, sports, etc.?

Is this new for your child since the move [in the last year]?

37. Since your move [In the last year], has there been any change in your child's behavior in school?

EVER IN TROUBLE; SUSPENDED; EXPELLED; GETTING ALONG WITH OTHERS What do you think brought on the change?

38. Are you involved with the school in any way?

TEACHER MEETINGS; PTA

Has moving affected your involvement with the school? [Skip if recent mover]

DESCRIBE; MORE INVOLVED; LESS INVOLVED; SAME

39. Does your child ever ask you for help with homework?

Do you look over your child's schoolwork?

Outlook for Redevelopment

40. Do you want to return to the development once it is built?

WHY; WHY NOT

- 41. Where would you like to be in five years?
- 42. Is there anything you would like to add about anything we have talked about?

Thank you for your time and for talking about your experiences with me.

Have respondent sign receipt.

HOPE VI PANEL STUDY—WAVE 2 Adult Interview Guide Non-Mover

Relocation

When we talked two years ago, few people, if any, had been relocated from [name of original development], but there were a number of changes planned. I'd like to ask about the relocation process.

- 1. When do you think you will be moving?
- 2. What is the main reason you have not been relocated yet?
- 3. What has it been like living here as other people have moved?
- 4. Are you receiving assistance from the housing authority with finding a place to live?
- 5. What type of moving assistance do you think you will receive from the housing authority?

PACKING: TRANSPORTING: MOVING COSTS

Housing

6. Have the conditions of your apartment changed in any way during the last two years?

DESCRIBE

Household Composition

When we talked two years ago, you told us that [#of people from baseline survey roster] were living in your home.

7. Has this changed in the last two years?

SAME PEOPLE; NEW PEOPLE; LOSS OF PEOPLE

8. Is there anyone who no longer lives with you?

Other than personal reasons, why does s/he no longer live with you?

LEASE; APT SIZE

Neighborhood

- 9. How has this neighborhood changed in the last two years?
- 10. Do you think the area is safer or less safe than it was two years ago?

WHY

Neighbors

11. Have your family relations been affected by people moving away from here?

In what ways have they changed?

How have these changes affected you?

Do you hear from relatives who have moved away?

12. Have your friendships been affected by people moving away from here?

In what ways have they changed?

How have these changes affected you?

Do you hear from friends who have moved away?

Turning to your current neighbors:

- 13. Do you ever talk with any of your neighbors?
- 14. Do you ever socialize with neighbors or help each other out sometimes?

EXAMPLES; COOKOUTS; VISIT; WATCH CHILDREN; SHARE FOOD

[YES] About how often do you do this?

[NO] Why?

15. Do you talk to or socialize with your neighbors more or less than you did about two years ago?

[YES] Why do you think this has changed?

16. Have your child's friends changed since people started moving away?

Does your child spend time with family members or old friends?

Does your child have friends in this neighborhood?

Do you like your child's friends?

Employment & Income

When we met two years ago, you [were/were not employed].

17. Has that changed?

DETAILS OF CHANGE

18. [EMPLOYED] What is your job?

LENGTH OF TIME AT JOB; LIKES; DISLIKES; Full-time OR Part-time

How did you find your job?

ADVERTISEMENT; FRIEND; FAMILY

19. [UNEMPLOYED, NON-ELDERLY] What would you say is the main reason you are not employed?

Hardship

20. Has there ever been a time in the last year when you didn't have enough money to pay bills, such as rent, telephone, or utility bills?

How often has this happened?

What did you do?

21. Was there any time in the last year when things were tight and you didn't have enough money to buy enough food for you and your family?

How often has this happened?

What did you do?

Health

22. Has there been any change in your or any of your family members' health in the last year?

DESCRIBE; ASTHMA; INJURIES; DEPRESSION

What do you think brought on the change?

[CHECK HH PROFILE FOR MAJOR HEALTH PROBLEMS AT BASELINE. IF R DOES NOT MENTION, ASK IF STILL A CONCERN]

23. Has your stress level changed in the last year?

What do you think caused the change?

DESCRIBE; MAJOR LIFE EVENTS: JOB/INCOME CHANGE; ILLNESS; CHANGE IN HOUSEHOLD; MOVE

24. Have you missed work / school in the last year because of any health concerns?

[YES] Did that cause you any problems at work / school?

- 25. Has your child missed any school in the last year because of any health concerns?
- 26. Has any health problem affected your child's learning or behavior in school in the last year?

ADD (Attention Deficit Disorder); LEARNING DISABILITY

Why do you think that has happened?

Education

27. What school does your child go to? Is it located nearby?

PUBLIC; PRIVATE; CHARTER; HOW SELECTED

28. Is this a different school from the school your child attended two years ago?

[YES] WHY

[regular advancement *or* decision to change]

In what ways is the school different from the old one?

29. How is your child doing in school?

GRADES; GRADE PROMOTION; PLACED IN/OUT OF SPECIAL CLASSES; SPECIAL RECOGNITION/ AWARDS

- 30. Does your child participate in any type of program during school hours or after school, such as music or art programs, sports, etc.?
- 31. In the last two years, has there been any change in your child's behavior in school?

EVER IN TROUBLE; SUSPENDED; EXPELLED; GETTING ALONG WITH OTHERS

What do you think brought on the change?

32. Are you involved with the school in any way?

TEACHER MEETINGS; PTA

33. Does your child ever ask you for help with homework?

Do you look over your child's schoolwork?

Outlook for Redevelopment

34. Do you hope to return to the development once it is rebuilt?

WHY; WHY NOT

- 35. Where would you like to be in five years?
- 36. Is there anything you would like to add about anything we have talked about?

Thank you for your time and for sharing your experiences with me.

Have respondent sign receipt.

APPENDIX H HOPE VI BIBLIOGRAPHY

HOPE VI BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abravanel, Martin D., Robin Ross Smith and Margery Austin Turner. 1998 Building Healthy Communities Through Federal housing Policy: Current Developments in the United States. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.
- Abt Associates. 1996. An Historical and Baseline Assessment of HOPE VI: Volume 6, Crosssite Report. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, August.
- Abt Associates. 1996. Development and Analysis of the National Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Database. Cambridge, MA: Abt Associates.
- Abt Associates. 1997. *Private Management of Public Housing: A Guidebook*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- Abt Associates. 1998. *The Mixed-Finance Guidebook*. Washington D.C.: US Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- Abt Associates. 2000. Capital Needs of the Public Housing Stock in 1998: Formula Capital Study. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- Abt Associates. 2003. Exploring the Impacts of the HOPE VI Program on Surrounding Neighborhoods. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Bethesda, MD: Abt Associates.
- Adnani, Mecky and Peter D. Crabtree. 2000. A New Financial Architecture for Developing Mixed-Income Housing in Massachusetts. Cambridge, MA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Allen, Kathering and Maria Kirby. 2000. *Unfinished Business: Why Cities Matter to Welfare Reform.* The Brookings Institution Survey Series. Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution.
- Ambrose, Brent W. and William Grigsby. 1999. "Mixing Income Groups in Public Housing." *Wharton Real Estate Review*, 3(2).
- Amendolia, J. and M. J. Holin. 2001. *Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program: Case Study of Ellen Wilson Dwellings in Washington, DC*. Cambridge, MA: Abt Associates.
- Amendolia, J., G. Locke, and M. J. Holin. 2001. *Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program:*Case Study of Outhwaithe Homes and King Kennedy Estates in Cleveland, Ohio.
 Cambridge, MA: Abt Associates.
- Anderson, Daniel. 2001. "Rethinking Public Housing Resource Delivery." Concept Paper submitted to the Millennial Housing Commission.
- Apgar, William and Emily Whiting. 2003. Essential Function Bonds: An Emerging Tool for Affordable Housing Finance. Cambridge, MA: Joint Center for Housing Studies, Harvard University.
- Baker, M. and L. Buron. 2001. *Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program: Case Study of Earle Village in Charlotte, North Carolina*. Cambridge, MA: Abt Associates.
- Baker, M. and M. J. Holin. 2001. *Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program: Case Study of Lafayette Courts in Baltimore, Maryland*. Cambridge, MA: Abt Associates.
- Baker, M., L. Buron, and M. J. Holin. 2001. *Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program: Case Study of Hillside Terrace in Milwaukee, Wisconsin*. Cambridge, MA: Abt Associates.

- Ballard, Frederic L. and Paul K. Casey. 1999. "Four Percent Tax Credits and Public Housing Development: Collateralizing Tax Exempt Bonds with Federal Capital Funds," *Journal of Affordable Housing*, 8:3, 206-214.
- Barrett, Edith J., Paul Geisel, and Jan Johnston. 2003. *The Ramona Utti Report: Impacts of the Ripley Arnold Relocation Program Year 1 (2002-2003)*. Arlington: University of Texas.
- Bickford, Adam, and Douglas S. Massey. 1991. "Segregation in the Second Ghetto: Racial and Ethnic Segregation in American Public Housing, 1977." *Social Forces*, 69: 1011-36.
- Blank, Rebecca M. 1997. *It Takes a Nation: A New Agenda for Fighting Poverty*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Bliss, Steven and James Riccio. 2001. Promoting Employment in Public Housing Communities: Learning from the Jobs-Plus Demonstration. Manpower Demonstration Research Corporation Policy Brief. New York: Manpower Demonstration Research Corporation.
- Bogdon, Amy S. 1999. "What Can We Learn from Previous Housing-Based Self-Sufficiency Programs?" in Sandra J. Newman, ed., *The Home Front: Implications of Welfare Reform for Housing Policy*. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute Press.
- Bos, Hans, Aletha Huston, Robert Granger, Greg Duncan, Tom Brock, and Vonnie McLoyd, with Danielle Crosby, Christina Gibson, Veronica Fellerath, Katherine Magnuson, Rashmita Mistry, Susan Poglinco, Jennifer Romich and Ana Ventura. 1999. New Hope for People with low Income: Two Year Results of a Program to Reduce Poverty and Reform Welfare. New York: Manpower Demonstration Research Corporation.
- Bothwell, S. E., R. Gindro, and R. E. Lang. 1998. "Restoring Community Through Traditional Neighborhood Design: A Case Study of Diggs Town Public Housing." *Housing Policy Debate*, 9(1): 89-114.
- Bowly, D. 1978. *The Poorhouse: Subsidized Housing in Chicago 1895-1976*. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Briggs, Xavier de Souza. 1997. "Moving Up Versus Moving Out: Neighborhood Effects, Housing Mobility Programs." *Housing Policy Debate*, 8(1): 195-234.
- Briggs, Xavier de Souza, J.T. Darden, and A. Aidala. 1999. "In the Wake of Desegregation Early Impacts of Scattered-Site Public Housing on Neighborhoods in Yonkers, New York." *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 65(1): 27-49.
- Brooks-Gunn, Jeanne, and Greg J. Duncan. 1997. "The Effects of Poverty on Children." *The Future of Children: Children and Poverty*, 7(2): 55-71.
- Brophy, P. C. and R. N. Smith. 1997. "Mixed Income Housing: Factors for Success." *Cityscape*, 3(2): 3-31.
- Buron, Larry, Susan Popkin, Diane Levy, Laura Harris and Jill Khadurri. 2002. *The HOPE VI Resident Tracking Study: A Snapshot of the Current Living Situation of Original Residents from Eight Sites*. Report prepared by Abt Associates and the Urban Institute for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Washington DC: The Urban Institute.
- Casey, Connie H. 1992. *Characteristics of HUD-Assisted Renters and Their Units in 1989*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- Casey, Paul K. 1997. "Real HOPE at HUD," Journal of Affordable Housing, 7:1, 18-25.
- Center for Community Change. 2003. A HOPE Unseen: Voices from the Other Side of HOPE VI. Washington DC: Center for Community Change.

- Center for Community Change. 1999. *Comprehensive Services in Public Housing: Lessons from the Field.* Washington DC: Center for Community Change.
- Center for Housing Policy. 2000. "Inclusionary Zoning: A Viable Solution to the Affordable Housing Crisis." *New Century Housing*, 1(2).
- Ceraso, Karen. 1995. "Is Mixed-Income Housing the Key?" Shelterforce, March/April: 21-25.
- Chase-Lansdale, Rebekah, Levine Coley, Brenda J. Lohman, and Laura D. Pittman. 2002. "Welfare Reform: What about the Children?" *Welfare, Children and Families: A Three-City Study*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University.
- Cimetta, Adriana, and Ralph Renger. 2002. Final Evaluation Report for the Greater Santa Rosa HOPE VI Project: Year Ending 2002. Tucson: University of Tucson, College of Public Health.
- Clark, Rebecca. 1992. *Neighborhood Effects of Dropping Out of School among Teenage Boys*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute.
- The Communities Group. 2002. "A Response to 'False HOPE." http://www.thecommunitiesgroup.com.
- Compass Group, LLC. 2001. *Concept Paper: Long-Term Sustainability and Affordability*. Millennial Housing Commission.
- Compass Group, LLC. 2001. Concept Paper: Mixed-Income Rental Housing Production Program Using Tax-Exempt Bond Financing. Millennial Housing Commission.
- Compass Group, LLC. 2001. *Policy Option Paper: How to Promote Mixed-Income Housing?* Millennial Housing Commission.
- Compass Group, LLC. 2001. *Policy Option Paper: Production of Units for Extremely Low-Income Households*. Millennial Housing Commission.
- Compass Group, LLC. 2001. Policy Option Paper: Working Family Mixed-Income Rental Housing Production Program using Tax-Exempt Bond Financing. Millennial housing Commission.
- Corcoran, Mary, Roger Gordon, Deborah Laren, and Gary Solon. 1992. "The Association Between Men's Economic Status and Their Family and Community Origins." *Journal of Human Resources*, 27: 575-601.
- Crane, Jonathon. 1991. "The Epidemic Theory of Ghettos and Neighborhood Effects on Dropping Out and Teenage Childbearing." *American Journal of Sociology*, 96:1226-59.
- Creamer, A. G., Jr. 1999. "Empowerment Unites: Public Housing Agency Specialization and Private-Sector Property Management." *Journal of Property Management*, 64(2): 16-19.
- Cummings, Paul M. and John D. Landis. 1993. *Relationships Between Affordable housing Developments and Neighboring Property Values*. Working Paper 599. Berkeley, CA: Institute of Urban and Regional Development, University of California at Berkeley.
- Cummings, Jean L. and Denise DiPasquale. 1999. "The Low-Income housing Tax Credit: An Analysis of the First Ten Years." *Housing Policy Debate*, 10(2).
- Cunningham, Mary K., David J. Sylvester, and Margery A. Turner. 2000. *Section 8 Families in the Washington Region: Neighborhood Choices and Constraints*. A Report for the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments.

- Cunningham, Lynn E. 2001. "Islands of Affordability in a Sea of Gentrification: Lessons Learned from the D.C. Housing Authority's HOPE VI Projects." *Journal of Affordable Housing*, 10(4): 353-371.
- De Salvo, Joseph. 1974. "Neighborhood Upgrading Effects of Middle Income Housing Projects in New York City." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 1:269-277.
- Del Conte, Alessandra, and Jeffrey Kling. 2001. "Synthesis of MTO Research on Self-Sufficiency, Safety and Health, and Behavior and Delinquency." *Poverty Research News*, 5.
- Dimitracopoulos, Monica and Leslie Pollner. 2002. Assessing the Boston Housing Authority's Approach to Developing "Mixed-Income" HOPE VI Communities. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University, Kennedy School of Government.
- Duncan, Greg J., James P. Connell and Pamela Klebanov. 1997. "Conceptual and Methodological Issues in Estimating the Causal Effects of Neighborhood and Family Conditions on Individual Development." In *Neighborhood Poverty: Context and Consequences for Children*, ed., Jeanne Brooks-Gunn, Greg J. Duncan, J. Lawrence Aber, 219-50. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Ellen, Ingrid G., and Margery Austin Turner. 1997. "Does Neighborhood Matter? Assessing Recent Evidence." *Housing Policy Debate*, 8: 833–866.
- Ellen, Ingrid Gould, Michael H Schill, Scott Susin, and Amy Ellen Schwartz. 2001. "Building Homes, Reviving Neighborhoods: Spillovers from Subsidized Construction of Owner-Occupied Housing in New York City." *Journal of Housing Research*, 12(2): 185-216.
- Ellen, Ingrid G., Tod Mijanovich, and Keri-Nicole Dillman. 2001. "Neighborhood Effects on Health: Exploring the Links and Assessing the Evidence." *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 23(3-4): 391-408.
- Epp, Gayle. 1996. "Emerging Strategies for Revitalizing Public Housing Communities." *Housing Policy Debate*, 7(3): 563-588.
- Evans, M. 1998. "Privatization of Public Housing." *Journal of Property Management*, 63(2): 25-30.
- Finkel, Meryl and Larry Buron. 2001. Study on Section 8 Voucher Success Rates. Volume I: Quantitative Study of Success Rates in Metropolitan Areas. Cambridge, MA: Abt Associates.
- Fischer, Paul. 1999. Section 8 and the Public Housing Revolution: Where Will the Families Go? Chicago: The Woods Fund of Chicago.
- Fitzpatrick, Kevin M., and Mark LaGory. 2000. *Unhealthy Places: The Ecology of Risk in the Urban Landscape*. New York: Routledge.
- Fosburg, Linda, Susan J. Popkin, and Gretchen Locke. 1996. *A Historical and Baseline Assessment of HOPE VI, Volume 1 Cross-site Report.* Prepared for U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- Franck, K. A. and M. Mostoller. 1995. "From Courts to Open Space to Streets: Changes in the Site Design of U.S. Public Housing." *Journal of Architectural and Planning Research*, 12: 186-220.

- Freedman, Anthony S. 1998. "HOPE VI: Lessons and Issues." *Journal of Housing and Community Development*, 55(4): 25-30.
- Freeman, Lance. 2003. "The Impact of Assisted Housing Developments on Concentrated Poverty." *Housing Policy Debate*, 14(1):103-41.
- Galster, George. 1987. *Homeowners and Neighborhood Reinvestment*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Galster, George and Sean P. Killen. 1995. "The Geography of Metropolitan Opportunity: A Reconnaissance and Conceptual Framework." *Housing Policy Debate*, 6(1): 7-43.
- Galster, George, Robin E. Smith, and Peter A. Tatian. 1999. Assessing Property Value Impacts of Dispersed Housing Subsidy Programs: Final Report. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- Galster, George, Robin E. Smith, and Peter A Tatian. 1999. "The Impact of Neighbors Who Use Section 8 Certificates on Property Values," *Housing Policy Debate*, 10(4): 879-917.
- Galster, George, Kathryn Pettit, Peter A. Tatian, Anna M. Santiago, and Sandra J. Newman. 1999c. *The Impacts of Supportive Housing on Neighborhoods and Neighbors*. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.
- Galster, George C., and Roberto G. Quercia, and Alvaro Cortes.. 2000. "Identifying Neighborhood Thresholds: An Empirical Exploration." *Housing Policy Debate*, 11(3): 701-732.
- Galster, George, Kathryn Pettit, Peter Tatian, Anna Santiago, and Sandra Newman. 2000. *The Impacts of Supportive housing on Neighborhoods and Neighbors*. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Washington DC: The Urban Institute.
- Garbarino, James, Kathleen Kostelny, and Nancy Dubrow. 1991. *No Place to Be a Child: Growing Up in a War Zone*. Lexington: Lexington Books.
- Garshick Kleit, Rachel, and Daniel Carlson. 2003. *Holly Park and Roxbury HOPE VI Redevelopments: Evaluation Report*. Submitted to the Seattle Housing Authority.
- Garshick Kleit, Rachel, and Lynne C. Manzo. 2003. *To Move or Not to Move: Determinants of Resident Relocation Choices in HOPE VI*. Paper presented at the Joint Meeting of the Association of the Collegiate Schools of Planning and the Association of European Schools of Planning, July.
- Geronimus, A. T. 1992. "The Weathering Hypothesis and the Health of African-American Women and Infants." *Ethnicity and Disease*, 2:207-21.
- Goering, John, Ali Kamely, and Todd Richardson. 1994. *The Location and Racial Composition of Public Housing in the United States*. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- Goering, John, and Ali Kamely. 1997. "Recent Research on Racial Segregation and Poverty Concentration in Public Housing in the United States." *Urban Affairs Review*, 32(5): 723-45.
- Goering, John, Joan Kraft, Judith Feins, Debra McInnis, Mary Joel Holin, and Huda Elhassan. 1999. *Moving to Opportunity for Fair Housing Demonstration Program: Current Status and Initial Findings*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

- Goering, John, and Judith Feins. 2003. *Choosing a Better Life? Evaluating the Moving to Opportunity Social Experiment.* Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press.
- Goetz, Edward G., Hin Kin Lam, and Anne Heitlinger. 1996. *There Goes the Neighborhood? The Impact of Subsidized Multi-Family Housing on urban Neighborhoods*. Minneapolis, MN: Center for Urban and Regional Affairs, University of Minnesota.
- Goetz, Edward G. 2002. "Forced Relocation vs. Voluntary Mobility: The Effects of Dispersal Programs on Households." *Housing Studies*, 17(1): 107-23.
- Goetz, Edward G. 2003. *Clearing the Way: Deconcentrating the Poor in Urban America*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press.
- Granruth, L. B. and C. H. Smith. 2001. *Low Income Housing and Services Program: Towards a New Perspective*. Washington DC: National Low Income Housing Coalition.
- Great Cities Institute. 1996. Creating Mixed Income Neighborhoods: A Challenge to Chicago's Leadership, Workshop Proceedings. Chicago: Great Cities Institute, College of Urban Planning and Public Affairs, University of Illinois at Chicago.
- Greenbaum, Susan. 2002. "Social Capital and Deconcentration: Theoretical and policy Paradoxes of the HOPE VI Program." *North American Dialogue*, 5(1): 9-13.
- Grigsby, William, Morton Baratz, George Galster and Duncan Maclennan. 1987. *Neighborhood Change and Decline*. London: Pergamon.
- Gross, Cort and John Sweazy. 1997. "Urban Community Housing." Urban Land, 56(11).
- Guy, D.C., J.L. Hysom, and S.R. Ruth. 1985. "The Effect of Subsidized Housing on Values of Adjacent Housing." *Journal of the American Real Estate & Urban Economics Association*, 13(4): 378-387.
- Hartman, Chester. 2002. "High Classroom Turnover: How Children Get Left Behind." In *Rights at Risk: Equality in an Age of Terrorism*, ed. Dianne M. Piche, William L. Taylor, and Robin A. Reed. Washington, DC: Citizens' Commission on Civil Rights.
- Harvard University Graduate School of Design. 2001. *Public Housing Operating Cost Study: Report on Debt Financing of Public Housing Capital Improvements.* Prepared for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Graduate School of Design.
- Harvard University Graduate School of Design. 2003. *Public Housing Operating Cost Study:* Final Report. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Graduate School of Design.
- Hays, R. Allen. 1985. *The Federal Government and Urban Housing: Ideology and Change in Public Policy*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.
- Hays, R. A. 1994. "Housing Privatization: Social Goals and Policy Strategies." *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 16(4): 295-317.
- Higgins, Lindley R. 2001. *Measuring the Economic Impact of Community-Based Homeownership Programs on Neighborhood Revitalization*. Local Initiatives Support Corporation and George Mason University, School of Public Policy.
- Hoffman, Alexander von. 1996. "Managing Devolution in Public Housing." *Housing Policy Debate*, 7(3): 423-446.
- Holin, Mary Joel, Larry Buron, Gretchen Locke and Alvaro Cortes. 2003. *Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program: Cross-Site Report*. Cambridge, MA: Abt Associates.

- Howell, Joseph T., and Michael W. Leonard. 1999. "Marketing HOPE VI Housing: Getting It Right in Mixed-Income Communities." *Journal of Housing and Community Development*, 56(3): 25-32.
- Housing Research Foundation. 2000. "Learning through Local Evaluation." *HOPE VI Developments*. September/October: (43).
- Huffman, Richard W. 1997. "A New Look at Inner-City Housing." Urban Land, 56(1).
- Husock, Howard. 1995. "A Critique of Mixed Income Housing: The Problems with 'Gautreaux." *The Responsive Community*, Spring.
- Husock, Howard. 1997. "Back to Private Housing." Wall Street Journal, July, 31: A18.
- Jacob, Brian A. 2002. Public Housing, Housing Vouchers and Student Achievement: Evidence from public housing Demolitions in Chicago. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University.
- Jargowsky, Paul. 1997. *Poverty and Place: Ghettos, Barrios, and the American City*. New York, NY: Russel Sage Foundation.
- Jargowsky, Pual. 2003. Stunning Progress, Hidden Problems: The Dramatic Decline of Concentrated Poverty in the 1990s. Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution.
- Jencks, Christopher and Susan Mayer. 1990. "The Social Consequences of Growing Up in a Poor Neighborhood." In *Inner-City Poverty in the United States*, ed. Laurence E. Lynn, Jr. and Michael G.H. McGreary, 111-86. Washington DC: National Academy Press.
- Jones, Kelvyn, and Craig Duncan. 1995. "Individuals and Their Ecologies: Analyzing the Geography of Chronic Illness within a Multilevel Modeling Framework." *Health and Place*, 1(1): 27-40.
- Kamin, B. 1999. "Public Housing in 1999: A Hard Assessment." *Architectural Record*, 187(11): 76-83.
- Katz, Bruce and Margery Austin Turner. 2003. *Rethinking Local Affordable Housing Strategies: Lessons from 70 Years of Policy and Practice.* Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution and The Urban Institute.
- Katz, Lawrence F., Jeffrey R. Kling, and Jeffrey B. Liebman. 2001. "Moving to Opportunity in Boston: Early Results of a Randomized Mobility Experiment." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116(2): 607-54.
- Katz, Michael B. 1989. The Undeserving Poor. New York: Pantheon Books.
- Kaufman, Julie E. and James E. Rosenbaum. 1992. "The Education and Employment of Low-Income Black Youth in White Suburbs." *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 14(3): 229-240.
- Keating, Lawrence. 2000. "Redeveloping Public Housing: Relearning Urban Renewal's Immutable Lessons." *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 66(4): 384-97.
- Kelling, G.L., and C.M. Coles. 1996. Fixing Broken Windows: Restoring Order and Reducing Crime in Our Communities. New York: Free Press.
- Khadduri, Jill. 1997. "Deconcentration: What Do We Mean? What Do We Want?" *Cityscape*, 5(2): 69-84.
- Khadduri, Jill, and M. Martin. 1997. "Mixed Income Housing in the HUD Multifamily Stock." *Cityscape*, 2(3): 33-69.

- Kingsley, G. Thomas. 2003. "Patterns of Section 8 Relocation in the HOPE VI Program." *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 25(4): 427-47.
- Kingsley, G. Thomas, Joseph B. Mc Neely, and James O. Gibson. 1997. *Community Building Coming of Age*. Washington DC: The Urban Institute.
- Kingsley, G. Thomas and Patrick A. Corvington. 2000. "Making the Case for Case Management." *HOPE VI Developments*, September/October: 43.
- Kingsley, G. Thomas, Jennifer Johnson and Kathryn L.S. Pettit. 2001. *HOPE VI And Section 8: Spatial Patterns in Relocation*. Washington DC: The Urban Institute.
- Kingsley, G. Thomas, Martin D. Abravanel, Mary Cunningham, Jeremy Fustafson, Arthur J. Naparstek, Margery Austin Turner. 2003. *Lessons from HOPE VI for the Future of Public Housing*. Report prepared for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.
- Koebel, T. C. and E. Etuk. 1998. *Improving Management of Assisted Housing Through Tenant Feedback*. Blacksburg VA: Center for Housing Research, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.
- Lane, Vince. 1995. "Best Management Practices in U.S. Public Housing." *Housing Policy Debate*, 6(4): 867-904.
- Lang, Laura, and David Morton. 2002. "Hood Winked: Making Public Housing Livable Is as Simple as Getting Rid of the People Who Live There." *The Washington City Paper*, September 27-October3.
- Lea, M. J. and J. E. Wallace. 1996. *Current Practices for Financing Affordable Housing in the United States*. Washington DC: Office for Housing Research, Fannie Mae Foundation.
- Lee, Chang-Moo, Dennis Culhane, and Susan Wachter. 1999. "The Differential Impacts of Federally Assisted Housing Programs on Nearby Property Values: A Philadelphia Case Study." *Housing Policy Debate*, 10(1): 75-93.
- Lenz, Thomas J., and James Coles. 1999. *Providing Rental Housing in the Chicago Region: Challenges and Issues*. Chicago: The Great Cities Institute, University of Illinois at Chicago.
- Leventhal, Tama. 2000. "Entrances and exits in Children's Lives: Associations between Household Events and Test Scores." *Household Events*, 1-58. New York: Columbia University.
- Leventhal, Tama. 2000. "Changing Neighborhoods and Child Well-Being: Understanding How Children may Be Affected in the Coming Century." *Children at the Millennium: Where Have We Come From? Where Are We Going?*, 6: 259-97.
- Leventhal, Tama. 2000. "Moving to Opportunity: What About the Kids?" In *Choosing a Better Life: How Public Housing Tenants Selected a HUD Experiment to Improve Their Lives and Those of Their Children The Moving to Opportunity Demonstration Program*, ed. John Goering. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Liu, Michael. 2003. Statement of Michael Liu, Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, before the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Financial Services, Subcommittee on Housing and Community Opportunity.

- Locke, G. and K. Winkel. 2001. Interim Assessment of the HOPE VI Program: Case Study of Techwood Homes and Clark Howell Homes in Atlanta, Georgia. Cambridge, MA: Abt Associates.
- Locke, G., S. Nolden, D. Porcari, and M. Finkel. 1999. *Case Studies of the Conversion of Project-Based Assistance to Tenant-Based Assistance: Final Report*. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- Loprest, Pamela. 2001. How Are Families That Left Welfare Doing? A Comparison of Early and Recent Welfare Leavers. Assessing the New Federalism Policy Brief B-36. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.
- Loustau, Jeffrey J. 1993. "How Expensive is Affordable Housing?" Urban Land, 52(11).
- Ludwig, Jens, Helen F. Ladd, and Greg J. Duncan. 2001. "Urban Poverty and Educational Outcomes." *Brookings-Wharton Papers on Urban Affairs*, 147-201.
- Martinez, John. 1998. "Implementing an Asset Management Strategy: NYCHA Steps Boldly Into the New Frontier." *HOPE VI Developments*, March/April.
- Martinez, Marco A. 1988. *The Effect of Subsidized and Affordable housing on Property Values: A Survey of Research*. Report to the State of California, Department of Housing and Community Development, Sacramento, California.
- Martinez, Pedro and John Richters. 1993. "The NIMH Community Violence Project: Children's Distress Symptoms Associated with Violence Exposure." *Psychiatry*, 56:22-35.
- Mason, M. 1998. Mixed Income Public Housing: Outcomes for Tenants and Their Community. A Case Study of Lake Parc Place Development in Chicago, Illinois. Department of Sociology and Anthropology, Loyola University of Chicago.
- Massey, Douglas S., and Sean Kahaiaupuni. 1993. "Public Housing and the Concentration of Poverty." *Social Science Quarterly*, 74: 109-22.
- McDowell, B. D. 2001. Evaluating Methods for Monitoring and Improving HUD Assisted Housing. Washington DC: National Academy of Public Administration.
- McLoyd, Vonnie C. 1990. "The Impact of Economic Hardship on Black Families and Development." *Child Development*, 61, 311-346.
- Meisler, Daniel. 1999. "Housing Agencies Look at Collateralizing HOPE VI Grants." *The Bond Buyer*.
- Michetti, Felice. 1998. "New 'Hope' for Public Housing: Asset Management Shows the Way." *HOPE VI Developments*. March/April.
- Millenial Housing Commission. 2002. *Meeting Our Nation's Housing Challenges: Report of the Bipartisan Millennial Housing Commission*. Washington, DC: U. S. Government Printing Office.
- Milwaukee Housing Authority. 2000. *The HOPE VI Evaluation of Hillside Terrace Final Report from The Planning Council for Health & Human Services*. Milwaukee: Milwaukee Housing Authority.
- Morenoff, Jeffrey D. 2001. *Place, Race, and Health: Neighborhood Sources of Group Disparities in Birth Weight.* Report No. 01-482. Report prepared by Population Studies Center at the Institute for Social Research. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.

- Myerson, Deborah L. 2001. *Sustaining Urban Mixed-Income Communities: The Role of Community Facilities.* Paper presented at The ULI/Charles H. Shaw Annual Forum on Urban Community Issues, October 18-19.
- Naparstek Arthur J., Dennis Dooley, and Robin Smith. 1997. *Community Building in Public Housing: Ties that Bind People and their Communities.* Washington DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- Naparstek A., Robin. Smith, and D. Dooley. 1997. "Community Building: Revitalizing the Nation's Public Housing." *Journal of Housing & Community Development*, 54.
- Naparstek, Arthur J., Susan R. Freis and G. Thomas Kingsley. 2000. *HOPE VI: Community Building Makes a Difference*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- National Association of Local Housing Finance Agencies. 2000. A Guide to Effective Property Management in Affordable Housing. Washington, DC: National Association of Local Housing Finance Agencies.
- National Commission on Severely Distressed Public Housing. 1992. The Final Report of the National Commission on Severely Distressed Public Housing: A Report to Congress and the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- National Housing Conference. 2002. "Strengthening Neighborhoods by Creating Long-Term Multifamily Assets." *NHC Affordable Housing Policy Review*, 2(2).
- National Housing Law Project. 2002. False Hope: A Critical Assessment of the HOPE VI Public Housing Redevelopment Program. Berkeley, CA: National Housing Law Project.
- Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation. 2002. Early Conclusions From the Mixed Income Demonstration Program. Washington, DC.
- Nelson, Kathryn P. 1992. "Whose Shortage of Affordable Housing?" *Housing Policy Debate*, 3(1).
- Nelson, Kathryn P. and Jill Khadduri. 1992. "To Whom Should Limited Housing Resources Be Directed?" *Housing Policy Debate*, 3(1).
- Nenno, Mary K. 1996. Ending the Stalemate: Moving Housing and Urban Development Into the Mainstream of America's Future. Lanham: University Press of America.
- Newman, Oscar. 1996. *Creating Defensible Space*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- Newman, Sandra J., and Ann B. Schnare. 1992. Beyond Bricks and Mortar: Reexamining the Purpose and Effects of Housing Assistance. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.
- Newman, Sandra J. and Ann B. Schnare. 1997. '...And a Suitable Living Environment': The Failure of Housing Programs to Deliver on Neighborhood Quality." *Housing Policy Debate*, 8(4): 703-41.
- Newman, Sandra J., ed. 1999. *The Home Front: Implications of Welfare Reform for Housing Policy*. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute Press.
- Nourse, Hugh. 1963. "The Effect of Public Housing on Property Values in St. Louis." *Land Economics*, 39: 433-441.

- Nyden, Phillip. 1998. "Comment on James E. Rosenbaum, Linda K. Stroh, and Cathy A. Flynn's 'Lake Parc Place: A Study of Mixed-Income Housing." *Housing Policy Debate*, 9(2).
- Orr, Larry, Judith D. Feins, Robin Jacob, Erik Beecroft, Lisa Sanbonmatsu, Lawrence F. Katz, Jeffrey B. Liebman, and Jeffrey R. Kling. 2003. *Moving to Opportunity Interim Impacts Evaluation*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- Pelt, T. V. 1997. "Fiscally Conservative and Socially Progressive LHAs Must Be Both." *Journal of Housing and Community Development*, 54: 8-9.
- Pitcoff, Winton. 1999. "New Hope for Public Housing." Shelterforce Magazine, 21(2): 18-22.
- Pierce, Neal. 2002. *Housing: Back Into the Political Limelight?* National Academy of Public Administration, www.citistates.org.
- Polikoff, Alexander. 1994. *Housing Policy and Urban Poverty*. Washington DC: Center for Housing Policy.
- Popkin, Susan J. 1990. "Welfare: Views from the Bottom." Social Problems, 37:64-78.
- Popkin, Susan J. 1993. "Labor Market Experiences of Low-Income Black Women in Middle-Class Suburbs: Evidence from a Survey of Gautreaux Program Participants." *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 12(3): 556-73.
- Popkin, Susan J., Victoria E. Gwiasda, Jean M. Amendolia, Larry Buron and Lynn Olson. 1998. Gauging the Effects of Public Housing Redesign: Final Report on the Early Stages of the Horner Revitalization Initiative. Report prepared for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation.
- Popkin, Susan J., and Mary K. Cunningham. 1999. *CHAC Inc. Section 8 Program: Barriers to Successful Leasing Up.* Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.
- Popkin, Susan. J., Larry Buron, Diane Levy, and Marry Cunningham. 2000. "The Gautreaux Legacy: What Might Mixed-Income and Dispersal Strategies Mean for the Poorest Public Housing Tenants?" *Housing Policy Debate*, 11(4): 911-942.
- Popkin, Susan J., and Mary K. Cunningham. 2000. *Searching for Rental Housing with Section 8 in Chicago*. Report prepared by Urban Institute for the Metropolitan Planning Council. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.
- Popkin, Susan J., Victoria E. Gwiasda, Lynn M. Olson, Dennis P. Rosenbaum, and Larry Buron. 2000. *The Hidden War: Crime and the Tragedy of Public Housing in Chicago*. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press.
- Popkin, Susan. J., Mary Cunningham, and Erin Godfrey. 2001. *CHA Relocation and Mobility Counseling: Interim Assessment Report*. Washington DC: The Urban Institute.
- Popkin, Susan. J., Diane Levy, Larry Buron, Laura Harris. 2002. *HOPE VI Panel Study Baseline Report*. Washington DC: The Urban Institute.
- Popkin, Susan. J., and Mary K. Cunningham. 2002. *CHA Relocation Counseling Assessment*. Washington DC: The Urban Institute.
- Popkin, Susan J., Laura Harris, and Mary Cunningham. 2002. *Families in Transition: A Qualitative Analysis of the MTO Experience, Final Report.* Report prepared by the Urban Institute for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

- Popkin, Susan. J., Mary K. Cunningham, and William T. Woodley. 2003. *Residents at Risk: A Profile of Ida B. Wells and Madden Park.* Washington DC: The Urban Institute.
- Popkin, Susan J., George C. Galster, Kenneth Temkin, Carla Herbig, Diane K. Levy, and Elise Richer. 2003. "Obstacles to Desegregating Public Housing: Lessons Learned from Implementing Eight Consent Decrees." *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 22(2): 179-99.
- Quadel Consulting Corporation. 1999. *Managing Change, Creating Opportunity. A Report on Privatization of the Chicago Section 8 Housing Program: 1995-1998.* Washington DC: Quadel Consulting Corporation.
- Quercia, R. G., W. M. Rohe, and D. K. Levy. 2000. "A New Look at Creative Finance." *Housing Policy Debate*, 11(4): 943-72.
- Ranghelli, Lisa. 1999. *The Immediate Crisis in Public and Assisted Housing: More Than a Million Homes Are at Risk.* Center for Community Change.
- Renford, Rochelle. 2001. "Filled With HOPE: The Federal HOPE VI Program is Changing the Face of Public Housing." *The Weekly Planet*, November 1.
- Rohe, William M. 1995. "Converting Public Housing to Cooperatives: The Experience in Three Cities." *Housing Policy Debate*, 6(2): 439-479.
- Rohe, William M., and Rachel Garshick Kleit. *Returning Public Housing to its Roots: An Assessment of the Family Self-Sufficiency Program.* Working Paper. Chapel Hill: Center for Urban and Regional Studies, University of North Carolina.
- Rohe, William M., Roberto G. Quercia, and Diane K. Levy. 2001. "The Performance of Non-Profit Housing Developments in the United States." *Housing Studies*, 16(5): 595-618.
- Rosenbaum, James E., Susan J. Popkin, Julie E. Kaufman, and Jennifer Rusin. 1991. "Social Integration of Low-Income Black Adults in Middle-Class White Suburbs." *Social Problems*, 38(4): 448-61.
- Rosenbaum, James E. 1995. "Changing the Geography of Opportunity by Expanding Residential Choice: Lessons from the Gautreaux Program." *Housing Policy Debate*, 6(1).
- Rosenbaum, J. E., L. K. Stroh, and C. A. Flynn. 1998. "Lake Parc Place: A Study of Mixed-Income Housing." *Housing Policy Debate*, 9(4): 703-740.
- Rosenbaum, Emily, Laura Harris, and Nancy A. Denton. 1999. "New Places, New Faces: An Analysis of Neighborhoods and Social Ties among MTO Movers in Chicago." In *Choosing a Better Life: Evaluating the Moving to Opportunity Experiment*, eds. John Goering and Judith Feins. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.
- Rosenbaum, Emily, and Laura E. Harris. 2001. "Residential Mobility and Opportunities: Early Impacts of the moving to Opportunity Demonstration Program in Chicago." *Housing Policy Debate*, 12(2): 321-46.
- Rosenbaum, James E. and Stephanie DeLuca. 2000. *Is Housing Mobility the Key to Welfare Reform? Lessons from Chicago's Gautreaux Program.* The Brookings Institution Survey Series. Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution.
- Rothenberg, Jerome, George C. Galster, Richard V. Butler, and John R. Pitkin. 1991. *The Maze of Urban Housing Markets*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Rubinowitz, Leonard S., and James E. Rosenbaum. 2000. *Crossing the Class and Color Lines: From Public Housing to White Suburbia*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- Russ, Mary Ann. 2001. *Public Housing Reform Proposal*. Policy Recommendations to the Millennial Housing Commissioners. (Available on the Worldwide Web at http://www.mhc.gov/papers/russ.doc.)
- Rybczinski, Witold. 1993. "Bauhaus Blunders: Architecture and Public Housing." *Public Interest*, 82-90.
- Salama, J. J. 1999. "The Redevelopment of Distressed Public Housing: Early Results from HOPE VI Projects in Atlanta, Chicago, and San Antonio." *Housing Policy Debate*, 10(1): 95-142.
- Salvesen, David. 2000. "New Hope." *Urban Land*, 59(7): 92-105.
- Sampson, Ralph, S.W. Raudenbush, and F. Earls. 1997. "Neighborhoods and Violent Crime: A Multilevel Study of Collective Efficacy." *Science*, 277: 918-24.
- Sandel, Megan, and Jean Zotter. 2000. "How Substandard Housing Affects Children's Health." *Contemporary Pediatrics*, 17:10.
- Santiago, Anna, George Galster, and Peter Tatian. 2001. "Assessing the Property Value Impacts of the Dispersed Housing Subsidy Program in Denver." *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 20(1): 65-88.
- Scharfstein, Joshua, and Megan Sandel. 1998. *Not Safe at Home: How America's Housing Crisis Threatens the Health of Its Children*. Boston: The Doc4Kids Project, Boston Medical Center.
- Schill, Michael, and Susan Wachter. 1995. "The Spatial Bias of Federal Housing Law and Policy: Concentrated Poverty in Urban America." *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 143:1285-1341.
- Schill, Michael H., Ingrid Gould Ellen, Amy Ellen Schwartz and Ioan Voicu. 2002. "Revitalizing Inner-City Neighborhoods: New York City's Ten Year Plan." *Housing Policy Debate*, 13(3): 529-566.
- Schubert, M. F. and A. Thresher. 1996. *Lessons from The Field: Three Case Studies of Mixed-Income Housing Development*. Chicago: The MacArthur Foundation.
- Schuetz, Jenny. 2003. *Affordable Assisted Living: Surveying the Possibilities*. Cambridge, MA: Joint Center for Urban Studies, Harvard University.
- Schwartz, A. and K. Tajbakash. 1997. "Mixed-Income Housing: Unanswered Questions." *Cityscape*, 3(2) 71-92.
- Schwartz, Alex, and K. Tajbakash. 2001. *Mixed-Income Housing as Social Policy: The Case for Diminished Expectations*. Paper presented at the Annual Meetings of the Association for Collegiate Schools of Planning Conference, Cleveland, OH.
- Shroder, Mark. 2001. "Moving to Opportunity: An Experiment in Social and Geographic Mobility." *Cityscape*, 5(2).
- Shroder, M. and A. Reiger. 2000. "Vouchers Versus Production Revisited." *Journal of Housing Research*, 11(1): 91-107.
- Smith, Alastair. 2002. *Mixed-Income Housing Developments: Promise and Reality*. Cambridge, MA: Joint Center for Housing Studies, Harvard University.
- Smith, Janet L. 1998. "Cleaning Up Public Housing by Sweeping Out the Poor." *Habitat International*, 23:49-62.

- Smith, Robin E. 2002. *Housing Choice for HOPE VI Relocatees*. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- Smithey, P. N. 1999. Empowerment and Participation: A Contextual Analysis of Resident Management in Public Housing. PhD dissertation, School of Public Affairs, The American University.
- Snyderman, R. and S. D. Dailey. 2001. Public Housing in the Public Interest: Examining the Chicago Housing Authority's Proposed Service Connector Model. Chicago: Metropolitan Planning Council.
- South, S.J. and Crosder, K.D. 1999. "Effects of Neighborhood Poverty on Family Formation." *American Sociological Review*, 64 (1): 113-132.
- Suchman, Diane R. 1996. *Transformation of Public Housing Developments into Mixed-Income Communities*. Working Paper Series, Paper 656. Washington, DC: Urban Land Institute.
- Swope, Christopher. 2001. "Rehab Refugees." Governing Magazine, May.
- Tull, T. 1998. Service-Enriched Housing: Models and Methodologies. Los Angeles CA: Beyond Shelter.
- Turner, Margery Austin, Susan Popkin, and Mary Cunningham. 2000. Section 8 Mobility and Neighborhood Health. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.
- Turnham, Jennifer and Jill Khadduri. 2001. *Issues and Options for HUD's Tenant-Based Housing Assistance Programs*. Millennial Housing Commission.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 1996. *Public Housing in a Competitive Market: An Example of How it Would Fare*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 1996. *Stock and Asset Management in Public Housing: Background Readings from HUD Reports*. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 1996. Expanding Housing Choices for HUD-Assisted Families: First Biennial Report to Congress, Moving to Opportunity for Fair Housing Demonstration. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 1996. *Public Housing that Works: The Transformation of America's Public Housing*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 1999. *HOPE VI: Building Communities, Transforming Lives*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 1999. *A House in Order: Results From the First National Assessment of HUD Housing*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 2000. Assessment of the Economic and Social Characteristics of LIHTC Residents and Neighborhoods: Final Report. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 2000. New Standards for a New Century: The Transformation of HUD's Systems for Monitoring and Enforcing the Quality of

- *HUD-Assisted Housing*. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 2002. *HOPE VI: Best Practices and Lessons Learned*, 1992-2002. Report to the Committee on Appropriations, U.S. House of Representatives and Committee on Appropriations, U.S. Senate. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 2003. *Report to Congress: Plan for the Implementation of the Harvard Cost Study Related to the Public Housing Operating Fund.* As required by H.J. Res. 2 Conference Report, page 1374. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 2003. *HOPE VI Report on the Need for Revitalization, Lessons Learned, and Reauthorization.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- U.S. General Accounting Office. 1998. HOPE VI: Progress and Problems in Revitalizing Distressed Public Housing. Washington DC: U.S. General Accounting Office.
- U.S. General Accounting Office. 2001. *HUD Needs Better Information on Housing Agencies' Management Performance*. Washington DC: U.S. General Accounting Office.
- U.S. General Accounting Office. 2002. Federal Housing Assistance: Comparing the Characteristics and Costs of Housing Programs. Washington, DC: General Accounting Office.
- U.S. General Accounting Office. 2002. HOPE VI Leveraging Has Increased, but HUD Has Not Met Annual Reporting Requirement. Washington DC: U.S. General Accounting Office.
- U.S. General Accounting Office. 2003. *Public Housing: HUD's Oversight of HOPE VI Sites Needs to be More Consistent.* Washington DC: U.S. General Accounting Office.
- U.S. General Accounting Office. 2003. *Public Housing: HOPE VI Resident Issues and Changes in Neighborhoods Surrounding Grant Sites*. Washington DC: U.S. General Accounting Office.
- Urban Land Institute. 1996. *Transformation of Public Housing to Mixed-Income Communities*. Washington, DC: Urban Land Institute.
- Urban Land Institute. 2001. *Inclusionary Zoning/Mixed Income Housing*. Washington DC: The Urban Land Institute.
- Urban Land Institute. 2001. Sustaining Urban Mixed-Income Communities: The Role of Community Facilities. Washington, DC: The Urban Land Institute.
- Urban Land Institute. 2002. *Engaging the Private Sector in HOPE VI*. Washington, DC: Urban Land Institute.
- Vale, Lawrence J. 1996. "Public Housing Redevelopment: Seven Kinds of Success." *Housing Policy Debate*, 7(3): 491-534.
- Vale, Lawrence J. 1997. "Empathological Places: Residents' Ambivalence Remaining in Public Housing." *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 16:159-75.
- Vale, Lawrence J. 1998. "Public Housing and the American Dream: Residents' Views on Buying into 'The Projects'." *Housing Policy Debate*, 9(2): 267-298.

- Vale, Lawrence J. 1998. "Comment on James E. Rosenbaum, Linda K. Stroh, and Cathy A. Flynn's 'Lake Parc Place: A Study of Mixed-Income Housing'." *Housing Policy Debate*, 9(4): 749-756.
- Varady, David P., and Carole C. Walker. 2003. "Using Housing Vouchers to Move to the Suburbs: How Do Families Fare?" *Housing Policy Debate*, 14(3): 347-82.
- Vartanian, Thomas P. 1997. "Neighborhood Effects on AFDC Exits: Examining the Social Isolation, Relative Deprivation, and Epidemic Theories." *Social Science Review*, December: 548-73.
- Vartanian, Thomas P. 1999. "Childhood Condition and Adult Welfare Use: Examining Neighborhood and Family Factors." *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 61(1): 225-37.
- Venkatesh, Sudhir A. 2000. *American Project: The Rise and Fall of a Modern Ghetto*. Cambridge: Harvard University.
- Venkatesh, Sudhir A. 2002. "The Robert Taylor Homes Relocation Study." New York: Center for Urban Research and Policy, Columbia University.
- Venkatesh, Sudhir A., and Isil Celimli. 2004. "Chicago Public Housing Transformation: A Research Report." New York: Center for Urban Research and Policy, Columbia University.
- Warren, Elizabeth, R.M. Aduddell, and Raymond Tatalovich. 1983. *The Impact of Subsidized Housing on Property Values: A Two-Pronged Analysis of Chicago and Cook County Suburbs*. Chicago: Center for Urban Policy, Loyola University of Chicago.
- Wexler, Harry J. 2001. "HOPE VI: Market Means/Public Ends The Goals, Strategies and Midterm Lessons of HUD's Urban Revitalization Demonstration Program." *Journal of Affordable Housing*, 10(3): 195-233.
- Widener University, Center for Social Work Education. 2003. Final Evaluation Report: The Chatham Estates and Wellington Ridge HOPE VI Revitalization Projects of the Chester Housing Authority, 1997-2002. Chester: Widener University.
- Wilkins, Charles S., Jr. 2001. *Concept Paper: Mixed Income Rental Housing*. Millennial Housing Commission.
- Wilson, William Julius. 1987. *The Truly Disadvantaged: The Inner City, the Underclass and Public Policy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Wyly, Elvin K. and Daniel J. Hammel. 1999. "Islands of Decay in Seas of Renewal: Housing Policy and the Resurgence of Gentrification." *Housing Policy Debate*, 10(4).
- Zedlewski, Sheila. 2002. *The Importance of Housing Benefits to Welfare Success*. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.
- Zielenbach, Sean. 2002. *The Economic Impacts of HOPE VI on Neighborhoods*. Washington, DC: Housing Research Foundation. http://www.housingresearch.org.